

Coloring and density theorems for configurations of a given volume

Supported in part by HRZZ IP-2022-10-5116 (FANAP)

Thursday, July 11, 2024

Vjekoslav Kovač (University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science)

Recent advances in Harmonic Analysis, Malaga

At the intersection of: combinatorics geometry analysis

Coloring theorems are a part of the Euclidean Ramsey theory,

which identifies monochromatic configurations present in every finite coloring of \mathbb{R}^n



Systematic study initiated by Erdős, Graham, Montgomery, Rothschild, Spencer, and Straus (1970s)

A finite coloring of $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ = any partition of S into finitely many color-classes $\mathscr{C}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{C}_r$



A coloring is *measurable* if C_j are Lebesgue-measurable A coloring is *Jordan-measurable* if C_j have boundaries of measure 0 We search for (congruent) monochromatic copies of a configuration (= pattern) from a given family:

$$\mathscr{P} = \{ \mathsf{P}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}, \qquad \Lambda \subseteq (\mathbf{0}, \infty)$$

Common types of results:

- An arbitrary coloring of \mathbb{R}^n or $[0, R]^n$ contains a monochromatic copy of P_{λ} for some parameter λ
- An arbitrary coloring of ℝⁿ or [0, R]ⁿ contains monochromatic copies of P_λ for all values of λ

A question by Rosenfeld (1994), popularized by Erdős

Does every finite coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 contain a pair of equally colored points at an odd distance from each other?

$\lambda \in 2\mathbb{N}-1$

Answered affirmatively by James Davies (2022)

Still open when $2\mathbb{N}-1$ is replaced with

- either $\{n! : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (Kahle),
- or $\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (Soifer)

Density theorems are a part of geometric measure theory,

which identifies configurations present in every "large" subset of \mathbb{R}^n



Initiated by Erdős, Székely, Bourgain, Falconer, etc. (1980s)

A measurable set $A \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ is considered *large* if its Lebesgue measure is positive:

|A| > 0

A measurable set $A \subseteq [0, R]^n$ is considered *large* if its *density* is

$$\delta = rac{|{\sf A}|}{R^n} \gtrsim 1$$

Density theorems — What is a "large" set?

A measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is considered *large* if its *upper density* is positive:

$$\overline{\mathsf{d}}_n(A) := \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap ([-R/2, R/2]^n)|}{R^n} > 0,$$

or if its upper Banach density is positive:

$$\overline{\delta}_n(A) := \lim_{R \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|A \cap (x + [0, R]^n)|}{R^n} > 0$$



A family of configurations (= patterns):

$$\mathscr{P} = \{ \mathsf{P}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}, \qquad \Lambda \subseteq (0, \infty)$$

Other common types of results:

- A large A ⊆ ℝⁿ contains copies of P_λ for all sufficiently large parameters λ
- A large A ⊆ [0, 1]ⁿ contains copies of P_λ for an interval I ⊆ Λ of parameters λ, with a bound on the length of I depending on |A|

A question by Székely (1982), popularized by Erdős

Does every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of positive upper density realize all sufficiently large distances between pairs of its points?



Answered affirmatively by:

- Furstenberg, Katznelson, and Weiss (1980s),
- Falconer and Marstrand (1986),
- Bourgain (1986)

Connections between the two worlds

a positive density result \implies a positive measurable coloring result a negative measurable coloring result \implies a negative density result

 $\mathscr{C}_1,\ldots,\mathscr{C}_r$ a measurable coloring of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\implies \qquad \overline{\delta}_n(\mathscr{C}_1) + \dots + \overline{\delta}_n(\mathscr{C}_r) \ge \overline{\delta}_n(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$$
$$\implies \overline{\delta}_n(\mathscr{C}_j) \ge \frac{1}{r} > 0 \quad \text{for at least one index } 1 \le j \le r$$

Techniques for positive results

use real (linear and multilinear) harmonic analysis to prove density theorems

Techniques for negative results are typically funny colorings Vertex-sets of simplices

Pioneering work by Bourgain (1986)



