

# Applications of algebraic topology to operator algebras: Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

Ilja Gogić

Department of Mathematics  
University of Zagreb

XXII Geometrical seminar  
Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia, May 26-31, 2024

*In memory to my dear friend Prof. Stana Nikčević*

## $C^*$ -algebras as noncommutative topology

### Definition

A (unital)  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a complex Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra with identity over  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $A$  is equipped with an involution, i.e. a map  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the properties:

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)^* = \bar{\alpha} a^* + \bar{\beta} b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^* a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -**identity**, i.e.

$$\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

## Example

Let  $X$  be a CH (compact Hausdorff) space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and sup-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ .

## Example

Let  $X$  be a CH (compact Hausdorff) space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and sup-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ .

In fact, all unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras arise in this fashion:

## Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

*The (contravariant) functor  $X \rightsquigarrow C(X)$  defines an equivalence of categories of CH spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) and commutative  $C^*$ -algebras (with  $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms).*

## Example

Let  $X$  be a CH (compact Hausdorff) space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and sup-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ .

In fact, all unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras arise in this fashion:

## Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

*The (contravariant) functor  $X \rightsquigarrow C(X)$  defines an equivalence of categories of CH spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) and commutative  $C^*$ -algebras (with  $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms).*

In other words: By passing from the space  $X$  the function algebra  $C(X)$ , no information is lost. In fact,  $X$  can be recovered from  $C(X)$ . Thus, topological properties of  $X$  can be translated into algebraic properties of  $C(X)$ , and vice versa. Therefore, the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras is often thought of as **noncommutative topology**.

## Example

- The set  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the standard operations, usual adjoint and operator norm. In particular, the complex matrix algebras  $\mathbb{M}_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  are  $C^*$ -algebras.
- In fact, every  $C^*$ -algebra can be isometrically embedded as a norm-closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (the noncommutative Gelfand-Naimark theorem).
- To every locally compact group  $G$ , one can associate a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$ . Everything about the representation theory of  $G$  is encoded in  $C^*(G)$ .
- The category of  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under the formation of direct products, direct sums, extensions, direct limits, pullbacks, pushouts, (some) tensor products, etc.

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of a trivial bundle over  $X$ .

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of a trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

*A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called **( $n$ -)homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  are of the same finite dimension ( $n$ ).*



## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of a trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called **( $n$ -)homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  are of the same finite dimension ( $n$ ).

### Example

The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C(X)$  for CH spaces  $X$ .

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of a trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called **( $n$ -)homogeneous** if all irreducible representations of  $A$  are of the same finite dimension ( $n$ ).

### Example

The 1-homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras are precisely the commutative ones, hence of the form  $A = C(X)$  for CH spaces  $X$ .

### Example

For any CH space  $X$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Example

More generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle over a CH space  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) \cong PU(n) = U(n)/\mathbb{S}^1$  (the projective unitary group), then the set  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  of all continuous sections of  $\mathcal{E}$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, with respect to the fiberwise operations and sup-norm.

## Example

More generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle over a CH space  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) \cong PU(n) = U(n)/S^1$  (the projective unitary group), then the set  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  of all continuous sections of  $\mathcal{E}$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, with respect to the fiberwise operations and sup-norm.

## Theorem (Fell & Tomiyama-Takesaki)

*If  $A$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, then its spectrum  $X$  is a CH space and there is a locally trivial bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $PU(n)$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the section algebra  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*Moreover, any two such algebras  $A_i = \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_i)$  with spectra  $X_i$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a homeomorphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong f^*(\mathcal{E}_2)$  as bundles over  $X_1$ .*

## Example

More generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle over a CH space  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) \cong PU(n) = U(n)/\mathbb{S}^1$  (the projective unitary group), then the set  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  of all continuous sections of  $\mathcal{E}$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, with respect to the fiberwise operations and sup-norm.

## Theorem (Fell & Tomiyama-Takesaki)

*If  $A$  is an  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, then its spectrum  $X$  is a CH space and there is a locally trivial bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $PU(n)$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the section algebra  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*Moreover, any two such algebras  $A_i = \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_i)$  with spectra  $X_i$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a homeomorphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong f^*(\mathcal{E}_2)$  as bundles over  $X_1$ .*

In particular, the classification problem of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  is equivalent to the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles over  $X$ .



