

# Derivations, elementary operators and local multipliers of $C^*$ -algebras

Ilja Gogić

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb

Functional Analysis and Algebra Seminar  
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics  
Ljubljana, February 27, 2014

## $C^*$ -algebraic formulation of Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics a physical system is typically described via a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

## $C^*$ -algebraic formulation of Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics a physical system is typically described via a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

- The self-adjoint elements of  $A$  are thought of as the observables; they are the measurable quantities of the system.

## $C^*$ -algebraic formulation of Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics a physical system is typically described via a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

- The self-adjoint elements of  $A$  are thought of as the observables; they are the measurable quantities of the system.
- A state of the system is defined as a positive functional on  $A$  (i.e. a linear map  $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A$ ) with  $\omega(1_A) = 1$ . If the system is in the state  $\omega$ , then  $\omega(a)$  is the expected value of the observable  $a$ .

## $C^*$ -algebraic formulation of Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics a physical system is typically described via a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

- The self-adjoint elements of  $A$  are thought of as the observables; they are the measurable quantities of the system.
- A state of the system is defined as a positive functional on  $A$  (i.e. a linear map  $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A$ ) with  $\omega(1_A) = 1$ . If the system is in the state  $\omega$ , then  $\omega(a)$  is the expected value of the observable  $a$ .
- Automorphisms correspond to the symmetries, while one-parameter automorphism groups  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  describe the reversible time evolution of the system (in the Heisenberg picture). Their infinitesimal generators

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\Phi_t(x) - x)$$

are the  $*$ -derivations.

Throughout,  $A$  will be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Throughout,  $A$  will be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

By an ideal of  $A$  we always mean a closed two-sided ideal.

Throughout,  $A$  will be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

By an ideal of  $A$  we always mean a closed two-sided ideal.

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be **essential** if  $I$  has a non-zero intersection with every other non-zero ideal of  $A$ . This is equivalent to say that its annihilator  $I^\perp := \{a \in A : aI = \{0\}\}$  is zero.



Throughout,  $A$  will be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

By an ideal of  $A$  we always mean a closed two-sided ideal.

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be **essential** if  $I$  has a non-zero intersection with every other non-zero ideal of  $A$ . This is equivalent to say that its annihilator  $I^\perp := \{a \in A : aI = \{0\}\}$  is zero.

### Definition

**The multiplier algebra** of  $A$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $M(A)$  of the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$  that consists of all  $x \in A^{**}$  such that  $ax \in A$  and  $xa \in A$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Throughout,  $A$  will be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

By an ideal of  $A$  we always mean a closed two-sided ideal.

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be **essential** if  $I$  has a non-zero intersection with every other non-zero ideal of  $A$ . This is equivalent to say that its annihilator  $I^\perp := \{a \in A : aI = \{0\}\}$  is zero.

### Definition

**The multiplier algebra** of  $A$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $M(A)$  of the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$  that consists of all  $x \in A^{**}$  such that  $ax \in A$  and  $xa \in A$  for all  $a \in A$ .

$M(A)$  is a unital extension of  $A$  in which  $A$  sits as an essential ideal. Moreover,  $M(A)$  satisfies the following universal property: Whenever  $A$  sits as an ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , then the identity map on  $A$  extends uniquely to a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $B$  to  $M(A)$  with kernel  $A^\perp$ . Hence,  $M(A)$  is the largest unitization of  $A$ .

## Definition

**Derivation** of  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

## Definition

**Derivation** of  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , then each element  $a \in B$  which derives  $A$  (i.e.  $ax - xa \in A$ , for all  $x \in A$ ) implements a derivation

$\delta_a : A \rightarrow A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa.$$

## Definition

**Derivation** of  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , then each element  $a \in B$  which derives  $A$  (i.e.  $ax - xa \in A$ , for all  $x \in A$ ) implements a derivation

$\delta_a : A \rightarrow A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa.$$

## Definition

A derivation  $\delta$  of  $A$  is said to be **inner** if there exists a multiplier  $a \in M(A)$  such that  $\delta = \delta_a$ .

