

When are the lengths of elementary operators uniformly bounded?

Ilja Gogić



TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN
COLÁISTE NA TRÍONÓIDE, BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH

THE
UNIVERSITY
OF DUBLIN

International Workshop on Operator Theory and Applications
Tbilisi, Georgia, July 6–10, 2015

Preliminaries

- Throughout this talk A will be a unital C^* -algebra with centre Z .

Preliminaries

- Throughout this talk A will be a unital C^* -algebra with centre Z .
- An ideal P of A is said to be **primitive** if P is the kernel of some irreducible representation of A .

Preliminaries

- Throughout this talk A will be a unital C^* -algebra with centre Z .
- An ideal P of A is said to be **primitive** if P is the kernel of some irreducible representation of A .

Preliminaries

- Throughout this talk A will be a unital C^* -algebra with centre Z .
- An ideal P of A is said to be **primitive** if P is the kernel of some irreducible representation of A .
- The **primitive spectrum** of A , which we denote by $\text{Prim}(A)$, is the set of all primitive ideals of A equipped with the Jacobson topology. Hence, if S is some set of primitive ideals, its closure is

$$\bar{S} = \left\{ P \in \text{Prim}(A) : P \supseteq \bigcap_{Q \in S} Q \right\}.$$

$\text{Prim}(A)$ is a compact space which in general satisfies only T_0 -separation axiom.

Preliminaries

- Throughout this talk A will be a unital C^* -algebra with centre Z .
- An ideal P of A is said to be **primitive** if P is the kernel of some irreducible representation of A .
- The **primitive spectrum** of A , which we denote by $\text{Prim}(A)$, is the set of all primitive ideals of A equipped with the Jacobson topology. Hence, if S is some set of primitive ideals, its closure is

$$\bar{S} = \left\{ P \in \text{Prim}(A) : P \supseteq \bigcap_{Q \in S} Q \right\}.$$

$\text{Prim}(A)$ is a compact space which in general satisfies only T_0 -separation axiom.

- A linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is said to be **completely bounded** if

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}\| < \infty.$$

As usual, by $\text{CB}(A)$ we denote the set of all completely bounded maps on A .

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
- We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
- We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
- By the Hewitt-Cohen Factorization Theorem, each Glimm ideal of A is of the form mA for some maximal ideal m of Z and the map $m \mapsto mA$ defines a bijection of $\text{Max}(Z)$ onto $\text{Glimm}(A)$.

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
- We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
- By the Hewitt-Cohen Factorization Theorem, each Glimm ideal of A is of the form mA for some maximal ideal m of Z and the map $m \mapsto mA$ defines a bijection of $\text{Max}(Z)$ onto $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
- An ideal Q of A is said to be **2-primal** if whenever I and J are closed two-sided ideals of A with $I \cdot J = \{0\}$, then $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$.

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
 - We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
 - By the Hewitt-Cohen Factorization Theorem, each Glimm ideal of A is of the form mA for some maximal ideal m of Z and the map $m \mapsto mA$ defines a bijection of $\text{Max}(Z)$ onto $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
-
- An ideal Q of A is said to be **2-primal** if whenever I and J are closed two-sided ideals of A with $I \cdot J = \{0\}$, then $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$.
 - We denote the set of all 2-primal ideals by $\text{Primal}_2(A)$.

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
 - We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
 - By the Hewitt-Cohen Factorization Theorem, each Glimm ideal of A is of the form mA for some maximal ideal m of Z and the map $m \mapsto mA$ defines a bijection of $\text{Max}(Z)$ onto $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
-
- An ideal Q of A is said to be **2-primal** if whenever I and J are closed two-sided ideals of A with $I \cdot J = \{0\}$, then $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$.
 - We denote the set of all 2-primal ideals by $\text{Primal}_2(A)$.
 - It is not difficult to see that an ideal Q of A is 2-primal if and only if for all $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Prim}(A/Q)$ there exists a net in $\text{Prim}(A)$ which converges simultaneously to P_1 and P_2 .