From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

Since any two homotopic maps induce isomorphic bundles, the map  $[f] \mapsto [f^*(EG)]$  defines a bijection between the homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  onto the isomorphism classes  $\text{Bun}(X, G)$  of  $G$ -bundles over  $X$ .



From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

Since any two homotopic maps induce isomorphic bundles, the map  $[f] \mapsto [f^*(EG)]$  defines a bijection between the homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  onto the isomorphism classes  $\text{Bun}(X, G)$  of  $G$ -bundles over  $X$ .

We know that the classifying space of  $U(n)$  is  $G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ , i.e. the inductive limits of complex Grassmanians. What is the classifying space of  $PU(n)$ ?

As an illustration, we present a result which can be used in order to classify the  $PU(n)$ -bundles over spaces of the form  $\Sigma(Y)$  (the suspension of  $Y$ ).

### Theorem

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there exists a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $X = \Sigma(Y)$  and the homotopy classes  $[Y, G]$ .*

As an illustration, we present a result which can be used in order to classify the  $PU(n)$ -bundles over spaces of the form  $\Sigma(Y)$  (the suspension of  $Y$ ).

### Theorem

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there exists a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $X = \Sigma(Y)$  and the homotopy classes  $[Y, G]$ .*

In particular, since  $\Sigma(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) = \mathbb{S}^k$ , we have:

### Corollary

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there is a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $\mathbb{S}^k$  and the elements of  $(k - 1)$ th-homotopy group  $\pi_{k-1}(G)$ .*

The lower homotopy groups of  $G = PU(n)$  are known. In particular, for  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , we get:

### No. of isomorphism classes of $n$ -homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras over $\mathbb{S}^k$

	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_4$	$M_5$	$M_6$	$M_7$	$M_8$	$M_9$	$M_{10}$
$\mathbb{S}^1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^2$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\mathbb{S}^3$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^4$	1	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$
$\mathbb{S}^5$	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^6$	1	2	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$
$\mathbb{S}^7$	1	12	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

We end this part of the talk with the following interesting result:

### Theorem (Antonevič-Krupnik)

If  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , then:

- (a) Any  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X$  is trivial as a vector bundle.
- (b) Any  $PU(n)$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E} = \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  for some  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $X$ .

We end this part of the talk with the following interesting result:

### Theorem (Antonevič-Krupnik)

If  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , then:

- (a) Any  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X$  is trivial as a vector bundle.
- (b) Any  $PU(n)$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E} = \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  for some  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $X$ .

### Problem

Which manifolds/CW-complexes  $X$  satisfy the property (a) or (b) of the preceding theorem?

## Algebraic characterization of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

## Algebraic characterization of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

### Definition

We say that a ring  $R$  satisfies the **standard identity**  $s_k$  if for each  $k$ -tuple  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of elements in  $R$  we have  $s_k(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0$ .



## Algebraic characterization of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

### Definition

We say that a ring  $R$  satisfies the **standard identity**  $s_k$  if for each  $k$ -tuple  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of elements in  $R$  we have  $s_k(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0$ .

### Theorem (Amitsur-Levitzki)

If  $R$  is a unital commutative ring, then the ring  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ .

## Definition

A (unital) ring  $R$  is said to be an  $A_n$ -**ring** if:

- (a)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (b) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Definition

A (unital) ring  $R$  is said to be an  $A_n$ -**ring** if:

- (a)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (b) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Corollary

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Definition

A (unital) ring  $R$  is said to be an  $A_n$ -ring if:

- (a)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (b) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Corollary

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Definition

A (unital) ring  $R$  with centre  $Z$  is said to be **Azumaya** over  $Z$  if:

- (a)  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module; and
- (b) The canonical homomorphism

$$\theta : A \otimes_Z A^\circ \rightarrow \text{End}_Z(R), \quad \theta(a \otimes b)(x) = axb$$

is an isomorphism.

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank** (this number is a perfect square).

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank** (this number is a perfect square).

### Theorem (Artin)

*A ring  $R$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank** (this number is a perfect square).