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?



In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

**Some classes of  $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:**

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- Von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- Von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- Simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- Von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- Simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).
- $AW^*$ -algebras (Olesen, 1974).

In the application to physics, innerness of a derivation corresponds to the question whether the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration belongs to the algebraic model.

## Notation

We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ) the set of all derivations (resp. inner derivations) of  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- Von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- Simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).
- $AW^*$ -algebras (Olesen, 1974).
- Homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras (Sproston, 1976 - unital case; G., 2013 - extension to the non-unital case).

## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -**algebra** is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -**algebra** is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

- $AW^*$ -algebras were introduced by Kaplansky in 1951 in an attempt to give an abstract algebraic characterization of von Neumann algebras.

## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -**algebra** is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

- $AW^*$ -algebras were introduced by Kaplansky in 1951 in an attempt to give an abstract algebraic characterization of von Neumann algebras.
- It is easy to see that every von Neumann algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, but the converse fails. Just as for von Neumann algebras,  $AW^*$ -algebras can be divided into type  $I$ , type  $II$ , and type  $III$ .



## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -**algebra** is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

- $AW^*$ -algebras were introduced by Kaplansky in 1951 in an attempt to give an abstract algebraic characterization of von Neumann algebras.
- It is easy to see that every von Neumann algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, but the converse fails. Just as for von Neumann algebras,  $AW^*$ -algebras can be divided into type  $I$ , type  $II$ , and type  $III$ .
- A commutative  $C^*$ -algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra if and only if its maximal ideal space is Stonean (i.e. an extremely disconnected compact Hausdorff space).

## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

- $AW^*$ -algebras were introduced by Kaplansky in 1951 in an attempt to give an abstract algebraic characterization of von Neumann algebras.
- It is easy to see that every von Neumann algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, but the converse fails. Just as for von Neumann algebras,  $AW^*$ -algebras can be divided into type  $I$ , type  $II$ , and type  $III$ .
- A commutative  $C^*$ -algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra if and only if its maximal ideal space is Stonean (i.e. an extremely disconnected compact Hausdorff space).
- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra if and only if every MASA of  $A$  is monotone complete. All  $AW^*$ -algebras of type  $I$  are themselves monotone complete (Hamana, 1981).

## $AW^*$ -algebras

An  $AW^*$ -algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the left annihilator of each right ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some projection  $p \in A$ .

- $AW^*$ -algebras were introduced by Kaplansky in 1951 in an attempt to give an abstract algebraic characterization of von Neumann algebras.
- It is easy to see that every von Neumann algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, but the converse fails. Just as for von Neumann algebras,  $AW^*$ -algebras can be divided into type  $I$ , type  $II$ , and type  $III$ .
- A commutative  $C^*$ -algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra if and only if its maximal ideal space is Stonean (i.e. an extremely disconnected compact Hausdorff space).
- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra if and only if every MASA of  $A$  is monotone complete. All  $AW^*$ -algebras of type  $I$  are themselves monotone complete (Hamana, 1981).
- It is unknown whether all  $AW^*$ -algebras are monotone complete. In fact, this is a long standing open problem dating back to the work of Kaplansky.

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be **( $n$ -)homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $(n-)$ **homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

- The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C_0(X)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $(n-)$ **homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

- The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C_0(X)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .
- For each locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $(n-)$ **homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

- The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C_0(X)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .
- For each locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.
- More generally, if  $E$  is an algebraic  $\mathbb{M}_n$ -bundle over a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , i.e.  $E$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) \cong PU(n)$  (the projective unitary group), then the set  $\Gamma_0(E)$  of all continuous sections of  $E$  vanishing at infinity is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, with respect to the fiberwise operations and sup-norm.