Glimm and 2-primal ideals

- **Glimm ideals** of A are the ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of Z .
- We denote the set of all Glimm ideals of A by $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
- By the Hewitt-Cohen Factorization Theorem, each Glimm ideal of A is of the form mA for some maximal ideal m of Z and the map $m \mapsto mA$ defines a bijection of $\text{Max}(Z)$ onto $\text{Glimm}(A)$.
- An ideal Q of A is said to be **2-primal** if whenever I and J are closed two-sided ideals of A with $I \cdot J = \{0\}$, then $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$.
- We denote the set of all 2-primal ideals by $\text{Primal}_2(A)$.
- It is not difficult to see that an ideal Q of A is 2-primal if and only if for all $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Prim}(A/Q)$ there exists a net in $\text{Prim}(A)$ which converges simultaneously to P_1 and P_2 .
- In particular, $\text{Prim}(A)$ is Hausdorff if and only if

$$\text{Glimm}(A) = \text{Primal}_2(A) \setminus \{A\} = \text{Prim}(A).$$

Basic example

Let A be a C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $a \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{M}_3)$ s.t.

$$a(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda_i(a) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let P_t ($t \in [0, 1)$) and R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be, respectively, the kernels of irreducible representations $A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3$, $a \mapsto a(t)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $a \mapsto \lambda_i(a)$. Then:

Basic example

Let A be a C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $a \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{M}_3)$ s.t.

$$a(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda_i(a) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let P_t ($t \in [0, 1)$) and R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be, respectively, the kernels of irreducible representations $A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3$, $a \mapsto a(t)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $a \mapsto \lambda_i(a)$. Then:

$$\text{Prim}(A) = \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1, R_2, R_3\},$$

Basic example

Let A be a C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $a \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{M}_3)$ s.t.

$$a(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda_i(a) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let P_t ($t \in [0, 1)$) and R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be, respectively, the kernels of irreducible representations $A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3$, $a \mapsto a(t)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $a \mapsto \lambda_i(a)$. Then:

$$\text{Prim}(A) = \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1, R_2, R_3\},$$

$$\text{Glimm}(A) = \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1 \cap R_2 \cap R_3\},$$

Basic example

Let A be a C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $a \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{M}_3)$ s.t.

$$a(1) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda_i(a) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let P_t ($t \in [0, 1)$) and R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be, respectively, the kernels of irreducible representations $A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3$, $a \mapsto a(t)$ and $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $a \mapsto \lambda_i(a)$. Then:

$$\text{Prim}(A) = \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1, R_2, R_3\},$$

$$\text{Glimm}(A) = \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1 \cap R_2 \cap R_3\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Primal}_2(A) &= \{A\} \cup \{P_t : t \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{R_1, R_2, R_3\} \\ &\quad \cup \{R_1 \cap R_2, R_1 \cap R_3, R_2 \cap R_3\} \cup \{R_1 \cap R_2 \cap R_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

- B is said to be $(n-)$ **homogeneous** if $B/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$ for all $P \in \text{Prim}(B)$.

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

- B is said to be (n) -**homogeneous** if $B/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$ for all $P \in \text{Prim}(B)$.
- A famous theorem of Fell and Tomiyama-Takesaki asserts that for any n -homogeneous C^* -algebra B with primitive spectrum X there is a locally trivial bundle \mathcal{E} over X with fibre \mathbb{M}_n and structure group $PU(n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n)$ such that B is isomorphic to the algebra $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ of sections of \mathcal{E} which vanish at infinity.

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

- B is said to be (n) -**homogeneous** if $B/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$ for all $P \in \text{Prim}(B)$.
- A famous theorem of Fell and Tomiyama-Takesaki asserts that for any n -homogeneous C^* -algebra B with primitive spectrum X there is a locally trivial bundle \mathcal{E} over X with fibre \mathbb{M}_n and structure group $PU(n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n)$ such that B is isomorphic to the algebra $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ of sections of \mathcal{E} which vanish at infinity.
- If the bundle \mathcal{E} can be trivialized over some finite open cover of X , we say that $B = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ has the **finite type property**.