• Uses Littlewood–Paley theory, i.e., square function estimates:

$$(Sf)(x) := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| (f * \psi_k)(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Biased selection of previously studied problems

Anisotropically scaled simplices K. (2020)



Uses anisotropic multilinear C–Z operators:

$$\Lambda(f_0,\ldots,f_n):=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{n+1}} K(x_1-x_0,\ldots,x_n-x_0)\left(\prod_{k=0}^n f_k(x_k)\,\mathrm{d}x_k\right)$$

 Coifman and Meyer (1970s), Grafakos and Torres (2002) meet Stein and Wainger (1978) Arithmetic progressions in ℓ^p-norms Cook, Magyar, and Pramanik (2015) Durcik and K. (2020)



• Uses (dualized and truncated) multilinear Hilbert transforms:

$$\Lambda(f_0,\ldots,f_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{[-R,-r]\cup[r,R]} \prod_{k=0}^n f_k(x+kt) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

- Tao (2016) showed *o*(log(*R*/*r*)), Zorin-Kranich (2016)
- Durcik, K., and Thiele (2016) showed $O((\log(R/r))^{1-\varepsilon})$

(Non-rotated) corners in ℓ^p-norms Durcik, K., and Rimanić (2016)



Uses the 2D bilinear square function:

$$S(f,g)(x,y) := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+t,y)g(x,y+t)\psi_k(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

• Durcik, K., Škreb, and Thiele (2016)

Progression-extended boxes in ℓ^p**-norms** Durcik and K. (2018)



• Uses some hybrid singular integral forms

Biased selection of previously studied problems

Pairs of points along a parabola (or a beam of parabolae) Kuca, Orponen, and Sahlsten (2021) Durcik, K., and Stipčić (2023)



• Uses Bourgain's generalized circular maximal function (1986):

$$(Mf)(x) := \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} \left| (f * \sigma_t)(x) \right|$$

Similar copies of arbitrary finite configurations in very dense sets Falconer, K., and Yavicoli (2020)



 Uses the method of rotations + Diophantine approximations: quantitative equidistribution of quadratic sequences modulo 1 We will discuss:

triangles and simplices

rectangles and rectangular boxes

parallelograms and parallelotopes

 $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$

Graham (1979)

For all finite colorings of \mathbb{R}^n some color-class contains vertices of a right-angled *m*-dimensional simplex of unit volume

It is sufficient to color a "large" cube $[0, R]^n$ in r colors

Open problem (essentially Graham, 1979)

Is there a reasonable lower bound (i.e., not of the Ackermann type) on the number R = R(r)?





 $m \ge 2$, $n \ge m+1$

Theorem (K., 2024)

(a)
$$R > 1$$
, $A \subseteq [0, R]^n$, $\delta = \frac{|A|}{R^n} \ge \left(\frac{C_m}{\log R}\right)^{1/(9m^2)}$

 \implies A contains m + 1 vertices of a right-angled *m*-dimensional simplex of unit volume

(b) $R \ge \exp(C_m r^{9m^2})$, $[0, R]^n$ is measurably colored in r colors \implies there exists a right-angled m-dimensional simplex of unit

volume with monochromatic vertices

Assume n = m + 1

E.g., *m* = 2, *n* = 3



 $\theta = m^{-1}2^{-m^2-m-1}\delta^{m+1}, \quad \lambda > 0$ a certain (aspect ratio) parameter Configuration-counting form:

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A;R) := \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y) \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{A}(x+u_{k} e_{k},y) \Big) \mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y+v) \\ &d\sigma_{m!|u_{1}\cdots u_{m-1}|^{-1}}(v) \,\lambda^{-m+1} \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{[-\lambda,-\theta\lambda] \cup [\theta\lambda,\lambda]}(u_{k}) \Big) \, du \, R^{-m-1} \, dy \, dx \end{split}$$

 $\sigma = \mbox{the normalized circle measure on } \mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$

 $\mathcal{N}^0_\lambda(A; R) =$ a certain density of a subcollection of axes-aligned right-simplices inside A