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $(n-)$ **homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

- The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C_0(X)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .
- For each locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.
- More generally, if  $E$  is an algebraic  $\mathbb{M}_n$ -bundle over a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , i.e.  $E$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) \cong PU(n)$  (the projective unitary group), then the set  $\Gamma_0(E)$  of all continuous sections of  $E$  vanishing at infinity is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, with respect to the fiberwise operations and sup-norm.
- By a wonderful theorem due to Fell and Tomiyama-Takesaki (1961), every  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be realized as  $A = \Gamma_0(E)$  for some algebraic  $\mathbb{M}_n$ -bundle  $E$  over the spectrum  $\widehat{A}$ .



Back to our main problem, the separable case was completely solved in 1979:

**Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra, Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)**  *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*
- (b)**  *$A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ , where  $A_1$  is a continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $A_2$  is a direct sum of simple  $C^*$ -algebras.*

Back to our main problem, the separable case was completely solved in 1979:

**Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra, Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)**  *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*
- (b)**  *$A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ , where  $A_1$  is a continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $A_2$  is a direct sum of simple  $C^*$ -algebras.*

On the other hand, for inseparable  $C^*$ -algebras the problem of innerness of derivations remains widely open, even for the simplest cases such as subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras (i.e.  $C^*$ -algebras which have finite-dimensional irreducible representations of bounded degree).

If  $I$  and  $J$  are two essential ideals of  $A$  such that  $J \subseteq I$ , then there is an embedding  $M(I) \hookrightarrow M(J)$ .

If  $I$  and  $J$  are two essential ideals of  $A$  such that  $J \subseteq I$ , then there is an embedding  $M(I) \hookrightarrow M(J)$ .

In this way, we obtain a directed system of  $C^*$ -algebras with isometric connecting morphisms, where  $I$  runs through the directed set  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  of all essential ideals of  $A$ .

If  $I$  and  $J$  are two essential ideals of  $A$  such that  $J \subseteq I$ , then there is an embedding  $M(I) \hookrightarrow M(J)$ .

In this way, we obtain a directed system of  $C^*$ -algebras with isometric connecting morphisms, where  $I$  runs through the directed set  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  of all essential ideals of  $A$ .

### Definition

**The local multiplier algebra** of  $A$  is the direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) := (C^* -) \lim_{\rightarrow} \{M(I) : I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)\}.$$

If  $I$  and  $J$  are two essential ideals of  $A$  such that  $J \subseteq I$ , then there is an embedding  $M(I) \hookrightarrow M(J)$ .

In this way, we obtain a directed system of  $C^*$ -algebras with isometric connecting morphisms, where  $I$  runs through the directed set  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  of all essential ideals of  $A$ .

### Definition

**The local multiplier algebra** of  $A$  is the direct limit  $C^*$ -algebra

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) := (C^* -) \lim_{\rightarrow} \{M(I) : I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)\}.$$

Iterating the construction of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ , one obtains the following tower of  $C^*$ -algebras which, a priori, does not have the largest element:

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}^{(n)}(A) \subseteq \cdots,$$

where  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}(A))$ ,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(3)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A))$ , etc.

## Remark

An easy, but invaluable fact is that  $M_{\text{loc}}(I) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  for every essential ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . This is because  $\{J \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A) : J \subseteq I\}$  is cofinal in  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ .

## Remark

An easy, but invaluable fact is that  $M_{\text{loc}}(I) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  for every essential ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . This is because  $\{J \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A) : J \subseteq I\}$  is cofinal in  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is simple, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$ .



## Remark

An easy, but invaluable fact is that  $M_{\text{loc}}(I) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  for every essential ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . This is because  $\{J \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A) : J \subseteq I\}$  is cofinal in  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is simple, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$ .

## Remark

An easy, but invaluable fact is that  $M_{\text{loc}}(I) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  for every essential ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . This is because  $\{J \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A) : J \subseteq I\}$  is cofinal in  $\text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is simple, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$ .

## Example

If  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$ .

## Example

If  $A = C_0(X)$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra whose maximal ideal space can be identified with the inverse limit  $\varprojlim \beta U$  of Stone-Ćech compactifications  $\beta U$  of dense open subsets  $U$  of  $X$ .