### Theorem (Artin)

*A ring  $R$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

Therefore, for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we have:

$A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous  $\iff A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring  $\iff A$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank** (this number is a perfect square).

### Theorem (Artin)

*A ring  $R$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

Therefore, for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  we have:

$A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous  $\iff A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring  $\iff A$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .

### Theorem (G.)

*For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with centre  $Z$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A$  is Azumaya.
- (b)  $A$  is finitely generated  $Z$ -module (projectivity is not assumed).
- (c)  $A$  is a finite direct sum of homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras.



## Hilbert $C^*$ -modules

- Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules form a category in between Banach spaces (they have a little extra geometrical structure) and Hilbert spaces (they are not as well behaving as these).
- A Hilbert  $C^*$ -module obeys the same axioms as an ordinary Hilbert space, except that the inner product takes values in a more general  $C^*$ -algebras than  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules were first introduced in the work of I. Kaplansky in 1953, who developed the theory for unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. In the 1970s the theory was extended to non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras independently by W. Paschke and M. Rieffel.
- Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules appear naturally in many areas of  $C^*$ -algebra theory, such as KK-theory, Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras, and completely positive operators.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A (left) **Hilbert  $A$ -module** is a left  $A$ -module  $M$ , equipped with an  $A$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  which is  $A$ -linear in the first and conjugate linear in the second variable, such that  $M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|v\| := \sqrt{\|\langle v, v \rangle\|_A}.$$

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A (left) **Hilbert  $A$ -module** is a left  $A$ -module  $M$ , equipped with an  $A$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  which is  $A$ -linear in the first and conjugate linear in the second variable, such that  $M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|v\| := \sqrt{\|\langle v, v \rangle\|_A}.$$

## Example

Every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  becomes a Hilbert  $A$ -module with respect to the inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle := ab^*.$$

## Example

Similarly, the direct sum  $A^n$  of  $n$ -copies of  $A$  becomes an  $A$ -Hilbert module with respect to the pointwise operations and the inner product

$$\langle a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n, b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k^*.$$

More generally, let

$$\mathcal{H}_A := \left\{ (a_k) \in \prod_1^\infty A : \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k a_k^* \text{ is norm convergent} \right\}.$$

Then the pointwise operations and the inner product

$$\langle (a_k), (b_k) \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k b_k^*$$

turn  $\mathcal{H}_A$  into a Hilbert  $A$ -module – a **standard Hilbert  $A$ -module**.

When a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is unital and commutative,  $A = C(X)$ , there exists a categorical equivalence between Hilbert  $A$ -modules and (F) Hilbert bundles over  $X$ . (F) Hilbert bundles provide a natural generalization of standard vector bundles from topology.

When a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is unital and commutative,  $A = C(X)$ , there exists a categorical equivalence between Hilbert  $A$ -modules and (F) Hilbert bundles over  $X$ . (F) Hilbert bundles provide a natural generalization of standard vector bundles from topology.

## Definition

An **(F) Hilbert bundle** is a triple  $\mathcal{E} := (p, E, X)$  where  $E$  and  $X$  are topological spaces with a continuous open surjection  $p : E \rightarrow X$ , together with operations and norms making each **fibre**  $E_x := p^{-1}(x)$  ( $x \in X$ ) into a complex Hilbert space, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- The maps  $\mathbb{C} \times E \rightarrow E$ ,  $E \oplus_X E \rightarrow E$  and  $E \oplus_X E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given in each fibre by scalar multiplication, addition, and the inner product, respectively, are continuous. Here  $E \oplus_X E$  denotes the Whitney sum

$$\{(e, f) \in E \times E : p(e) = p(f)\}.$$

- If  $x \in X$  and if  $(e_\alpha)$  is a net in  $E$  such that  $\|e_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $p(e_\alpha) \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , then  $e_\alpha \rightarrow 0_x$  in  $E$  (where  $0_x$  is the zero-element of  $E_x$ ).

As usual, we say that  $p$  is the **projection**,  $E$  is the **bundle space** and  $X$  is the **base space** of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

As usual, we say that  $p$  is the **projection**,  $E$  is the **bundle space** and  $X$  is the **base space** of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### Example

The simplest example of an (F) Hilbert bundle is the **product bundle** over  $X$  with fibre  $H$ ,  $\epsilon(X, H) := (\text{proj}_1, X \times H, H)$ , where  $H$  is a Hilbert space.



