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Let $\alpha$ be arbitrary quadratic irrationality ( $\alpha=c+\sqrt{d}, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}, d>0$ and $d$ is not a square of a rational number). It is well known that regular continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$ is periodic, i.e. has the form $\alpha=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, \overline{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_{k+\ell}}\right]$. Here $\ell=\ell(\alpha)$ denotes the length of the shortest period in the expansion of $\alpha$.
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Continued fractions give good rational approximations of arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Newton's iterative method

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}
$$

for solving nonlinear equations $f(x)=0$ is another approximation method.
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$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}
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for solving nonlinear equations $f(x)=0$ is another approximation method. Connections between these two approximation methods were discussed by several authors. Let $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}$ be the $n$th convergent of $\alpha$. The principal question is: Let $f(x)=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, where $\alpha^{\prime}=c-\sqrt{d}$ and $x_{0}=\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}$, is $x_{1}$ also a convergent of $\alpha$ ?

It is well known that for $\alpha=\sqrt{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}, d \neq \square$, and the corresponding Newton's approximant $R_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}+\frac{d q_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k \ell-1}=\frac{p_{2 k \ell-1}}{q_{2 k \ell-1}}, \quad \text { for } k \geq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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It was proved by Mikusiński [Mik1954] that if $\ell=2 t$, then
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\begin{equation*}
R_{k t-1}=\frac{p_{2 k t-1}}{q_{2 k t-1}}, \quad \text { for } k \geq 1 \tag{1.2}
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These results imply that if $\ell(\sqrt{d}) \leq 2$, then all approximants $R_{n}$ are convergents of $\sqrt{d}$. Dujella [Duje2001] proved the converse of this result. Namely, if $\ell(\sqrt{d})>2$, we know that some of approximants $R_{n}$ are not convergents.
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## Example 1.1

If $d=16 x^{4}-16 x^{3}-12 x^{2}+16 x-4$, where $x \geq 2$, then $\ell(\sqrt{d})=8$ and $\sqrt{d}=[(2 x+1)(2 x-2), x, 1,1,2 \times 2-x-2,1,1, x, 2(2 x+1)(2 x-2)]$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R_{0}=\frac{p_{3}}{q_{3}}, & R_{1}=\frac{p_{5}}{q_{5}}, & R_{3}=\frac{p_{7}}{q_{7}}, \\
R_{5}=\frac{p_{9}}{q_{9}}, & R_{6}=\frac{p_{11}}{q_{11}}, & R_{7}=\frac{p_{15}}{q_{15}} .
\end{array}
$$

Dujella showed that being again a convergent is a periodic and a palindromic property.
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## Theorem 1.2

If $d$ is a square-free positive integer such that $\ell(\sqrt{d})>2$, then $|j(d, n)| \leq \frac{\ell-3}{2}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

He also and pointed out that $j(\sqrt{d})$ is unbounded.

## Theorem 1.3

Let $t \geq 1$ and $m \geq 5$ be integers such that $m \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$ and let $d=F_{m-2}^{2}\left[\left(2 F_{m-2} t-F_{m-4}\right)^{2}+4\right] / 4$. Then $\sqrt{d}=$
$[\frac{1}{2} F_{m-2}\left(2 F_{m-2} t-F_{m-4}\right), \overline{2 t-1, \underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1,1}_{m-3 \text { times }}, 2 t-1, F_{m-2}\left(2 F_{m-2} t-F_{m-4}\right)}]$.
Therefore, $\ell(\sqrt{d})=m$. Furthermore, $R_{0}=\frac{p_{m-2}}{q_{m-2}}$ and hence $j(d, 0)=\frac{m-3}{2}$, $j(d, k m)=\frac{m-3}{2}$ and $j(d, k m-2)=-\frac{m-3}{2}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Let $b(d)$ denote the number of good approximants among the numbers $R_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, \ell-1$. In [DujPet2005], Dujella and P. showed that the quantity $b(d)$ can be arbitrary large. Moreover, we construct families of examples which show that for every positive integer $b$ there exist a positive integer $d$ such that $b(d)=b$ and $b(d)>\ell(\sqrt{d}) / 2$.
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## Proposition 1.4

Let $g:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{n}^{2}+d q_{n}^{2}, 2 p_{n} q_{n}\right.$. If $a_{n+1}>\frac{2}{g} \sqrt{\sqrt{d}+1}$, then $R_{n}$ is a convergent of $\sqrt{d}$. If $a_{n+1}<\frac{1}{g} \sqrt{2(\sqrt{d}-1)}-2$, then $R_{n}$ is not a convergent of $\sqrt{d}$.