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

- B is said to be (n) -**homogeneous** if $B/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$ for all $P \in \text{Prim}(B)$.
- A famous theorem of Fell and Tomiyama-Takesaki asserts that for any n -homogeneous C^* -algebra B with primitive spectrum X there is a locally trivial bundle \mathcal{E} over X with fibre \mathbb{M}_n and structure group $PU(n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n)$ such that B is isomorphic to the algebra $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ of sections of \mathcal{E} which vanish at infinity.
- If the bundle \mathcal{E} can be trivialized over some finite open cover of X , we say that $B = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ has the **finite type property**.

- B is said to be **subhomogeneous** if

$$\sup\{\dim(B/P) : P \in \text{Prim}(B)\} < \infty.$$

Homogeneous and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras

Let B be a (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebra.

- B is said to be (n) -**homogeneous** if $B/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$ for all $P \in \text{Prim}(B)$.
- A famous theorem of Fell and Tomiyama-Takesaki asserts that for any n -homogeneous C^* -algebra B with primitive spectrum X there is a locally trivial bundle \mathcal{E} over X with fibre \mathbb{M}_n and structure group $PU(n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n)$ such that B is isomorphic to the algebra $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ of sections of \mathcal{E} which vanish at infinity.
- If the bundle \mathcal{E} can be trivialized over some finite open cover of X , we say that $B = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{E})$ has the **finite type property**.

- B is said to be **subhomogeneous** if

$$\sup\{\dim(B/P) : P \in \text{Prim}(B)\} < \infty.$$

- If each homogeneous sub-quotient of B has the finite type property, we say that B has the finite type property.

Definition

An **elementary operator** on A is a map $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ which can be written as a finite sum of two-sided multiplication maps $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$ ($a, b \in A$). By $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ we denote the set of all elementary operators on A

Definition

An **elementary operator** on A is a map $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ which can be written as a finite sum of two-sided multiplication maps $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$ ($a, b \in A$). By $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ we denote the set of all elementary operators on A

It is easy to see that all elementary operators are completely bounded with

$$\left\| \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i} \right\|_{cb} \leq \left\| \sum_i a_i a_i^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_i b_i^* b_i \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Elementary operators and canonical contraction θ_A

Definition

An **elementary operator** on A is a map $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ which can be written as a finite sum of two-sided multiplication maps $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$ ($a, b \in A$). By $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ we denote the set of all elementary operators on A

It is easy to see that all elementary operators are completely bounded with

$$\left\| \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i} \right\|_{cb} \leq \left\| \sum_i a_i a_i^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_i b_i^* b_i \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, if we endow the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ with the Haagerup norm

$$\|t\|_h := \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum_i a_i a_i^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_i b_i^* b_i \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} : t = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right\},$$

we obtain a well-defined contraction

$$(A \otimes A, \|\cdot\|_h) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}l(A), \|\cdot\|_{cb}), \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i}.$$

we obtain a well-defined contraction

$$(A \otimes A, \|\cdot\|_h) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}l(A), \|\cdot\|_{cb}), \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i}.$$

Its continuous extension to the completed Haagerup tensor product $A \otimes_h A$ is known as a **canonical contraction** from $A \otimes_h A$ to $\text{CB}(A)$ and is denoted by θ_A .

we obtain a well-defined contraction

$$(A \otimes A, \|\cdot\|_h) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}l(A), \|\cdot\|_{cb}), \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i}.$$

Its continuous extension to the completed Haagerup tensor product $A \otimes_h A$ is known as a **canonical contraction** from $A \otimes_h A$ to $\text{CB}(A)$ and is denoted by θ_A .

Remark

If A contains a pair of non-zero orthogonal ideals, then θ_A cannot be injective. Hence, the necessary condition for the injectivity of θ_A is that A must be a prime C^* -algebra.

we obtain a well-defined contraction

$$(A \otimes A, \|\cdot\|_h) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}l(A), \|\cdot\|_{cb}), \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i}.$$

Its continuous extension to the completed Haagerup tensor product $A \otimes_h A$ is known as a **canonical contraction** from $A \otimes_h A$ to $\text{CB}(A)$ and is denoted by θ_A .

