

# On derivations and elementary operators on $C^*$ -algebras

Ilja Gogić

Trinity College Dublin

Infinite Dimensional Function Theory:  
Present Progress and Future Problems

University College Dublin  
January 8-9, 2015

(joint work in progress with Richard Timoney)

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a (complex) Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a (complex) Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a (complex) Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $A$  is equipped with an involution, i.e. a map  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the properties:

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)^* = \bar{\alpha} a^* + \bar{\beta} b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^* a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a (complex) Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $A$  is equipped with an involution, i.e. a map  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the properties:

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)^* = \bar{\alpha} a^* + \bar{\beta} b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^* a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -**identity**, i.e.

$$\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

## Definition

A  **$C^*$ -algebra** is a (complex) Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $A$  is equipped with an involution, i.e. a map  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the properties:

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)^* = \bar{\alpha} a^* + \bar{\beta} b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^* a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the  **$C^*$ -identity**, i.e.

$$\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

Throughout this talk, we assume that all  $C^*$ -algebras have identity elements.

## Fundamental examples

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and max-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ . Obviously,  $C(X)$  is commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Moreover, every commutative  $C^*$ -algebra arises in this fashion (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).

## Fundamental examples

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and max-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ . Obviously,  $C(X)$  is commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Moreover, every commutative  $C^*$ -algebra arises in this fashion (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).
- The set  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the standard operations, usual adjoint and operator norm. In fact, every  $C^*$ -algebra can be isometrically embedded as a norm-closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).

## Fundamental examples

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and max-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ . Obviously,  $C(X)$  is commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Moreover, every commutative  $C^*$ -algebra arises in this fashion (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).
- The set  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the standard operations, usual adjoint and operator norm. In fact, every  $C^*$ -algebra can be isometrically embedded as a norm-closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).

The category of  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under the formation of direct products, direct sums, extensions, direct limits, pullbacks, pushouts, (some) tensor products, etc.

## Definition

A **derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Definition

A **derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Basic properties of derivations on $C^*$ -algebras

Every derivation  $\delta$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the following properties:

## Definition

A **derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Basic properties of derivations on $C^*$ -algebras

Every derivation  $\delta$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\delta$  is completely bounded and its cb-norm coincides with its operator norm (i.e.  $\|\delta\|_{cb} = \|\delta\|$ ).

## Definition

A **derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Basic properties of derivations on $C^*$ -algebras

Every derivation  $\delta$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\delta$  is completely bounded and its cb-norm coincides with its operator norm (i.e.  $\|\delta\|_{cb} = \|\delta\|$ ).
- $\delta$  preserves the (closed two-sided) ideals of  $A$  (i.e.  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $A$ ).

## Definition

A **derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the **Leibniz rule**

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Basic properties of derivations on $C^*$ -algebras

Every derivation  $\delta$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\delta$  is completely bounded and its cb-norm coincides with its operator norm (i.e.  $\|\delta\|_{cb} = \|\delta\|$ ).
- $\delta$  preserves the (closed two-sided) ideals of  $A$  (i.e.  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $A$ ).
- $\delta$  vanishes on the centre of  $A$  (i.e.  $\delta(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in Z(A)$ ). In particular, commutative  $C^*$ -algebras don't admit non-zero derivations.

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

Some classes of  $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).
- $AW^*$ -algebras (Olesen, 1974).

## Definition

Each element  $a \in A$  induces an **inner derivation**  $\delta_a$  on  $A$  given by

$$\delta_a(x) := ax - xa \quad (x \in A).$$

By  $\text{Der}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}(A)$  we denote, respectively, the set of all derivations on  $A$  and the set of all inner derivations on  $A$ .

## Main problem

Which  $C^*$ -algebras admit only inner derivations?

## Some classes of $C^*$ -algebras which admit only inner derivations:

- von Neumann algebras (Kadison-Sakai, 1966).
- simple  $C^*$ -algebras (Sakai, 1968).
- $AW^*$ -algebras (Olesen, 1974).
- homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras (Sproston, 1976).