## Theorem 1.5

For $n \geq 1$ :
If $d_{n}=\left(12 \cdot 9^{n}+1\right)^{2}+6 \cdot 9^{n}$, then $\ell\left(\sqrt{d_{n}}\right)=4 n+6$ and $b\left(d_{n}\right)=2 n+4$.
If $d_{n}=\left(2 \cdot 9^{n}+1\right)^{2}+9^{n}$, then $\ell\left(\sqrt{d_{n}}\right)=2 n+1$ and $b\left(d_{n}\right)=2 n+1$.

In 2012, P. [Pet1.2012] proved the analogous results for $\alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \neq \square$ and $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

Sharma [Sha1959] observed arbitrary quadratic surd $\alpha=c+\sqrt{d}, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$, $d>0, d$ is not a square of a rational number, whose period begins with $a_{1}$. He showed that for every such $\alpha$ and the corresponding Newton's approximant $N_{n}=\frac{p_{n}^{2}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime} q_{n}^{2}}{2 q_{n}\left(p_{n}-c q_{n}\right)}$ it holds $N_{k \ell-1}=\frac{p_{2 k \ell-1}}{q_{2 k \ell-1}}$, for $k \geq 1$, and when $\ell=2 t$ and the period is palindromic then it holds $N_{k t-1}=\frac{p_{2 k t-1}}{q_{2 k t-1}}$, for $k \geq 1$.

Iterative methods obtained by Taylor expansion of higher order does not give good approximations.

Iterative methods obtained by Taylor expansion of higher order does not give good approximations.

Frank and Sharma [F-S1965] discussed generalization of Newton's formula. They showed that for every $\alpha$, whose period begins with $a_{1}$, for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{n k \ell-1}}{q_{n k \ell-1}}=\frac{\alpha\left(p_{k \ell-1}-\alpha^{\prime} q_{k \ell-1}\right)^{n}-\alpha^{\prime}\left(p_{k \ell-1}-\alpha q_{k \ell-1}\right)^{n}}{\left(p_{k \ell-1}-\alpha^{\prime} q_{k \ell-1}\right)^{n}-\left(p_{k \ell-1}-\alpha q_{k \ell-1}\right)^{n}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Householder's iterative method (see e.g. [Hous1970, §4.4]) of order $p$ for rootsolving: $x_{n+1}=H^{(p)}\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}+p \cdot \frac{(1 / f)^{(p-1)}\left(x_{n}\right)}{(1 / f)^{(p)}\left(x_{n}\right)}$, where $(1 / f)^{(p)}$ denotes $p$-th derivation of $1 / f$. Householder's method of order 1 is just Newton's method. For Householder's method of order 2 one gets Halley's method, and Householder's method of order $p$ has rate of convergence $p+1$.
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Let us define $R_{n}^{(1)} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}$ and for $m>1 R_{n}^{(m)} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} H^{(m-1)}\left(\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)$. We will say that $R_{n}^{(m)}$ is good approximation, if it is a convergent of $\alpha$.
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Formula (2.1) shows that for arbitrary quadratic surd, whose period begins with $a_{1}$ and $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{m k \ell-1}}{q_{m k \ell-1}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $\ell=2 t$ and period is periodic, from (2.2) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k t-1}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{m k t-1}}{q_{m k t-1}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula [Sha1959, (8)] says: For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{\ell}-a_{0}\right) p_{k \ell-1}+p_{k \ell-2}=q_{k \ell-1}\left(d-c^{2}\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left(a_{\ell}-a_{0}\right) q_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-2}=p_{k \ell-1}-2 c q_{k \ell-1}, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and formula (2.3) says

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{(m+1)}=\frac{R_{n}^{(1)} R_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{n}^{(1)}+R_{n}^{(m)}-2 c}, \quad \text { for } m \in \mathbb{N}, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and formula (2.3) says

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{(m+1)}=\frac{R_{n}^{(1)} R_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{n}^{(1)}+R_{n}^{(m)}-2 c}, \quad \text { for } m \in \mathbb{N}, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 2.1

For $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$, when the period begins with $a_{1}$, it holds $R_{k \ell+i-1}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)} R_{i-1}^{(m)}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}-2 c}$.