The concept of the local multiplier algebra was introduced by G. Pedersen in 1978 (he called it the "  $C^*$ -algebra of essential multipliers").

The concept of the local multiplier algebra was introduced by G. Pedersen in 1978 (he called it the "  $C^*$ -algebra of essential multipliers").

Every derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  extends uniquely and under preservation of the norm to a derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

The concept of the local multiplier algebra was introduced by G. Pedersen in 1978 (he called it the "  $C^*$ -algebra of essential multipliers").

Every derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  extends uniquely and under preservation of the norm to a derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

Pedersen proved that every derivation of a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  becomes inner when extended to a derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ . Moreover, it suffices to assume that every essential closed ideal of  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital.

The concept of the local multiplier algebra was introduced by G. Pedersen in 1978 (he called it the "  $C^*$ -algebra of essential multipliers").

Every derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  extends uniquely and under preservation of the norm to a derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

Pedersen proved that every derivation of a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  becomes inner when extended to a derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ . Moreover, it suffices to assume that every essential closed ideal of  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital.

In particular, Pedersen's result entails Sakai's theorem that every derivation of a simple unital  $C^*$ -algebra is inner.

Since  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$  if  $A$  is simple, and  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$  if  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, only an affirmative answer in the inseparable case would cover, extend and unify the results that every derivation of a simple  $C^*$ -algebra is inner in its multiplier algebra and that all derivations of  $AW^*$ -algebras are inner.

Since  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$  if  $A$  is simple, and  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$  if  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, only an affirmative answer in the inseparable case would cover, extend and unify the results that every derivation of a simple  $C^*$ -algebra is inner in its multiplier algebra and that all derivations of  $AW^*$ -algebras are inner.

This led Pedersen to ask:



Since  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$  if  $A$  is simple, and  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$  if  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, only an affirmative answer in the inseparable case would cover, extend and unify the results that every derivation of a simple  $C^*$ -algebra is inner in its multiplier algebra and that all derivations of  $AW^*$ -algebras are inner.

This led Pedersen to ask:

### **Problem of innerness of derivations of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$**

If  $A$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra, is every derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  inner?

Since  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M(A)$  if  $A$  is simple, and  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$  if  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, only an affirmative answer in the inseparable case would cover, extend and unify the results that every derivation of a simple  $C^*$ -algebra is inner in its multiplier algebra and that all derivations of  $AW^*$ -algebras are inner.

This led Pedersen to ask:

### **Problem of innerness of derivations of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$**

If  $A$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra, is every derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  inner?

### **Stability problem of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$**

Is  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ?

There is another important characterisation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ , which was first obtained by Frank and Paulsen in 2003.

There is another important characterisation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ , which was first obtained by Frank and Paulsen in 2003.

For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let us denote by  $I(A)$  its **injective envelope** as introduced by Hamana in 1979.

There is another important characterisation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ , which was first obtained by Frank and Paulsen in 2003.

For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let us denote by  $I(A)$  its **injective envelope** as introduced by Hamana in 1979.

$I(A)$  is not an injective object in the category of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphisms, but in the category of operator spaces and complete positive maps, i.e. for every inclusion  $E \subseteq F$  of operator systems, each completely positive map  $\phi : E \rightarrow I(A)$  has a completely positive extension  $\tilde{\phi} : F \rightarrow I(A)$ .

There is another important characterisation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ , which was first obtained by Frank and Paulsen in 2003.

For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let us denote by  $I(A)$  its **injective envelope** as introduced by Hamana in 1979.

$I(A)$  is not an injective object in the category of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphisms, but in the category of operator spaces and complete positive maps, i.e. for every inclusion  $E \subseteq F$  of operator systems, each completely positive map  $\phi : E \rightarrow I(A)$  has a completely positive extension  $\tilde{\phi} : F \rightarrow I(A)$ .

However, it turns out that (nevertheless)  $I(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra canonically containing  $A$  as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra. Moreover,  $I(A)$  is monotone complete, so in particular,  $I(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra.