A great idea developed by Bourgain (1986), ..., Cook, Magyar, and Pramanik (2015) is a *smoothed counting form* defined for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$:

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A;R) := \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y) \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{A}(x+u_{k} \oplus_{k},y) \Big) \mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y+v) \\ &(\sigma \ast g_{\varepsilon})_{m!|u_{1}\cdots u_{m-1}|^{-1}}(v) \lambda^{-m+1} \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{[-\lambda,-\theta\lambda] \cup [\theta\lambda,\lambda]}(u_{k}) \Big) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}u \, R^{-m-1} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \end{split}$$

We use the normalized Gaussian ${\rm g}$ for this purpose to apply the heat equation (Durcik and K., 2020)

Interlude — A general scheme

)

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A; R) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A; R) = \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{1}(A; R)}_{\text{structured part}} + \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{1}(A; R)\right)}_{\text{error part}} + \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R)\right)}_{\text{uniform part}} + \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R)\right)}_{\text{u$$

small for some λ

For the structured part \mathbb{N}^1_λ we need a lower bound $\mathbb{N}^1_\lambda \geqslant c(\delta)$

that is uniform in λ , but this should be a simpler/smoother problem

For the uniform part
$$\mathcal{N}^0_\lambda - \mathcal{N}^arepsilon_\lambda$$
 we want $\lim_{arepsilon o 0} \left|\mathcal{N}^0_\lambda - \mathcal{N}^arepsilon_\lambda
ight| = 0$

uniformly in λ ; this usually leads to some **oscillatory integrals**

For the error part
$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{1}$$
 one tries to prove

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \left| \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_{j}}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_{j}}^{1} \right| \leqslant C(\varepsilon)o(J)$$

for lacunary scales $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_J$; this usually leads to some **multilinear singular integrals**

Lemma $R, \lambda \in (0, \infty), \ R^{-1/(m-1)} \leq \lambda \leq R, \ A \subseteq [0, R]^{m+1}, \ \delta = |A|/R^{m+1}$ $\implies \mathcal{N}^{1}_{\lambda}(A; R) \gtrsim \delta^{(m+1)(2m-1)}$

Estimated by cutting $[0, R]^{m+1}$ into pieces of size

$$\lambda imes \cdots imes \lambda imes \lambda^{-m+1} imes \lambda^{-m+1}$$

and using basic enumerative combinatorics

Lemma
$$\lambda, R \in (0, \infty), \ \varepsilon \in (0, 1], \ A \subseteq [0, R]^{m+1}$$
$$\implies \left| \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R) \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2}$$

Estimated using the Fourier decay of σ :

 $|\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)| \lesssim (1+|\xi|)^{-1/2}$

Triangles and simplices — Proof: uniform part

$$f(x, y; u) := \mathbb{1}_{A}(x, y) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{A}(x + u_{k} \mathbb{e}_{k}, y)$$
$$g(x) := e^{-\pi |x|^{2}}, \ \mathbb{k} := \Delta g$$

The heat equation:

$$(\sigma * \mathbf{g}_{\tau})(\mathbf{v}) - (\sigma * \mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon})(\mathbf{v}) = -\int_{\tau}^{\varepsilon} (\sigma * \mathbf{k}_{t})(\mathbf{v}) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2\pi t}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\tau}(A;R) &- \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A;R) \\ &= -\int_{\tau}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(x,y;u) \mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y+v) R^{-m-1} \lambda^{-m+1} \\ & (\sigma * \mathbb{k}_{t})_{m!|u_{1}\cdots u_{m-1}|^{-1}}(v) \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{[-\lambda,-\theta\lambda] \cup [\theta\lambda,\lambda]}(u_{k})\Big) \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}x \, \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2\pi t} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y; u) \mathbb{1}_A(x, y + v) (\sigma * \mathbb{k}_t)_a(v) \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}y \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_A(x, \xi) \, \overline{\widehat{f}(x, \xi; u)} \, \widehat{\sigma}(a\xi) \, \widehat{\mathbb{k}}(ta\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right| \\ &\lesssim t^{1/2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_A(x, \xi) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{f}(x, \xi; u) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi \Big)^{1/2} \\ &= t^{1/2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{1}_A(x, y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y; u)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \leqslant t^{1/2} R^2. \end{split}$$

$$\left|\mathfrak{N}^{ au}_{\lambda}(A; R) - \mathfrak{N}^{arepsilon}_{\lambda}(A; R)
ight| \lesssim \int_{ au}^{arepsilon} t^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim arepsilon^{1/2}$$

