Double penalisation method for porous-fluid problems with applications to flow control

Charles-Henri Bruneau & Iraj Mortazavi

Université Bordeaux I INRIA Bordeaux - Projet MC2 Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux UMR CNRS 5251

October 14, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ ◆□

Summary

- Introduction
- Physical description
- Reduction of the porous layer to a boundary condition
- Coupling of Darcy equations with Stokes equations
- The double penalisation method
- Outline of the numerical simulation
- Flow control around a riser pipe
- Drag reduction of a simplified car model
- Conclusions

Targets

- Computing efficiently the flow in solid-porous-fluid media.
- To explore a tool to perform simultaneously all computations:
- Low computational tasks;
- Low complexity of the method;
- Useful to solve different industrial problems.

Physical description



We have to solve a problem involving three different media, the solid body, the porous layers and the incompressible fluid.

....physical description

From the solid to the main fluid (Vafai 81, Nield & Bejan 99):

- the boundary layer in the porous medium close to the solid wall has a thickness thickness order of $k^{1/2}$,
- the homogeneous porous flow with the very low Darcy velocity $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{D}}$,
- the porous interface region with the fluid velocity from u_D to u_i at the boundary and the thickness about $k^{1/2}$,
- the boundary layer in the fluid close to the porous frontier that grows from the interface velocity u_i instead of zero,
- the main fluid flow with mean velocity \mathbf{u}_0 .

Reduction of the porous layer to a boundary condition

From the Darcy law, Beavers and Joseph (1972) derived the ad hoc boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{k}^{1/2}} (\mathbf{u_i} - \mathbf{u_D}) \hspace{0.2cm} ; \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

with α : a slip coefficient.

Modified boundary condition (Jones 1973)

$$(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}) = \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{k}^{1/2}} (\mathbf{u_i} - \mathbf{u_D}) \hspace{0.2cm} ; \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

Normal transpiration (Perot & Moin 1995)

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
; $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$; $\mathbf{v} = -\beta \mathbf{p}'$

with β : the porosity coefficient; $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{x}$: fluctuation of the wall pressure versus the mean pressure gradient.

Coupling of Darcy equations with Fluid equations

Modelling both the porous medium and the flow

$$\frac{\mu_{\mathbf{p}}}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0} \; ; \; \mathbf{div} \, \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\partial_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{U} - \nu \, \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0} \; ; \; \mathbf{div} \, \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{0}$$

Boundary condition at the interface (Das et al. 2002, Hanspal et al. 2006, Salinger et al. 1994)

- Darcy equation as a boundary condition for the fluid
- Beavers & Joseph type condition and Brinkman equation Interface velocity continuous with a stress jump

$$\mu_{\mathbf{p}}(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u_i}}{\partial \mathbf{y}})_{\mathbf{porous}} - \mu(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u_i}}{\partial \mathbf{y}})_{\mathbf{fluid}} = \frac{\gamma}{\mathbf{k}^{1/2}}\mathbf{u_i}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア ション

where γ is a dimensionless coefficient of order one.

Penalisation method

Arquis & Caltagirone (88), Angot et al. (99), Kevlahan & Ghidaglia (99).

Brinkman's equation (valid only for high porosities close to one) obtained from Darcy's law by adding the diffusion term:

$$abla \mathbf{p} = -\,rac{\mu}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{U} + ilde{\mu} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{U}$$

adding the inertial terms with the Dupuit-Forchheiner relationship, the Forchheiner-Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\rho \,\partial_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{U} + \,\rho \left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{U} \,+ \nabla \mathbf{p} = - \,\frac{\mu}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{U} + \tilde{\mu} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{U}$$

where **k**: intrinsic permeability, $\tilde{\mu}$: Brinkman's effective viscosity and Φ : porosity. As Φ is close to 1 we have $\tilde{\mu}$ close to μ/Φ :

$$\rho \partial_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{U} + \rho (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{p} = -\frac{\mu}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{U} + \mu \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{U}$$

Double penalisation method

Nondimensionalisation using the mean fluid velocity \overline{U} and the obstacles heigh H: $U = U' \overline{U}$; x = x' H; $t = t'/\overline{U}$.