## Theorem (Frank and Paulsen, 2003)

*Under this embedding of  $A$  into  $I(A)$ ,  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is the norm closure of the set of all  $x \in I(A)$  which act as a multiplier on some  $I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ , i.e.*

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \left( \bigcup_{I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)} \{x \in I(A) : xI + Ix \subseteq I\} \right)^{\overline{=}}$$

## Theorem (Frank and Paulsen, 2003)

Under this embedding of  $A$  into  $I(A)$ ,  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is the norm closure of the set of all  $x \in I(A)$  which act as a multiplier on some  $I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ , i.e.

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \left( \bigcup_{I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)} \{x \in I(A) : xI + Ix \subseteq I\} \right)^{\overline{=}}$$

Thus, we have the following inclusion of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq \overline{A} \subseteq I(A),$$

where  $\overline{A}$  is the regular monotone completion of  $A$ .



## Theorem (Frank and Paulsen, 2003)

Under this embedding of  $A$  into  $I(A)$ ,  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is the norm closure of the set of all  $x \in I(A)$  which act as a multiplier on some  $I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)$ , i.e.

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \left( \bigcup_{I \in \text{Id}_{\text{ess}}(A)} \{x \in I(A) : xI + Ix \subseteq I\} \right)^{\overline{=}}$$

Thus, we have the following inclusion of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq \overline{A} \subseteq I(A),$$

where  $\overline{A}$  is the regular monotone completion of  $A$ .

Moreover, it can be seen that  $I(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) = I(A)$ , so we have an additional sequence of inclusions of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \overline{A} \subseteq I(A).$$

## Very difficult problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$ , or at least  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \overline{A}$ ?

## Very difficult problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$ , or at least  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \overline{A}$ ?

## Stability problem has a negative solution

## Very difficult problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$ , or at least  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \overline{A}$ ?

## Stability problem has a negative solution

- The first class of examples of  $C^*$ -algebras for which the stability problem of local multiplier algebras has a negative answer was given by Ara and Mathieu (2006): There exist unital separable approximately finite-dimensional primitive  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  such that  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

## Very difficult problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$ , or at least  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \overline{A}$ ?

## Stability problem has a negative solution

- The first class of examples of  $C^*$ -algebras for which the stability problem of local multiplier algebras has a negative answer was given by Ara and Mathieu (2006): There exist unital separable approximately finite-dimensional primitive  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  such that  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .
- After that, Argerami, Farenick and Massey (2009) showed that a relatively well-behaved  $C^*$ -algebra  $C([0, 1]) \otimes \mathbb{K}$  also fails to satisfy  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

## Very difficult problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$ , or at least  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \overline{A}$ ?

## Stability problem has a negative solution

- The first class of examples of  $C^*$ -algebras for which the stability problem of local multiplier algebras has a negative answer was given by Ara and Mathieu (2006): There exist unital separable approximately finite-dimensional primitive  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  such that  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .
- After that, Argerami, Farenick and Massey (2009) showed that a relatively well-behaved  $C^*$ -algebra  $C([0, 1]) \otimes \mathbb{K}$  also fails to satisfy  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .
- This example was further developed by Ara and Mathieu (2011), who showed that if  $X$  is a perfect, second countable locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $A = C_0(X) \otimes B$  for some non-unital separable simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ .

This leads to the following restatement of the stability problem of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ :

### Problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ ?

This leads to the following restatement of the stability problem of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ :

### Problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ ?

We have the following partial answer:

### Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Ara and Mathieu, 2011)

*If  $A$  is a unital (or more generally quasi-central), separable  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $\text{Prim}(A)$  (= the primitive ideal space of  $A$ ) contains a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of closed points, then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ . Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  has only inner derivations.*



This leads to the following restatement of the stability problem of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ :

### Problem

When is  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ ?