Let $\tau \to 0$

 \square

Lemma

$$R \in (0, \infty), \ \varepsilon \in (0, 1], \ A \subseteq [0, R]^{m+1}$$

 $\implies \int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^1(A; R)\right)^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \lesssim \theta^{-4(m-1)} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2$

Estimated using basic Littlewood–Paley theory Pigeonholing gives an appropriate parameter λ

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A;R) - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{1}(A;R) = -\int_{\varepsilon}^{1}\int_{e^{-1}t\lambda^{-m+1}}^{t\lambda^{-m+1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}f(x,y;u)\mathbb{1}_{A}(x,y+v)$$
$$R^{-m-1}\lambda^{-m+1}(\sigma * \mathbb{k}_{t})_{a}(v)\Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1}\mathbb{1}_{[-\lambda,-\theta\lambda]\cup[\theta\lambda,\lambda]}(u_{k})\Big)\,\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}x\,\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2\pi t}$$

A few L–P tricks and Cauchy–Schwarz reduce:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{N}_{e^{\alpha}}^{\varepsilon}(A;R) - \mathcal{N}_{e^{\alpha}}^{1}(A;R) \right)^{2} d\alpha \lesssim \theta^{-4m+4} R^{-m-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)$$
$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \underbrace{\int_{\varepsilon} \underbrace{\int_{te^{-(m-1)\alpha}}^{te^{-(m-1)\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{A}}(x,\xi) \right|^{2} e^{-2\pi s^{2}|\xi|^{2}} |\xi|^{2} d\xi dx s ds d\alpha}_{\lesssim R^{m+1}} \frac{dt}{t}$$

Erdős

A measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of infinite area (and even an unbounded set of positive area) necessarily contains the vertices of a triangle of area 1.

Open problem (Erdős, 1983)

Must a measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with infinite area contain the vertices of a right triangle of area 1?

Open problem (Erdős, 1978)

Is it true that there is an absolute constant *C* so that, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ has area > *C*, then *A* contains the vertices of a triangle of area 1?

Problem (Erdős and Graham, 1979) The question is: Is this also true for rectangles?

Ad: T. Bloom, Erdős Problems www.erdosproblems.com

Theorem (K., 2024)

There exists a Jordan-measurable coloring of the plane in 25 colors such that no color-class contains the vertices of a rectangle of area 1

Relax a rectangle of area 1 to a parallelogram \mathcal{P} with $|AB| \cdot |AD| = 1$



Define a complex "invariant" quantity:

$$\mathscr{I}(\mathcal{P}) := z_A^2 - z_B^2 + z_C^2 - z_D^2 = 2uv$$

On the one hand, for a parallelogram with $|AB| \cdot |AD| = 1$,

 $|\mathscr{I}(\mathcal{P})| = 2|u||v| = 2$

For each pair $(j, k) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}^2$ define a color-class $\mathscr{C}_{j,k}$ as

$$\mathscr{C}_{j,k} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \, : \, z^2 \in \frac{10}{3} \left(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z} + \frac{j + ik}{5} + \left[0, \frac{1}{5} \right) + i\left[0, \frac{1}{5} \right) \right) \right\}$$

One the other hand, for a monochromatic parallelogram,

$$\mathscr{I}(\mathfrak{P}) \in \frac{10}{3} \left(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z} + \left(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5} \right) + i\left(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5} \right) \right),$$

which is never = 2 in the absolute value

The coloring $(\mathscr{C}_{j,k})$ of \mathbb{R}^2 :