Formula [Sha1959, (8)] says: For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{\ell}-a_{0}\right) p_{k \ell-1}+p_{k \ell-2}=q_{k \ell-1}\left(d-c^{2}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left(a_{\ell}-a_{0}\right) q_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-2}=p_{k \ell-1}-2 c q_{k \ell-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and formula (2.3) says

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{(m+1)}=\frac{R_{n}^{(1)} R_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{n}^{(1)}+R_{n}^{(m)}-2 c}, \quad \text { for } m \in \mathbb{N}, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 2.1

For $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$, when the period begins with $a_{1}$, it holds $R_{k \ell+i-1}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)} R_{i-1}^{(m)}-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}-2 c}$.

## Proof.

For $m=1$, statement of the lemma is proven in [Frank1962, Thm. 2.1]. Using mathematical induction and (2.8) it is not hard to show that the statement of the lemma holds too.

When period is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$, formulas (2.6) and (2.7) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0} p_{k \ell-1}+p_{k \ell-2}=2 c p_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-1}\left(d-c^{2}\right),  \tag{2.9}\\
& a_{0} q_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-2}=p_{k \ell-1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

When period is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$, formulas (2.6) and (2.7) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0} p_{k \ell-1}+p_{k \ell-2}=2 c p_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-1}\left(d-c^{2}\right),  \tag{2.9}\\
& a_{0} q_{k \ell-1}+q_{k \ell-2}=p_{k \ell-1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Lemma 2.2

For $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell-1$, when period is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$, it holds $R_{k \ell-i-1}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}\left(R_{i-1}^{(m)}-2 c\right)+\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{i-1}^{(m)}-R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}}$.

## Proof.

For $m=1$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{k \ell-i-1}^{(1)}=\frac{p_{k \ell-i-1}}{q_{k \ell-i-1}}=\frac{0 \cdot p_{k \ell-i}+p_{k \ell-i-1}}{0 \cdot q_{k \ell-i}+q_{k \ell-i-1}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, 0\right] \\
& =\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, a_{k \ell-i-1}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-1}, a_{0}, 0,-a_{0},-a_{1}, \ldots,-a_{i-1}\right] \\
& =\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, a_{k \ell-i-1}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-1}, a_{0}-\frac{p_{i-1}}{q_{i-1}}\right] \\
& =\frac{p_{k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(1)}\right)+p_{k \ell-2}}{q_{k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(1)}\right)+q_{k \ell-2}} \stackrel{(2.9)}{(2.10)} \frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(1)}\left(R_{i-1}^{(1)}-2 c\right)+\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{i-1}^{(1)}-R_{k \ell-1}^{(1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

For $m=1$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{k \ell-i-1}^{(1)}=\frac{p_{k \ell-i-1}}{q_{k \ell-i-1}}=\frac{0 \cdot p_{k \ell-i}+p_{k \ell-i-1}}{0 \cdot q_{k \ell-i}+q_{k \ell-i-1}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, 0\right] \\
& =\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, a_{k \ell-i-1}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-1}, a_{0}, 0,-a_{0},-a_{1}, \ldots,-a_{i-1}\right] \\
& =\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-i}, a_{k \ell-i-1}, \ldots, a_{k \ell-1}, a_{0}-\frac{p_{i-1}}{q_{i-1}}\right] \\
& =\frac{p_{k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(1)}\right)+p_{k \ell-2}}{q_{k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(1)}\right)+q_{k \ell-2}} \stackrel{(2.9)}{(2.10)} \frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(1)}\left(R_{i-1}^{(1)}-2 c\right)+\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{R_{i-1}^{(1)}-R_{k \ell-1}^{(1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using mathematical induction and (2.8) it is not hard to show that the statement of the lemma holds too.