We have the following partial answer:

### Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Ara and Mathieu, 2011)

*If  $A$  is a unital (or more generally quasi-central), separable  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $\text{Prim}(A)$  (= the primitive ideal space of  $A$ ) contains a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of closed points, then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ . Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  has only inner derivations.*

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra is said to be **quasicentral** if no primitive ideal of  $A$  contains the centre  $Z(A)$ . This is equivalent to say that  $A$  admits an approximate unit  $(e_i)$  such that  $e_i \in Z(A)$  for all  $i$ .

On the other hand,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is always a type I  $AW^*$ -algebra, whenever  $A$  is separable and liminal. More generally:

On the other hand,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is always a type I  $AW^*$ -algebra, whenever  $A$  is separable and liminal. More generally:

**Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Argerami and Farenick, 2005)**

*If the injective envelope of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I, then  $A$  has a liminal essential ideal. The converse is also true if  $A$  is separable. Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

On the other hand,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is always a type I  $AW^*$ -algebra, whenever  $A$  is separable and liminal. More generally:

**Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Argerami and Farenick, 2005)**

*If the injective envelope of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I, then  $A$  has a liminal essential ideal. The converse is also true if  $A$  is separable. Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

There is also a partial converse in a non-separable direction:

**Theorem (Argerami, Farenick and Massey, 2010)**

*If  $A$  is a spatial Fell algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

On the other hand,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is always a type I  $AW^*$ -algebra, whenever  $A$  is separable and liminal. More generally:

**Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Argerami and Farenick, 2005)**

*If the injective envelope of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I, then  $A$  has a liminal essential ideal. The converse is also true if  $A$  is separable. Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

There is also a partial converse in a non-separable direction:

**Theorem (Argerami, Farenick and Massey, 2010)**

*If  $A$  is a spatial Fell algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

The above result in particular applies to the algebras of the form  $A = C_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ , for any locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

On the other hand,  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is always a type I  $AW^*$ -algebra, whenever  $A$  is separable and liminal. More generally:

### Theorem (Somerset, 2000; Argerami and Farenick, 2005)

*If the injective envelope of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I, then  $A$  has a liminal essential ideal. The converse is also true if  $A$  is separable. Moreover, in this case  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

There is also a partial converse in a non-separable direction:

### Theorem (Argerami, Farenick and Massey, 2010)

*If  $A$  is a spatial Fell algebra, then  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra of type I.*

The above result in particular applies to the algebras of the form  $A = C_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ , for any locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

### Problem

Is  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(3)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A)$  for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ?

On the other hand, a fairly interesting class of type I  $C^*$ -algebras is the class **FIN**, which consists of all  $C^*$ -algebras with finite-dimensional irreducible representations.

On the other hand, a fairly interesting class of type  $I$   $C^*$ -algebras is the class **FIN**, which consists of all  $C^*$ -algebras with finite-dimensional irreducible representations.

### Problem

What can be said about  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  and  $I(A)$  if  $A$  belongs to **FIN**?



On the other hand, a fairly interesting class of type  $I$   $C^*$ -algebras is the class **FIN**, which consists of all  $C^*$ -algebras with finite-dimensional irreducible representations.

### Problem

What can be said about  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  and  $I(A)$  if  $A$  belongs to **FIN**?

### Theorem (G., 2013)

*If a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  belongs to **FIN**, then  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is a finite or countable direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras of the form  $C(X_n) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ , where each space  $X_n$  is Stonean. In particular,  $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = I(A)$ , and  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  admits only inner derivations.*

## Proof, Step 1

We first show that every  $C^*$ -algebra in **FIN** contains a quasi-central essential ideal  $J$  of continuous trace. The proof essentially relies on the fact that the spectrum of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a Baire space.

## Proof, Step 1

We first show that every  $C^*$ -algebra in **FIN** contains a quasi-central essential ideal  $J$  of continuous trace. The proof essentially relies on the fact that the spectrum of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a Baire space.

Note that all quasi-central continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras belong to **FIN**. They have a particularly nice description:

## Proof, Step 1

We first show that every  $C^*$ -algebra in **FIN** contains a quasi-central essential ideal  $J$  of continuous trace. The proof essentially relies on the fact that the spectrum of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a Baire space.