Remark

If A contains a pair of non-zero orthogonal ideals, then θ_A cannot be injective. Hence, the necessary condition for the injectivity of θ_A is that A must be a prime C^* -algebra.

Theorem (Haagerup 1980, Chatterjee-Sinclair 1992, Mathieu 2003)

$$A \text{ is prime} \iff \theta_A \text{ is injective} \iff \theta_A \text{ is isometric.}$$

we obtain a well-defined contraction

$$(A \otimes A, \|\cdot\|_h) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}l(A), \|\cdot\|_{cb}), \quad \text{given by} \quad \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i}.$$

Its continuous extension to the completed Haagerup tensor product $A \otimes_h A$ is known as a **canonical contraction** from $A \otimes_h A$ to $\text{CB}(A)$ and is denoted by θ_A .

Remark

If A contains a pair of non-zero orthogonal ideals, then θ_A cannot be injective. Hence, the necessary condition for the injectivity of θ_A is that A must be a prime C^* -algebra.

Theorem (Haagerup 1980, Chatterjee-Sinclair 1992, Mathieu 2003)

$$A \text{ is prime} \iff \theta_A \text{ is injective} \iff \theta_A \text{ is isometric.}$$

Theorem (Somerset 1998)

$$\ker \theta_A = \bigcap \{Q \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h Q : Q \in \text{Primal}_2(A)\}.$$

Length of elementary operators

The **length** of an elementary operator $\phi \neq 0$ is the smallest $l = l(\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^l M_{a_i, b_i}$ for some $a_i, b_i \in A$. We also define $l(0) = 0$.

Length of elementary operators

The **length** of an elementary operator $\phi \neq 0$ is the smallest $\ell = \ell(\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} M_{a_i, b_i}$ for some $a_i, b_i \in A$. We also define $\ell(0) = 0$.

Problem

Determine the length of an elementary operator $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i, b_i}$ in terms of its coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n .

Length of elementary operators

The **length** of an elementary operator $\phi \neq 0$ is the smallest $\ell = \ell(\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} M_{a_i, b_i}$ for some $a_i, b_i \in A$. We also define $\ell(0) = 0$.

Problem

Determine the length of an elementary operator $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i, b_i}$ in terms of its coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n .

If A is prime, then θ_A is injective, so for any $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i, b_i}$ we have

$$\ell(\phi) = \min\{\dim \operatorname{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, \dim \operatorname{span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}\}.$$

Length of elementary operators

The **length** of an elementary operator $\phi \neq 0$ is the smallest $\ell = \ell(\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} M_{a_i, b_i}$ for some $a_i, b_i \in A$. We also define $\ell(0) = 0$.

Problem

Determine the length of an elementary operator $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i, b_i}$ in terms of its coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n .

If A is prime, then θ_A is injective, so for any $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i, b_i}$ we have

$$\ell(\phi) = \min\{\dim \operatorname{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, \dim \operatorname{span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}\}.$$

In particular, if $A = \mathbb{M}_n$, then $\ell(\phi) \leq n^2$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$. Further, if ϕ is the transpose map $X \mapsto X^T$, then $\phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{e_{ij}, e_{ij}}$ (where (e_{ij}) are standard matrix units in \mathbb{M}_n), so $\ell(\phi) = n^2$. Hence,

$$\sup\{\ell(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}\ell(\mathbb{M}_n)\} = n^2.$$

Let us consider the following conditions of a C^* -algebra A :

- (P1)** A as a Z -module is finitely generated, i.e. there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_i$ for some $z_i \in Z$.

Let us consider the following conditions of a C^* -algebra A :

- (P1) A as a Z -module is finitely generated, i.e. there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_i$ for some $z_i \in Z$.
- (P2) A as a Z -module is weakly finitely generated, i.e. there exists a positive integer n such that every finitely generated Z -submodule of A can be generated with $\leq n$ generators.

Let us consider the following conditions of a C^* -algebra A :

- (P1) A as a Z -module is finitely generated, i.e. there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_i$ for some $z_i \in Z$.
- (P2) A as a Z -module is weakly finitely generated, i.e. there exists a positive integer n such that every finitely generated Z -submodule of A can be generated with $\leq n$ generators.
- (P3) $\sup\{\ell(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}\ell(A)\} < \infty$.