## Proposition 2.3

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. When period begins with $a_{1}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell-1$ and $\beta_{i}^{(m)}=-\frac{p_{\boldsymbol{m}-1}-R_{i-1}^{(\boldsymbol{m})} q_{m i-1}}{p_{\boldsymbol{m} i}-R_{i-1}^{(\boldsymbol{m})} q_{m i}}$, it holds

$$
R_{k \ell+i-1}^{(m)}=\frac{\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m(k \ell+i)}+p_{m(k \ell+i)-1}}{\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m(k \ell+i)}+q_{m(k \ell+i)-1}}, \text { for all } k \geq 0
$$

and when period is palindromic, then

$$
R_{k \ell-i-1}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{m(k \ell-i)-1}-\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m(k \ell-i)-2}}{q_{m(k \ell-i)-1}-\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m(k \ell-i)-2}}, \text { for all } k \geq 1
$$

## Proof.

We have $\beta_{i}^{(m)}=\left[0,-a_{m i},-a_{m i-1}, \ldots,-a_{1},-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right]$.

## Proof.

We have $\beta_{i}^{(m)}=\left[0,-a_{m i},-a_{m i-1}, \ldots,-a_{1},-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right]$. If $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m i}+p_{m i-1}}{\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m i}+q_{m i-1}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m i}, \beta_{i}^{(m)}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m i}, 0,-a_{m i},-a_{m i-1}, \ldots,-a_{1},-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right]=R_{i-1}^{(m)},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

We have $\beta_{i}^{(m)}=\left[0,-a_{m i},-a_{m i-1}, \ldots,-a_{1},-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right]$. If $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m i}+p_{m i-1}}{\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m i}+q_{m i-1}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m i}, \beta_{i}^{(m)}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m i}, 0,-a_{m i},-a_{m i-1}, \ldots,-a_{1},-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right]=R_{i-1}^{(m)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly if $k>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m(k \ell+i)}+p_{m(k \ell+i)-1}}{\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m(k \ell+i)}+q_{m(k \ell+i)-1}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m k \ell-1}, a_{m k \ell}-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right] \\
& =\frac{p_{m k \ell-1}\left(a_{m k \ell}-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right)+p_{m k \ell-2}}{q_{m k \ell-1}\left(a_{m k \ell}-a_{0}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right)+q_{m k \ell-2}} \\
& \underset{\substack{(2.6),(2.4)}}{(2.7)} \frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)} R_{i-1}^{(m)}+d-c^{2}}{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}+R_{i-1}^{(m)}-2 c} \stackrel{\text { Lm. 2.1 }}{=} R_{k \ell+i-1}^{(m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

When period is palindromic we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{m(k \ell-i)-1}-\beta_{i}^{(m)} p_{m(k \ell-i)-2}}{q_{m(k \ell-i)-1}-\beta_{i}^{(m)} q_{m(k \ell-i)-2}}=\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m(k \ell-i)-1},-\frac{1}{\beta_{i}^{(m)}}\right] \\
& =\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m(k \ell-i)-1}, a_{m(k \ell-i)}, a_{m(k \ell-i)+1}, \ldots, a_{m k \ell-1}, a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right] \\
& =\frac{p_{m k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right)+p_{m k \ell-2}}{q_{m k \ell-1}\left(a_{0}-R_{i-1}^{(m)}\right)+q_{m k \ell-2}} \underset{(2.9),(2.4)}{=} \frac{R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}\left(R_{i-1}^{(m)}-2 c\right)+c^{2}-d}{R_{i-1}^{(m)}-R_{k \ell-1}^{(m)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is using Lemma 2.2 equal to the $R_{k \ell-i-1}^{(m)}$.

Analogously as in [Duje2001, Lm. 3], from Proposition 2.3 it follows:

## Theorem 2.4

To be a good approximant is a periodic property, i.e. for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$
R_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad R_{r \ell+n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{r m \ell+k}}{q_{r m \ell+k}},
$$

Analogously as in [Duje2001, Lm. 3], from Proposition 2.3 it follows:

## Theorem 2.4

To be a good approximant is a periodic property, i.e. for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$
R_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad R_{r \ell+n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{r m \ell+k}}{q_{r m \ell+k}},
$$

and when period is palindromic, it is also a palindromic property, i.e. it holds:

$$
R_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad R_{\ell-n-2}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{m \ell-k-2}}{q_{m \ell-k-2}}
$$

Let us show how Theorem 2.4 can be applied. The first example shows palindromic situation, the second is not palindromic (but we accidentally get good approximation in the half of the period), and the third shows that good approximants do depend on $m$.

Let us show how Theorem 2.4 can be applied. The first example shows palindromic situation, the second is not palindromic (but we accidentally get good approximation in the half of the period), and the third shows that good approximants do depend on $m$.