Note that all quasi-central continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras belong to **FIN**. They have a particularly nice description:

### Theorem (Archbold, 1972)

Let  $J$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra in **FIN**. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $J$  is quasi-central and has a continuous trace.
- (b) Dimension function  $d : \hat{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d : [\pi] \mapsto \dim \pi$ , is continuous.
- (c)  $J$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -direct sum  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$  of a sequence  $(J_n)$  of  $C^*$ -algebras, where each  $J_n$  is either zero, or  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Proof, Step 2

Note that this reduces the proof to the homogeneous case. Indeed, if  $J$  is an essential quasi-central continuous trace ideal of  $A$ , and if  $J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$ , where  $J_n$  are as in Archbold's theorem, then

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{\text{loc}}(J_n).$$

## Proof, Step 2

Note that this reduces the proof to the homogeneous case. Indeed, if  $J$  is an essential quasi-central continuous trace ideal of  $A$ , and if  $J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$ , where  $J_n$  are as in Archbold's theorem, then

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{\text{loc}}(J_n).$$

Hence, in the sequel we shall assume that  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous. Then by Fell-Tomiyama-Takesaki theorem we have  $A = \Gamma_0(E)$  for an algebraic  $\mathbb{M}_n$ -bundle  $E$  over  $\widehat{A}$ .

### Proof, Step 3

If  $A = \Gamma_0(E)$  as above, then using the Zorn's lemma we find an open dense subset  $U$  of  $\widehat{A}$  such that the restriction bundle  $E|_U$  is trivial (i.e.  $E|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{M}_n$  as  $PU(n)$ -bundles). Then  $I := \Gamma_0(E|_U) \cong C_0(U) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is an essential ideal of  $A$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{loc}}(A) &= M_{\text{loc}}(I) \cong M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n) \cong M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U)) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \\ &\cong C(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X$  is the maximal ideal space of  $M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U))$ . Finally, since  $M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U))$  is a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra,  $X$  is a Stonean space.

### Proof, Step 3

If  $A = \Gamma_0(E)$  as above, then using the Zorn's lemma we find an open dense subset  $U$  of  $\widehat{A}$  such that the restriction bundle  $E|_U$  is trivial (i.e.  $E|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{M}_n$  as  $PU(n)$ -bundles). Then  $I := \Gamma_0(E|_U) \cong C_0(U) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is an essential ideal of  $A$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{loc}}(A) &= M_{\text{loc}}(I) \cong M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n) \cong M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U)) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \\ &\cong C(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X$  is the maximal ideal space of  $M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U))$ . Finally, since  $M_{\text{loc}}(C_0(U))$  is a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra,  $X$  is a Stonean space.

### Summary

- We have no example in which  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  and we do not know that every derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is inner.
- We have no example in which  $M_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  and we know every derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  is inner.



## Motivation

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps

$$M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb \quad (a, b \in M(A))$$

as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps

$$M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb \quad (a, b \in M(A))$$

as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).

- We can therefore try to approximate a more general map on  $A$ , one that preserves ideals, by finite sums of two-sided multiplication maps, that is, by **elementary operators**.

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps

$$M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb \quad (a, b \in M(A))$$

as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).

- We can therefore try to approximate a more general map on  $A$ , one that preserves ideals, by finite sums of two-sided multiplication maps, that is, by **elementary operators**.

This procedure in particular applies to derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras

Since derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras are completely bounded, we may consider the following question:

### Problem

Which derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admit a completely bounded approximation by elementary operators? That is, which derivations of  $A$  lie in the cb-norm closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$  of all elementary operators on  $A$ ?

Since derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras are completely bounded, we may consider the following question:

### Problem

Which derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admit a completely bounded approximation by elementary operators? That is, which derivations of  $A$  lie in the cb-norm closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$  of all elementary operators on  $A$ ?