A higher-dimensional generalization

Theorem (K., 2024)

For every $n \in \mathbb{N} \exists$ a finite Jordan-measurable coloring of \mathbb{R}^n s.t., $\forall m \leq n$, there is no *m*-dimensional rectangular box of *m*-volume equal to 1 with all 2^m vertices colored the same

Rectangular boxes — Proof of the theorem



Proof idea. A real-valued quantity invariant for slightly tilted boxes:

$$\mathscr{J}(\mathcal{R}) := \sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a vertex of } \mathcal{R}} (-1)^{m - \text{parity}(x)} x_1 \cdots x_m$$

$n \ge m + 1$ & the coloring is measurable \implies all sufficiently large volumes are attained

Theorem (K., 2024)

- (a) $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\overline{\delta}_n(A) > 0$ $\implies \exists V_0 = V_0(A) > 0 \quad \forall V \ge V_0 \quad \exists m$ -dimensional rectangular box of *m*-volume *V* with all 2^m vertices in *A*
- (b) For every finite measurable coloring of ℝⁿ ∃ a color-class C ∃V₀ > 0 ∀V ≥ V₀ ∃ an *m*-dimensional rectangular box of *m*-volume V with all vertices in C
 - Previously known for
 - $\circ n \ge 5m$ (Durcik and K., 2018)
 - $\circ n \geqslant 2m$ (Lyall and Magyar, 2019)
 - Still open for *n* = *m*

Rectangular boxes — Proof of the theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Configuration-counting form:} \qquad \theta = m^{-1} 2^{-2^m n} \delta^{2^m}, \quad \lambda > 0 \\ & \mathbb{N}^0_{\lambda}(A; R) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \\ & \left(\prod_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m} \mathbbm{1}_A(x_1 + r_1 u_1, \dots, x_{m-1} + r_{m-1} u_{m-1}, y + r_m v)\right) \\ & d\sigma_{\lambda^m | u_1 \cdots u_{m-1} | ^{-1}}(v) \, \lambda^{-m+1} \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbbm{1}_{[-\lambda, -\theta\lambda] \cup [\theta\lambda, \lambda]}(u_k)\Big) \, du \, R^{-n} \, dy \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Smoothed configuration-counting form: $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(A; R) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \left(\prod_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m} \mathbb{1}_A(x_1 + r_1 u_1, \dots, x_{m-1} + r_{m-1} u_{m-1}, y + r_m v) \right) \\ (\sigma * g_{\varepsilon})_{\lambda^m | u_1 \cdots u_{m-1} |^{-1}}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v \, \lambda^{-m+1} \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{[-\lambda, -\theta\lambda] \cup [\theta\lambda, \lambda]}(u_k) \Big) \, \mathrm{d}u \, R^{-n} \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Lemma}\\ 0<\lambda\leqslant \textit{R}, \ \textit{A}\subseteq[0,\textit{R}]^n, \ \delta=|\textit{A}|/\textit{R}^n\\ \implies \mathcal{N}^1_\lambda(\textit{A};\textit{R})\gtrsim_\delta 1 \end{array}$

Estimated by cutting $[0, R]^n$ into cubes of size

 $\lambda\times \dots \times \lambda$

and using inequalities for the so-called box-Gowers norms

Lemma
$$\lambda, R \in (0, \infty), \ \varepsilon \in (0, 1], \ A \subseteq [0, R]^n$$

 $\implies \left| \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda}(A; R) - \mathcal{N}^{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}(A; R) \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2}$

Very similar to the lemma for simplices

Lemma

 $R \in (0,\infty), \ \varepsilon \in (0,1], \ (\lambda_j)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant J}$ satisfying $\lambda_{j+1} \geqslant 2\lambda_j, \ A \subseteq [0,R]^n$

$$\implies \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left| \mathfrak{N}_{\lambda_{j}}^{\varepsilon}(A;R) - \mathfrak{N}_{\lambda_{j}}^{1}(A;R) \right| \lesssim_{\delta,\varepsilon} 1$$

Estimated using superpositions of

- entangled singular integral forms K. (2010, 2011), Durcik (2014, 2015), Durcik, K., Škreb, Thiele (2016),
- recently a.k.a. singular Brascamp-Lieb estimates Durcik, Thiele (2018, 2019), Durcik, Slavíková, Thiele (2021, 2023)

Pigeonholing gives an appropriate parameter $\lambda_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq J$

Open problem (Erdős and Graham, 1979) Or perhaps parallelograms?