As usual, we say that  $p$  is the **projection**,  $E$  is the **bundle space** and  $X$  is the **base space** of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### Example

The simplest example of an (F) Hilbert bundle is the **product bundle** over  $X$  with fibre  $H$ ,  $\epsilon(X, H) := (\text{proj}_1, X \times H, H)$ , where  $H$  is a Hilbert space.

### Example

Every locally trivial complex vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over a (para)compact Hausdorff space becomes an (F) Hilbert bundle for a choice of a Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{E}$ . In fact, an (F) Hilbert bundle structure on  $\mathcal{E}$  is essentially unique.

A **section** of an (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  is any continuous right inverse of  $p : E \rightarrow X$ . By  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  we denote the set of all of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A **section** of an (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  is any continuous right inverse of  $p : E \rightarrow X$ . By  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  we denote the set of all of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

If  $X$  is a CH space, then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  becomes a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module with respect to the action

$$(\varphi s)(x) := \varphi(x)s(x)$$

and inner product

$$\langle s, u \rangle(x) := \langle s(x), u(x) \rangle_x,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$  denotes the inner product on fibre  $E_x$ .

A **section** of an (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  is any continuous right inverse of  $p : E \rightarrow X$ . By  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  we denote the set of all of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

If  $X$  is a CH space, then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  becomes a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module with respect to the action

$$(\varphi s)(x) := \varphi(x)s(x)$$

and inner product

$$\langle s, u \rangle(x) := \langle s(x), u(x) \rangle_x,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$  denotes the inner product on fibre  $E_x$ .

In fact, all Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules arise in this fashion:

### Theorem

*To any Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $M$  one can associate a natural (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E}_M$  such that the (generalized) Gelfand transform  $\Gamma_M : M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_M)$  becomes an isometric  $C(X)$ -linear isomorphism.*

## Finitely generated Hilbert $C(X)$ -modules

A Hilbert  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be:

- **algebraically finitely generated** (AFG) if there exists a finite subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span equals  $M$ .
- **weakly algebraically finitely generated** (WAFG) if there exists a constant  $k = k(A) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every AFG submodule of  $M$  is contained in a submodule of  $M$  generated by  $\leq k$  generators.
- **topologically finitely generated** (TFG) if there exists a finite subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span is dense  $M$ .
- **countably generated** (CG) if there exists a countable subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span is dense  $M$ .

## Finitely generated Hilbert $C(X)$ -modules

A Hilbert  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be:

- **algebraically finitely generated** (AFG) if there exists a finite subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span equals  $M$ .
- **weakly algebraically finitely generated** (WAFG) if there exists a constant  $k = k(A) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every AFG submodule of  $M$  is contained in a submodule of  $M$  generated by  $\leq k$  generators.
- **topologically finitely generated** (TFG) if there exists a finite subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span is dense  $M$ .
- **countably generated** (CG) if there exists a countable subset of  $M$  whose  $A$ -linear span is dense  $M$ .

### Theorem (Kasparov stabilization theorem)

If  $M$  is a CG Hilbert  $A$ -module, then  $M \oplus \mathcal{H}_A \cong \mathcal{H}_A$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_A$  is a standard Hilbert  $A$ -module.

## Corollary

*Every AFG Hilbert module (over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra) is automatically projective.*

## Corollary

*Every AFG Hilbert module (over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra) is automatically projective.*

An (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  is said to be:

- **Locally trivial** if there exists a Hilbert space  $H$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  we have  $\mathcal{E}|_U \cong \epsilon(U, H)$ .
- **$n$ -homogeneous**, if all fibres of  $\mathcal{E}$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .



## Corollary

*Every AFG Hilbert module (over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra) is automatically projective.*

An (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  is said to be:

- **Locally trivial** if there exists a Hilbert space  $H$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  we have  $\mathcal{E}|_U \cong \epsilon(U, H)$ .
- **$n$ -homogeneous**, if all fibres of  $\mathcal{E}$  have the same finite dimension  $n$ .