In fact, for separable  $C^*$ -algebras the above problem was completely solved back in 1979:

**Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

In fact, for separable  $C^*$ -algebras the above problem was completely solved back in 1979:

**Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*

In fact, for separable  $C^*$ -algebras the above problem was completely solved back in 1979:

### **Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*
- *$A$  is a direct sum of a finite number of  $C^*$ -subalgebras which are either homogeneous or simple.*

In fact, for separable  $C^*$ -algebras the above problem was completely solved back in 1979:

### **Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*
- *$A$  is a direct sum of a finite number of  $C^*$ -subalgebras which are either homogeneous or simple.*
- *$\text{Der}(A)$  is separable in the operator norm.*

In fact, for separable  $C^*$ -algebras the above problem was completely solved back in 1979:

### **Theorem (Akemann, Elliott, Pedersen and Tomiyama, 1979)**

*For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *$A$  admits only inner derivations.*
- *$A$  is a direct sum of a finite number of  $C^*$ -subalgebras which are either homogeneous or simple.*
- *$\text{Der}(A)$  is separable in the operator norm.*

On the other hand, for inseparable  $C^*$ -algebras the main problem remains widely open, even for the simplest cases such as subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras (i.e.  $C^*$ -algebras which have finite-dimensional irreducible representations of bounded degree).

## Motivation

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps  $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$  ( $a, b \in A$ ) as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps  $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$  ( $a, b \in A$ ) as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).
- We can therefore try to approximate a more general map on  $A$ , one that preserves ideals, by finite sums of two-sided multiplication maps, that is, by **elementary operators**.

## Motivation

- We often try to understand the structure of operators and spaces on which they act in terms of approximation by finite rank maps.
- On  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ , however, it is natural to regard two-sided multiplication maps  $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$  ( $a, b \in A$ ) as basic building blocks (instead of rank one operators).
- We can therefore try to approximate a more general map on  $A$ , one that preserves ideals, by finite sums of two-sided multiplication maps, that is, by **elementary operators**.

By  $\mathcal{E}l(A)$  we denote the set of all elementary operators on  $A$ . It is easy to see that every elementary operator on  $A$  is completely bounded, with

$$\left\| \sum_i M_{a_i, b_i} \right\|_{cb} \leq \left\| \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right\|_h,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_h$  is the Haagerup tensor norm on  $A \otimes A$ .

Since every  $\delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  preserve the ideals of  $A$  and is completely bounded, the above approximation procedure in particular applies to the derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras:

Since every  $\delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  preserve the ideals of  $A$  and is completely bounded, the above approximation procedure in particular applies to the derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Problem

Which derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admit a completely bounded approximation by elementary operators? That is, which derivations of  $A$  lie in the cb-norm closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}$  of  $\mathcal{E}l(A)$ ?

Since every  $\delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  preserve the ideals of  $A$  and is completely bounded, the above approximation procedure in particular applies to the derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Problem

Which derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admit a completely bounded approximation by elementary operators? That is, which derivations of  $A$  lie in the cb-norm closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  of  $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ?

### Remark

- Since each inner derivation is an elementary operator (of length 2) on  $A$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  includes the cb-norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$ .

Since every  $\delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  preserve the ideals of  $A$  and is completely bounded, the above approximation procedure in particular applies to the derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Problem

Which derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admit a completely bounded approximation by elementary operators? That is, which derivations of  $A$  lie in the cb-norm closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  of  $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ?

### Remark

- Since each inner derivation is an elementary operator (of length 2) on  $A$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}^{cb}$  includes the cb-norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$ .
- Since the cb-norm of an inner derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra coincides with its operator norm (easy to verify), the cb-norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$  coincides with the operator norm closure of  $\text{Inn}(A)$ . We denote this closure by  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}$ .

## Problem (G., 2013)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}}^{cb} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$

### Problem (G., 2013)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$

In many cases the set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. However, this is not always true.

### Problem (G., 2013)

Does every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfy the condition

$$\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}^{cb}} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}?$$

In many cases the set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. However, this is not always true.

In fact, we have the following beautiful characterization:

### Theorem (Somerset, 1993)

*The set  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm, as a subset of  $\text{Der}(A)$ , if and only if  $A$  has a finite connecting order.*

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- The **distance**  $d(P, Q)$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is defined as follows:
  - ▷  $d(P, P) := 1$ .
  - ▷ If  $P \neq Q$  and there exists a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ , then  $d(P, Q)$  is equal to the minimal length of a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ .
  - ▷ If there is no path from  $P$  to  $Q$ ,  $d(P, Q) := \infty$ .