## Example 2.5

Let us observe $\sqrt{44}=[6, \overline{1,1,1,2,1,1,1,12}]$. The period is palindromic and we have $\ell=8$. Let us consider e.g. the case $m=5$. We have:
$R_{n}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{n}^{5}+440 p_{n}^{3} q_{n}^{2}+9680 p_{n} q_{n}^{4}}{5 p_{n}^{4} q_{n}+440 p_{n}^{2} q_{n}^{3}+1936 q_{n}^{5}}$.
From (2.4) and (2.5) we have $R_{3}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{19}}{q_{19}}=\frac{3160100}{476403}$,
$R_{7}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{39}}{q_{39}}=\frac{4993116004999}{752740560150}, R_{11}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{59}}{q_{59}}, R_{15}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{79}}{q_{79}}, \ldots, R_{4 k-1}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{20 k-1}}{q_{20 k-1}}$.
$R_{0}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{8}}{q_{8}}=\frac{2514}{379}$. From Theorem 2.4 we have $R_{6}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{30}}{q_{30}}=\frac{7944493914}{1197677521}$, and also
$R_{8 k}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{40 k+8}}{q_{40 k+8}}$ and $R_{8 k-2}^{(5)}=\frac{p_{40 k-10}}{q_{40 k-10}}$.
$R_{1}^{(5)}=\frac{235487}{35501}$ is not a convergent of $\sqrt{44}$, so neither $R_{8 k+1}^{(5)}$ nor $R_{8 k-3}^{(5)}$ will be.
$R_{2}^{(5)}=\frac{6251453}{942442}$ is not a convergent of $\sqrt{44}$, so neither $R_{8 k+2}^{(5)}$ nor $R_{8 k-4}^{(5)}$ will be.

## Example 2.6

Let us observe $\alpha=\frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{3}=[9, \overline{5,6,1,2}]$ and $m=3$, we have:
$R_{m}^{(3)}=\frac{37 p_{n}^{3}-4572 p_{n} q_{n}^{2}+23368 q_{n}^{3}}{81 p_{n}^{2} q_{n}-1242 p_{n} q_{n}^{2}+4824 q_{n}^{3}}$.
We have $R_{3}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{11}}{q_{11}}=\frac{44004659}{435564}$, and so on $R_{4 k-1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{12 k-1}}{q_{12 k-1}}$. The period is not palindromic, and accidentally we have $R_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{7}}{q_{7}}=\frac{36409}{3960}$ (in palindromic case would be $\left.\frac{p_{5}}{q_{5}}\right)$, and so on $R_{4 k+1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{12 k+7}}{q_{12 k+7}}$.

## Example 2.6

Let us observe $\alpha=\frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{3}=[9, \overline{5,6,1,2}]$ and $m=3$, we have:
$R_{m}^{(3)}=\frac{37 p_{n}^{3}-4572 p_{n} q_{n}^{2}+23368 q_{n}^{3}}{81 p_{n}^{2} q_{n}-1242 p_{n} q_{n}^{2}+4824 q_{n}^{3}}$.
We have $R_{3}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{11}}{q_{11}}=\frac{44004659}{435564}$, and so on $R_{4 k-1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{12 k-1}}{q_{12 k-1}}$. The period is not palindromic, and accidentally we have $R_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{7}}{q_{7}}=\frac{36409}{3960}$ (in palindromic case would be $\frac{p_{5}}{q_{5}}$, and so on $R_{4 k+1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{12 k+7}}{q_{12 k+7}}$.

## Example 2.7

Let us observe $\alpha=\frac{7+\sqrt{11}}{5}=[2, \overline{15,1,3,1,3,1}]$. For $m=3$ we have:
$R_{6 k-1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{18 k-1}}{q_{18 k-1}} ; R_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{7}}{q_{7}}$ and $R_{6 k+1}^{(3)}=\frac{p_{18 k+7}}{q_{18 k+7}}$.
For $m=4$ we have: $R_{6 k-1}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{24 k-1}}{q_{24 k-1}} ; R_{0}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{5}}{q_{5}}$ and $R_{6 k}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{24 k+5}}{q_{24 k+5}} ; R_{1}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{11}}{q_{11}}$ and $R_{6 k+1}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{24 k+11}}{q_{24 k+11}} ; R_{3}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{17}}{q_{17}}$ and $R_{6 k+3}^{(4)}=\frac{p_{24 k+17}}{q_{24 k+17}}$.