### Remark

- Since each inner derivation  $\delta_a$  ( $a \in M(A)$ ) is an elementary operator on  $A$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  includes the cb-norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$ .
- Since the cb-norm of an inner derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra coincides with its operator norm, the cb-norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$  coincides with the operator norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$ . We denote this closure by  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}$ .

## Problem (G., 2010)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$



### Problem (G., 2010)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$

In many cases the set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. However, this is not always true.

### Problem (G., 2010)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$

In many cases the set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. However, this is not always true.

In fact, we have the following beautiful characterization:

### Theorem (Somerset, 1993)

*The set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm, as a subset of  $\text{Der}(A)$ , if and only if  $A$  has a finite connecting order.*

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- The **distance**  $d(P, Q)$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is defined as follows:
  - ▷  $d(P, P) := 1$ .
  - ▷ If  $P \neq Q$  and there exists a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ , then  $d(P, Q)$  is equal to the minimal length of a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ .
  - ▷ If there is no path from  $P$  to  $Q$ ,  $d(P, Q) := \infty$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- The **distance**  $d(P, Q)$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is defined as follows:
  - ▷  $d(P, P) := 1$ .
  - ▷ If  $P \neq Q$  and there exists a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ , then  $d(P, Q)$  is equal to the minimal length of a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ .
  - ▷ If there is no path from  $P$  to  $Q$ ,  $d(P, Q) := \infty$ .
- The **connecting order** of  $A$  is then defined by

$$\text{Orc}(A) := \sup\{d(P, Q) : P, Q \in \text{Prim}(A) \text{ such that } d(P, Q) < \infty\}.$$

## Theorem (G., 2013)

*The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb} = \overline{\text{Inn}(A)}$  holds true for all unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.*



## Theorem (G., 2013)

*The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb} = \overline{\text{Inn}(A)}$  holds true for all unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.*

## Glimm ideals

Recall that the **Glimm ideals** of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are the ideals generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of  $A$ .

## Theorem (G., 2013)

*The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb} = \overline{\text{Inn}(A)}$  holds true for all unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.*

## Glimm ideals

Recall that the **Glimm ideals** of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are the ideals generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of  $A$ .

If a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has only prime Glimm ideals, then  $\text{Orc}(A) = 1$ , so Somerset's theorem yields that  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. Hence:

## Corollary

*If every Glimm ideal of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is prime, then every derivation of  $A$  which lies in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  is inner.*

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

## Corollary

*For each  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  admits only inner derivations.*
- Every derivation of  $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$  admits a  $cb$ -norm approximation by elementary operators.*



## Question

Does there exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

## Question

Does there exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

Motivated by our previous discussion, it is natural to start looking for potential examples in the class of  $C^*$ -algebras with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .

## Question

Does there exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

Motivated by our previous discussion, it is natural to start looking for potential examples in the class of  $C^*$ -algebras with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .

## Example (G., 2010)

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra consisting of all elements  $a \in C([0, \infty]) \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$  such that

$$a(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence  $(\lambda_n(a))$  of complex numbers. Then:

- (a)  $d(\ker \lambda_1, \ker \lambda_n) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$  is closed in the cb-norm.

In particular,  $A$  admits an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ .

I end this talk with some connected questions which I find to be interesting:

### Problem

Do we always have  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ? In particular, does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

I end this talk with some connected questions which I find to be interesting:

### Problem

Do we always have  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ? In particular, does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

### Problem

Does there exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer derivation  $\delta$  that is also an elementary operator of length 2, i.e.  $\delta = M_{a_1, b_1} + M_{a_2, b_2}$  for some  $a_i, b_i \in A$ ?

I end this talk with some connected questions which I find to be interesting:

### Problem

Do we always have  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ? In particular, does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer derivation that is also an elementary operator on  $A$ ?

### Problem

Does there exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer derivation  $\delta$  that is also an elementary operator of length 2, i.e.  $\delta = M_{a_1, b_1} + M_{a_2, b_2}$  for some  $a_i, b_i \in A$ ?

### Problem

What can be said about  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}}$ ?