## Connecting order of a $C^*$ -algebra

The connecting order of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a constant in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  arising from a certain graph structure on the primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$ :

- Two primitive ideals  $P, Q$  of  $A$  are said to be **adjacent**, if  $P$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by disjoint open subsets of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .
- A **path** of length  $n$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is a sequence of points  $P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n = Q$  such that  $P_{i-1}$  is adjacent to  $P_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- The **distance**  $d(P, Q)$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  is defined as follows:
  - ▷  $d(P, P) := 1$ .
  - ▷ If  $P \neq Q$  and there exists a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ , then  $d(P, Q)$  is equal to the minimal length of a path from  $P$  to  $Q$ .
  - ▷ If there is no path from  $P$  to  $Q$ ,  $d(P, Q) := \infty$ .
- The **connecting order**  $\text{Orc}(A)$  of  $A$  is then defined by

$$\text{Orc}(A) := \sup\{d(P, Q) : P, Q \in \text{Prim}(A) \text{ such that } d(P, Q) < \infty\}.$$

## Theorem (G., 2013)

*The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}}^{cb} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}$  holds true for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.*

### Theorem (G., 2013)

The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}}^{cb} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}$  holds true for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.

Recall that the **Glimm ideals** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are the ideals generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of  $A$ .

### Theorem (G., 2013)

The equality  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}}^{cb} = \overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}}$  holds true for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in which every Glimm ideal is prime.

Recall that the **Glimm ideals** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are the ideals generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of  $A$ .

If a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has only prime Glimm ideals, then  $\text{Orc}(A) = 1$ , so Somerset's theorem yields that  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in the operator norm. Hence:

### Corollary

If every Glimm ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is prime, then every derivation of  $A$  which lies in  $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}l(A)}}^{cb}$  is inner.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

By an **elementary derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we mean every derivation on  $A$  which is also an elementary operator on  $A$ .

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

By an **elementary derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we mean every derivation on  $A$  which is also an elementary operator on  $A$ .

## Question

Does there exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer elementary derivation?

## Example

The class of  $C^*$ -algebras in which every Glimm ideal is prime is fairly large. It includes:

- Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.
- $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum.
- Quotients of  $AW^*$ -algebras.
- Local multiplier algebras.

By an **elementary derivation** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we mean every derivation on  $A$  which is also an elementary operator on  $A$ .

## Question

Does there exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an outer elementary derivation?

Motivated by our previous discussion, it is natural to start looking for possible examples in the class of  $C^*$ -algebras with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .

### Example (G., 2010)

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra consisting of all elements  $a \in C([0, \infty]) \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$  such that

$$a(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence  $(\lambda_n(a))$  of complex numbers. Then:

### Example (G., 2010)

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra consisting of all elements  $a \in C([0, \infty)) \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$  such that

$$a(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence  $(\lambda_n(a))$  of complex numbers. Then:

- $d(\ker \lambda_1, \ker \lambda_n) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .

### Example (G., 2010)

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra consisting of all elements  $a \in C([0, \infty)) \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$  such that

$$a(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence  $(\lambda_n(a))$  of complex numbers. Then:

- $d(\ker \lambda_1, \ker \lambda_n) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .
- $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$  is closed in the cb-norm.

In particular,  $A$  admits an outer elementary derivation.

### Example (G., 2010)

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra consisting of all elements  $a \in C([0, \infty)) \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$  such that

$$a(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(a) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for some convergent sequence  $(\lambda_n(a))$  of complex numbers. Then:

- $d(\ker \lambda_1, \ker \lambda_n) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$ .
- $\mathcal{E}\ell(A)$  is closed in the cb-norm.

In particular,  $A$  admits an outer elementary derivation.

More recently, Richard Timoney showed that the above  $C^*$ -algebra admits outer derivations  $\delta$  of the form  $\delta = M_{a,b} - M_{b,a}$  for some  $a, b \in A$ . In particular  $A$  has outer elementary derivations of length 2.

I end this lecture with some problems of current interest:

### Problem

What can be said about the lengths of outer elementary derivations? In particular, can one for each  $n \geq 2$  find a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an (outer) elementary derivation of length  $n$ ?

I end this lecture with some problems of current interest:

### Problem

What can be said about the lengths of outer elementary derivations? In particular, can one for each  $n \geq 2$  find a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an (outer) elementary derivation of length  $n$ ?

### Problem

Does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer elementary derivation?

I end this lecture with some problems of current interest:

### Problem

What can be said about the lengths of outer elementary derivations? In particular, can one for each  $n \geq 2$  find a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an (outer) elementary derivation of length  $n$ ?

### Problem

Does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer elementary derivation?

### Problem

When do we have  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ?

I end this lecture with some problems of current interest:

### Problem

What can be said about the lengths of outer elementary derivations? In particular, can one for each  $n \geq 2$  find a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which admits an (outer) elementary derivation of length  $n$ ?

### Problem

Does every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Orc}(A) = \infty$  admit an outer elementary derivation?

### Problem

When do we have  $\overline{\overline{\text{Inn}(A)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\ell(A)$ ?

### Problem

What can be said about  $\text{Der}(A) \cap \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell(A)}}$ ?