Let us define coprime positive numbers $P_{n}^{(m)}, Q_{n}^{(m)}$ by

$$
\frac{P_{n}^{(m)}}{Q_{n}^{(m)}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{n}^{(m)} .
$$

From (2.8) it is not hard to show that it holds

$$
P_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(m)}=\left(P_{n}^{(1)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(1)}\right)^{m}=\left(p_{n}-\alpha q_{n}\right)^{m} .
$$

Let us define coprime positive numbers $P_{n}^{(m)}, Q_{n}^{(m)}$ by

$$
\frac{P_{n}^{(m)}}{Q_{n}^{(m)}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{n}^{(m)}
$$

From (2.8) it is not hard to show that it holds

$$
P_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(m)}=\left(P_{n}^{(1)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(1)}\right)^{m}=\left(p_{n}-\alpha q_{n}\right)^{m} .
$$

## Lemma 2.8

$R_{n}^{(m)}<\alpha$ if and only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd. Therefore, $R_{n}^{(m)}$ can be an even convergent only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd.
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## Lemma 2.8

$R_{n}^{(m)}<\alpha$ if and only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd. Therefore, $R_{n}^{(m)}$ can be an even convergent only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd.

Similarly as in [Duje2001], if $R_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}$, we can define $j^{(m)}=j^{(m)}(\alpha, n)$ as the distance from convergent with $m$ times larger index:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{(m)}=\frac{k+1-m(n+1)}{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an integer, by Lemma 2.8 .

Let us define coprime positive numbers $P_{n}^{(m)}, Q_{n}^{(m)}$ by

$$
\frac{P_{n}^{(m)}}{Q_{n}^{(m)}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{n}^{(m)}
$$

From (2.8) it is not hard to show that it holds

$$
P_{n}^{(m)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(m)}=\left(P_{n}^{(1)}-\alpha Q_{n}^{(1)}\right)^{m}=\left(p_{n}-\alpha q_{n}\right)^{m}
$$

## Lemma 2.8

$R_{n}^{(m)}<\alpha$ if and only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd. Therefore, $R_{n}^{(m)}$ can be an even convergent only if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd.

Similarly as in [Duje2001], if $R_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}$, we can define $j^{(m)}=j^{(m)}(\alpha, n)$ as the distance from convergent with $m$ times larger index:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{(m)}=\frac{k+1-m(n+1)}{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an integer, by Lemma 2.8. Using Theorem 2.4 we have $j^{(m)}(\alpha, n)=j^{(m)}(\alpha, k \ell+n)$, and in palindromic case:
$j^{(m)}(\alpha, n)=-j^{(m)}(\alpha, \ell-n-2)$.

From now on, let us observe only quadratic irrationals of the form $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}, d \neq \square$. It is well known that period of such $\alpha$ is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$.

From now on, let us observe only quadratic irrationals of the form $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}, d \neq \square$. It is well known that period of such $\alpha$ is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$.

Theorem 2.9 (for proof see [Pet2.2013])
$\left|R_{n+1}^{(m)}-\sqrt{d}\right|<\left|R_{n}^{(m)}-\sqrt{d}\right|$.

From now on, let us observe only quadratic irrationals of the form $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}, d \neq \square$. It is well known that period of such $\alpha$ is palindromic and begins with $a_{1}$.

Theorem 2.9 (for proof see [Pet2.2013])
$\left|R_{n+1}^{(m)}-\sqrt{d}\right|<\left|R_{n}^{(m)}-\sqrt{d}\right|$.

## Proposition 2.10 (for proof see [Pet2.2013])

When $d \neq \square$, for $n \geq 0$ we have $\left|j^{(m)}(\sqrt{d}, n)\right|<\frac{m(\ell / 2-1)}{2}$.