Here we only give a partial answer

Theorem (K., 2024)

Suppose that we are given lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

There exists a Jordan-measurable coloring of the plane s.t. there is no parallelogram of area 1 with monochromatic vertices that, additionally, has one side parallel to some line ℓ_i or it has all angles greater than ε

⇒ Possible counterexamples are almost degenerate parallelograms and infinitely many directions should be considered $n \ge 2, \ \varepsilon > 0$

Theorem (K., 2024)

There exists a Jordan-measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of infinite volume such that every *n*-dimensional parallelotope with all 2^n vertices in *A* has volume less than ε

Taking $\varepsilon = 1$ we guarantee that parallelotopes with vertices in A cannot have volume 1

Case n = 2 previously claimed by Erdős and Mauldin (1983)

Proof idea. This result is much easier:

$$\left\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n : x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{n!}\right\}$$

How are **area** 1 **rectangles** (density results impossible) different from **area** 1 **right-angled triangles** (density results possible in dimensions $n \ge 3$)?

 $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{R}), \ 0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\varphi}) \text{ or } 0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\psi})$ $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in [1, \infty]^4, \ \sum_{k=1}^4 \frac{1}{p_k} = 1, \ 0 < r < R$ Let $C_{r,R}$ be the best constant in:

$$\left| \int_{r}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} f_{1}(x, y) f_{2}(x, y') f_{3}(x', y) f_{4}(x', y') \right|$$

$$\varphi_{t}(x - x') \psi_{1/t}(y - y') \, dy \, dy' \, dx \, dx' \, \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leqslant C_{r,R} \prod_{k=1}^{4} \|f_{k}\|_{L^{p_{k}}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}$$

We claim: $C_{r,R} \sim \log(R/r)$ as $R/r \to \infty$

(Not any better than the trivial estimate obtained from Hölder)

Harmonic analyst's point of view — rectangles

$$M > 0, \quad g(x) := e^{-\pi x^2}$$

$$f_1(x, y) := e^{2\pi i x y} g\left(\frac{x}{M}\right) g\left(\frac{y}{M}\right)$$

$$f_2 := \overline{f_1}, \quad f_3 := \overline{f_1}, \quad f_4 := f_1$$

$$RHS \sim C_{r,R}M^2$$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{1}{M^2} LHS = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{1}{M^2} \int_r^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f_1(x, y) f_2(x, y') f_3(x', y) f_4(x', y')$$
$$\varphi_t(x - x') \psi_{1/t}(y - y') d(x, x', y, y') \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$[\text{substitute } u = x - x', v = y - y']$$
$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_r^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i u v} \varphi_t(u) \psi_{1/t}(v) g\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) g\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) d(u, v) \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\varphi}(-v) \psi(v) dv$$

Harmonic analyst's point of view — triangles

Let $C'_{r,R}$ be the best constant in: $(p_4 = \infty)$

$$\left| \int_{r}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} f_{1}(x, y) f_{2}(x, y') f_{3}(x', y) \varphi_{t}(x - x') \psi_{1/t}(y - y') \, dy \, dy' \, dx \, dx' \, \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ \leqslant C_{r,R}' \prod_{k=1}^{3} \|f_{k}\|_{L^{p_{k}}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}$$

A single Cauchy–Schwarz + a square function estimate:

$$C'_{r,R} = O((\log(R/r))^{1/2})$$

It could be interesting to study boundedness/cancellation of "volume-preserving" or "time reversed" multilinear singular integral operators

Thank you for your attention!