Let us consider the following conditions of a C^* -algebra A :

- (P1) A as a Z -module is finitely generated, i.e. there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_i$ for some $z_i \in Z$.
- (P2) A as a Z -module is weakly finitely generated, i.e. there exists a positive integer n such that every finitely generated Z -submodule of A can be generated with $\leq n$ generators.
- (P3) $\sup\{\ell(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}l(A)\} < \infty$.

Obviously (P1) \Rightarrow (P2) \Rightarrow (P3).

Let us consider the following conditions of a C^* -algebra A :

- (P1) A as a Z -module is finitely generated, i.e. there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_i$ for some $z_i \in Z$.
- (P2) A as a Z -module is weakly finitely generated, i.e. there exists a positive integer n such that every finitely generated Z -submodule of A can be generated with $\leq n$ generators.
- (P3) $\sup\{\ell(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}l(A)\} < \infty$.

Obviously (P1) \Rightarrow (P2) \Rightarrow (P3).

Theorem (G. 2011)

A C^ -algebra A satisfies (P1) if and only if A is a finite direct sum of unital homogeneous C^* -algebras.*

Results

Theorem (G. 2011, 2012)

If A satisfies (P3) then

$$\sup\{\dim(A/Q) : Q \in \text{Primal}_2(A)\} < \infty.$$

In particular, A is subhomogeneous. Further, if A is separable, A must have a finite type property.

Results

Theorem (G. 2011, 2012)

If A satisfies (P3) then

$$\sup\{\dim(A/Q) : Q \in \text{Primal}_2(A)\} < \infty.$$

In particular, A is subhomogeneous. Further, if A is separable, A must have a finite type property.

Theorem (G. 2012; Partial converse)

Suppose that A is subhomogeneous with the finite type property. If $\text{Prim}(A)$ is Hausdorff, then A satisfies (P2).

Results

Theorem (G. 2011, 2012)

If A satisfies (P3) then

$$\sup\{\dim(A/Q) : Q \in \text{Primal}_2(A)\} < \infty.$$

In particular, A is subhomogeneous. Further, if A is separable, A must have a finite type property.

Theorem (G. 2012; Partial converse)

Suppose that A is subhomogeneous with the finite type property. If $\text{Prim}(A)$ is Hausdorff, then A satisfies (P2).

Theorem (G. 2012; Hausdorffness of $\text{Prim}(A)$ is crucial)

There exists a compact subset X of \mathbb{R} and a unital C^ -subalgebra A of $C(X, \mathbb{M}_2)$ with trivial homogeneous sub-quotients such that $\sup\{\dim(A/Q) : Q \in \text{Primal}_2(A)\} = \infty$. Hence, A doesn't satisfy (P3).*

Corollary

For every separable C^ -algebras with Hausdorff $\text{Prim}(A)$, the conditions (P2) and (P3) are equivalent.*

Corollary

For every separable C^ -algebras with Hausdorff $\text{Prim}(A)$, the conditions (P2) and (P3) are equivalent.*

(P3) $\not\Rightarrow$ (P2) when $\text{Prim}(A)$ is not Hausdorff in general:

Proposition/Example (G. 2012)

- If A satisfies (P2) then $\sup\{\dim(A/G) : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\} < \infty$.

Corollary

For every separable C^* -algebras with Hausdorff $\text{Prim}(A)$, the conditions (P2) and (P3) are equivalent.

(P3) $\not\Rightarrow$ (P2) when $\text{Prim}(A)$ is not Hausdorff in general:

Proposition/Example (G. 2012)

- If A satisfies (P2) then $\sup\{\dim(A/G) : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\} < \infty$.
- Let A be a C^* -algebra which consists of all $a \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{M}_2)$ s.t.

$$a(1/n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence $(\lambda_n(a))$ of complex numbers. Then A satisfies (P3) but has a Glimm ideal of infinite codimension (namely $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \ker \lambda_i$). In particular, A doesn't satisfy (P2).