## Theorem 2.11 (Euler, see §26 in [Perron1954])

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{1}=a_{\ell-1}, a_{2}=a_{\ell-2}, \ldots$ The number $\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ is of the form $\sqrt{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{0} \equiv(-1)^{\ell-1} p_{\ell-2}^{\prime} q_{\ell-2}^{\prime} \quad\left(\bmod p_{\ell-1}^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{p_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ are convergents of the number $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]$. Then it holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=a_{0}^{2}+\frac{2 a_{0} p_{\ell-2}^{\prime}+q_{\ell-2}^{\prime}}{p_{\ell-1}^{\prime}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{1}=a_{\ell-1}, a_{2}=a_{\ell-2}, \ldots$ The number $\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]$ is of the form $\sqrt{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{0} \equiv(-1)^{\ell-1} p_{\ell-2}^{\prime} q_{\ell-2}^{\prime} \quad\left(\bmod p_{\ell-1}^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{p_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ are convergents of the number $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]$. Then it holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=a_{0}^{2}+\frac{2 a_{0} p_{\ell-2}^{\prime}+q_{\ell-2}^{\prime}}{p_{\ell-1}^{\prime}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 2.12

Let $F_{k}$ denote the $k$-th Fibonacci number. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k>1, k \equiv 1,2$ $(\bmod 3)$. For $d_{k}(n)=\left(\frac{(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}{2}\right)^{2}+(2 n-1) F_{k-1}+1$ it holds
$\sqrt{d_{k}(n)}=[\frac{(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}{2}, \underbrace{\overline{1,1, \ldots, 1,1},(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}_{k-1 \text { times }}]$, and $\ell\left(\sqrt{d_{k}(n)}\right)=k$.

## Proof.

From (2.12), it follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 a_{0} \equiv(-1)^{k-1} F_{k-1} F_{k-2} \equiv(-1)^{k-1} F_{k-1}( & \left.F_{k}-F_{k-1}\right) \\
& \equiv(-1)^{k-1}\left(-F_{k-1}^{2}\right)\left(\bmod F_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now from Cassini's identity $F_{k} F_{k-2}-F_{k-1}^{2}=(-1)^{k-1}$ we have $2 a_{0} \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod F_{k}\right)$. When $3 \mid k$, this congruence is not solvable, and if $3 \nmid k$, the solution is $a_{0} \equiv \frac{F_{k}+1}{2}\left(\bmod F_{k}\right)$, i.e.

$$
a_{0}=\frac{F_{k}+1}{2}+(n-1) F_{k}=\frac{(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From (2.13) it follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =\left(\frac{(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left((2 n-1) F_{k}+1\right) F_{k-1}+F_{k-2}}{F_{k}} \\
& =\left(\frac{(2 n-1) F_{k}+1}{2}\right)^{2}+(2 n-1) F_{k-1}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.13

Let $F_{\ell}$ denote the $\ell$-th Fibonacci number. Let $\ell>3, \ell \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$. Then for $d_{\ell}=\left(\frac{F_{\ell-3} F_{\ell}+1}{2}\right)^{2}+F_{\ell-3} F_{\ell-1}+1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $\ell\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}\right)=\ell$ and

$$
j^{(3 M-1)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=j^{(3 M)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=j^{(3 M+1)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=\frac{\ell-3}{2} \cdot M .
$$
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By (2.11), we have to prove
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R_{0}^{(3 M-1)}=\frac{p_{M \ell-2}}{q_{M \ell-2}}, \quad R_{0}^{(3 M)}=\frac{p_{M \ell-1}}{q_{M \ell-1}}, \quad R_{0}^{(3 M+1)}=\frac{p_{M \ell}}{q_{M \ell}} .
$$
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j^{(3 M-1)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=j^{(3 M)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=j^{(3 M+1)}\left(\sqrt{d_{\ell}}, 0\right)=\frac{\ell-3}{2} \cdot M .
$$

## Proof.

By (2.11), we have to prove

$$
R_{0}^{(3 M-1)}=\frac{p_{M \ell-2}}{q_{M \ell-2}}, \quad R_{0}^{(3 M)}=\frac{p_{M \ell-1}}{q_{M \ell-1}}, \quad R_{0}^{(3 M+1)}=\frac{p_{M \ell}}{q_{M \ell}} .
$$