Penalised non dimensional Navier-Stokes equations adding \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{K} to incompressible NS equations $(K = \frac{\rho k \Phi \overline{U}}{\mu H} \text{ non dimensional} permeability coefficient of the medium):$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_t U + (U \cdot \nabla) U - \frac{1}{Re} \Delta U + \frac{\mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{K}} + \nabla p = 0 & \quad in \ \Omega_T \\ divU = 0 & \quad in \ \Omega_T \\ U(0, .) = U_0 & \quad in \ \Omega \\ U = U_\infty & \quad on \ \Gamma_D \times I \\ U = 0 & \quad on \ \Gamma_W \times I \\ \sigma(U, p) \ n + \frac{1}{2} (U \cdot n)^- (U - U_{ref}) = \sigma(U_{ref}, p_{ref}) \ n & \quad on \ \Gamma_N \times I \end{array}$$

Solid: $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{10^{-8}}$, Fluid: $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{10^{16}}$, Porous layer: $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{10^{-1}} \rightarrow$ Specific interpolations needed in the fluid-porous interface.

Outline of the numerical simulation

- Second-order Gear scheme in time.
- The space discretization is performed on staggered grids with strongly coupled equations.
- Second-order centred finite differences are used for the linear terms The location of the unknowns enforce the divergence-free equation which is discretized on the pressure points.
- The convection terms are approximated by a third order Murman-like scheme.
- The resolution is achieved by a V-cycle multigrid algorithm coupled to a cell-by-cell relaxation procedure. There is a sequence of grids from a coarse 25 × 10 cells grid to a fine 3200 × 1280 cells grid for instance.

Applications to passive control (0): Functionals to be minimized

• As the pressure is computed inside the solid body, the drag and lift forces are computed by integrating the penalisation term on the volume of the body:

$$F_D = -\int_{body} \partial_{x1} p \, dx + \int_{body} \frac{1}{Re} \Delta u \, dx \approx \int_{body} \frac{u}{K} \, dx(1)$$

$$F_L = -\int_{body} \partial_{x2} p \, dx + \int_{body} \frac{1}{Re} \Delta v \, dx \approx \int_{body} \frac{v}{K} \, dx(2)$$

• Important quantities to quantify the control effect:

$$\begin{split} C_p &= 2(p-p_0)/(\rho|U|^2)\\ C_D &= \frac{2F_D}{H} \ ; \ C_L = \frac{2F_L}{H}\\ C_{Lrms} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T C_L^2 \, dt} \ ; \ Z = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} |\omega|^2 dx \end{split}$$

0

Applications to passive control (1): Flow control around a riser using a porous ring

- Flow simulation behind a circular bluff body with a size D = 0.16, located at the position (1.1, 1) in an open computational domain.
- The pipe is surrounded by a solid (larger diameter), a porous or a fluid sheath (smaller diameter): $\delta D = 0.2$.



- The Reynolds number based on the pipe diameter D is $R_D = 30000$ for the solid case.
- The control target is to reduce the VIV (Vortex Induced Vibrations) around the riser.



Vorticity field for a fluid (bottom) and a porous (top) sheath for the same time at $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{D}} = 30000$.

Mean values of the enstrophy and the drag coefficient and asymptotic value of the CLrms for $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{D}} = 30000$.

Grid	Κ	Enstrophy	Drag	C_{Lrms}
3200×1280	10E-1	291	1.56	0.081
	$10E{+}16$	810	1.10	0.293

• A patent in 2004 on the passive control of VIV around riser pipes using porous media with IFP.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Applications to passive control (2): Drag reduction for a simplified car model using porous devices (collaboration with Renault)



Computational domain for the **Ahmed body** without or with a rear window.

Passive flow control around the square back Ahmed body



From left to right and top to bottom: porous cases 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 geometries for the square back Ahmed body.



Mean vorticity isolines for the flow around square back Ahmed body on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = 30000$. Cases 0 (top left), 1 (top right), 2 (middle left), 3 (middle right), 4 (bottom left) and 5 (bottom right).



Pressure isolines for the flow around square back Ahmed body on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = 30000$. Cases 0 (top left), 1 (top right), 2 (middle left), 3 (middle right), 4 (bottom left) and 5 (bottom right).