We have $a_{0}=\frac{F_{\ell-3} F_{\ell}+1}{2}$, and by Lemma 2.12 it holds $\sqrt{d_{\ell}}=[a_{0}, \underbrace{\overline{1,1, \ldots, 1,1}}_{\ell-1 \text { times }}, 2 a_{0}]$. From Cassini's identity, it follows

$$
R_{0}^{(1)}=\frac{p_{0}}{q_{0}}=a_{0}, \quad R_{0}^{(2)}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-2}}{F_{\ell-1}}=\frac{p_{\ell-2}}{q_{\ell-2}}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{(3)}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1} F_{\ell-2}^{3}}{F_{\ell-1}^{2} F_{\ell-2}^{2}+F_{\ell-2}^{2}}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1}}{F_{\ell}}=\frac{p_{\ell-1}}{q_{\ell-1}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{(3)}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1} F_{\ell-2}^{3}}{F_{\ell-1}^{2} F_{\ell-2}^{2}+F_{\ell-2}^{2}}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1}}{F_{\ell}}=\frac{p_{\ell-1}}{q_{\ell-1}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove the theorem using induction on $M$. For proving the inductive step, first observe that from (2.8) for $m \geq 3$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k}^{(2)} R_{k}^{(m-2)}+d}{R_{k}^{(2)}+R_{k}^{(m-2)}}, \quad \quad R_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k}^{(3)} R_{k}^{(m-3)}+d}{R_{k}^{(3)}+R_{k}^{(m-3)}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{(3)}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1} F_{\ell-2}^{3}}{F_{\ell-1}^{2} F_{\ell-2}^{2}+F_{\ell-2}^{2}}=a_{0}+\frac{F_{\ell-1}}{F_{\ell}}=\frac{p_{\ell-1}}{q_{\ell-1}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove the theorem using induction on $M$. For proving the inductive step, first observe that from (2.8) for $m \geq 3$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k}^{(2)} R_{k}^{(m-2)}+d}{R_{k}^{(2)}+R_{k}^{(m-2)}}, \quad R_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{R_{k}^{(3)} R_{k}^{(m-3)}+d}{R_{k}^{(3)}+R_{k}^{(m-3)}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that for some $i \in\{0, \ell-2, \ell-1\}$ it holds $\frac{p_{(M-\mathbf{1}) \ell+i}}{q_{(M-\mathbf{1}) \ell+i}}=R_{0}^{(m-3)}$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{M \ell+i}}{q_{M \ell+i}}=[a_{0}, \underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1,1}_{\ell-1 \text { times }}, a_{0}+R_{0}^{(m-3)}]= \\
& \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \\
& \left(\frac{p_{\ell-1} R_{0}^{(m-3)}+d q_{\ell-1}}{(2.10)^{q_{\ell-1} R_{0}^{(m-3)}+p_{\ell-1}}} \stackrel{(2.14)}{=}\right.
\end{aligned} \frac{R_{0}^{(3)} R_{0}^{(m-3)}+d}{R_{0}^{(3)}+R_{0}^{(m-3)}} \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} R_{0}^{(m)} .
$$

## Corollary 2.14

For each $m \geq 2$ it holds

$$
\sup \left\{\left|j^{(m)}(\sqrt{d}, n)\right|\right\}=+\infty
$$

## Corollary 2.14

For each $m \geq 2$ it holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup \left\{\left|j^{(m)}(\sqrt{d}, n)\right|\right\}=+\infty \\
\lim \sup \left\{\frac{\left|j^{(m)}(\sqrt{d}, n)\right|}{\ell(\sqrt{d})}\right\} \geq \frac{m}{6} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Analogously as before, let us define

$$
b^{(m)}(\alpha)=\mid\left\{n: 0 \leq n \leq \ell-1, R_{n}^{(m)} \text { is a convergent of } \alpha\right\} \mid .
$$

For arbitrary $m$ experimental results suggest that similar properties could hold as for $m=2$. But $b^{(m)}(\alpha)$ is not a monotonic function in $m$. And there are some differences, as the following example shows.

Analogously as before, let us define

$$
b^{(m)}(\alpha)=\mid\left\{n: 0 \leq n \leq \ell-1, R_{n}^{(m)} \text { is a convergent of } \alpha\right\} \mid .
$$

For arbitrary $m$ experimental results suggest that similar properties could hold as for $m=2$. But $b^{(m)}(\alpha)$ is not a monotonic function in $m$. And there are some differences, as the following example shows.

## Example 2.15

We have $\ell(\sqrt{45})=6$ and

$$
b^{(m)}(\sqrt{45})= \begin{cases}4, & \text { if } m \equiv 2(\bmod 4), \\ 6, & \text { if } m \not \equiv 2 \\ (\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$
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