The value and the location of the minimum pressure in the close wake of the square back Ahmed body on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = \mathbf{30000}.$

	P_{min} value in the wake	P_{min} Location
case 0	-1.636	(10.11, 1.53)
case 1	-1.758	(10.11, 1.53)
case 2	-0.678	(10.22, 1.39)
case 3	-0.850	(10.09, 1.52)
case 4	-0.540	(10.89, 1.34)
case 5	-0.510	(10.16, 1.34)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Mean values of the enstrophy and the *drag coefficient* and asymptotic values of C_{Lrms} for square back Ahmed body on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = \mathbf{30000}$.

	C_{Lrms}	Z	Up D	Down D	Drag
case	0.517	827	0.173	0.343	0.526
0					
case	$0.545 \ (+\ 5\%)$	$835\ (+\ 1\%)$	0.231	0.330	0.567~(+~8%)
1					
case	0.396 (-23%)	592 (-28%)	0.156	0.166	$0.332 \ (-37\%)$
2					
case	0.674 (+30%)	732 (-11%)	0.214	0.176	0.391 (-26%)
3					
case	0.381 (-26%)	541 (-35%)	0.213	0.139	0.362 (-31%)
4					
case	0.352 (-32%)	533 (-36%)	0.217	0.127	0.354 (-33%)
5					

Flow control around the Ahmed body with a rear window using porous materials



From left to right and top to bottom: cases 0, 1, 2 and 3 geometries for the Ahmed body with a rear window.



Mean pressure isolines for the flow around the Ahmed body with a rear window on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = 30000$. Cases 0 (top left), 1 (top right), 2 (bottom left) and 3 (bottom right).

Mean values of the enstrophy and the *drag coefficient* and asymptotic values of C_{Lrms} for the Ahmed body with a rear window on top of a road at $\mathbf{R_L} = 30000$.

	C_{Lrms}	Z	Up D	Down D	Drag
case 0	0.817	726	0.099	0.176	0.282
case 1	0.600 (-27%)	605 (-17%)	0.100	0.190	$0.300\ (+\ 6\%)$
case 2	0.801 (- 2%)	670 (-18%)	0.093	0.124	0.224 (- 21%)
case 3	0.534 (-35%)	552 (-24%)	0.092	0.151	0.254 (-10%)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3D Control of the body with a rear window

• Passive control with porous surface at the bottom or/and active control with $act = 0.3V_0$:



• Work in progress : study of the fields, computation on finer grids, work with closed-loop control...)

Three-dimensional Ahmed body

- Ahmed body with a rear window (25°) on the top of a road (h = 0.6)
- Reynolds number Re = 30000
- Isosurface of total pressure coefficient $C_{pi} = 1$ with C_P colors:



Conclusion

- It is shown that the **double penalisation** method handles efficiently the solid-porous-fluid problems.
- Simulations in the three media are accurate and simultaneous.
- Applications with porous interfaces, to implement **passive control** techniques in different industrial area are very promising.
- 3D computations to achieve a realistic knowledge of the control around the Ahmed body are in progress.

Conclusion

- It is shown that the **double penalisation** method handles efficiently the solid-porous-fluid problems.
- Simulations in the three media are accurate and simultaneous.
- Applications with porous interfaces, to implement **passive control** techniques in different industrial area are very promising.
- 3D computations to achieve a realistic knowledge of the control around the Ahmed body are in progress.

References

- C.-H. Bruneau & I. Mortazavi, C. R. Acad. Sci. 2001 329.
- C.-H. Bruneau & I. Mortazavi, Int. J. Num. Meth. Fluids 2004 46.
- C.-H. Bruneau & I. Mortazavi, Int. J. Offsh. Polar Engg. 2006 16.
- C.-H. Bruneau, P. Gillieron & I. Mortazavi, C. R. Acad. Sci., 2007 335 série II.
- C.-H. Bruneau, P. Gillieron & I. Mortazavi, Journal of Fluids Engineering, 2008 130.
- C.-H. Bruneau & I. Mortazavi, *Computers & Fluids*, 2008 **37**.