## Lemma

*Any  $n$ -homogeneous (F) Hilbert bundle is automatically locally trivial.*

In particular, when  $A = C(X)$ , we get a Hilbert module version of the celebrated Serre-Swan theorem:

### Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module, where  $X$  is a CH space, and let  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_M$ . Then  $M$  is AFG if and only if there exists a finite clopen partition  $X = X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_k$  such that each restriction bundle  $\mathcal{E}|_{X_i}$  is homogeneous.*

In particular, when  $A = C(X)$ , we get a Hilbert module version of the celebrated Serre-Swan theorem:

### Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module, where  $X$  is a CH space, and let  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_M$ . Then  $M$  is AFG if and only if there exists a finite clopen partition  $X = X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_k$  such that each restriction bundle  $\mathcal{E}|_{X_i}$  is homogeneous.*

Hence, the category of  $n$ -homogeneous (F) Hilbert bundles over (connected) CH spaces is equivalent to the category of  $n$ -dimensional (locally trivial) complex vector bundles.

The main difference between AFG and TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules is the fact that TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules are not generally projective.

The main difference between AFG and TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules is the fact that TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules are not generally projective.

In particular, the dimension of the fibres of the canonical (F) Hilbert bundle may vary, even when  $X$  is connected:

### Example

let  $X$  be the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and let

$$M := C_0((0, 1]) = \{f \in C([0, 1]) : f(0) = 0\}.$$

Then  $M$  becomes a Hilbert  $C([0, 1])$ -module with respect to the standard action and inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = fg^*$ .

- $M$  is topologically singly generated (for instance, the identity function  $f(x) = x$  is such generator, by the Weierstrass approximation theorem).
- On the other hand, each fibre of  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is one-dimensional, except at  $x = 0$  which is zero.

Besides the "fibre dimension drop phenomenon" (subhomogeneity vs homogeneity) for canonical (F) bundles of TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules, there is also another requirement (the finite type condition).

Besides the "fibre dimension drop phenomenon" (subhomogeneity vs homogeneity) for canonical (F) bundles of TFG Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules, there is also another requirement (the finite type condition).

If all fibres of an (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  are finite dimensional and

$$n := \sup_{x \in X} \dim E_x < \infty,$$

we say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is  **$n$ -subhomogeneous**.

- In that case every restriction bundle of  $\mathcal{E}$  over a set where  $\dim E_x$  is constant is locally trivial.
- If in addition every base space of such restriction bundle admits a finite trivializing open cover, then we say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is  **$n$ -subhomogeneous of finite type**.

## Theorem (G.)

Let  $X$  be a compact metrizable space and let  $M$  be a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module with the canonical (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E}_M$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $M$  is TFG.
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is subhomogeneous of finite type.
- (c)  $M$  is WAFG.
- (d) There exists a constant  $k = k(M) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any Banach  $C(X)$ -module  $V$ , each tensor in the  $C(X)$ -projective tensor product  $M \overset{\pi}{\otimes}_{C(X)} V$  is of (finite) rank at most  $k$ .



## Theorem (G.)

Let  $X$  be a compact metrizable space and let  $M$  be a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module with the canonical (F) Hilbert bundle  $\mathcal{E}_M$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $M$  is TFG.
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is subhomogeneous of finite type.
- (c)  $M$  is WAFG.
- (d) There exists a constant  $k = k(M) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any Banach  $C(X)$ -module  $V$ , each tensor in the  $C(X)$ -projective tensor product  $M \overset{\pi}{\otimes}_{C(X)} V$  is of (finite) rank at most  $k$ .

## Remark

Recently, A. Chirvasitu removed the metrizable requirement.

## $C(X)$ -convex Banach modules

### Definition

Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. A **left (unital) Banach  $A$ -module** is Banach space  $M$ , which is also a left  $A$ -module such that the action  $A \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $(a, x) \mapsto ax$  is continuous (i.e.  $\|ax\| \leq \|a\|\|x\|$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in M$ ) and  $1x = x$  for all  $x \in M$ .

## $C(X)$ -convex Banach modules

### Definition

Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. A **left (unital) Banach  $A$ -module** is Banach space  $M$ , which is also a left  $A$ -module such that the action  $A \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $(a, x) \mapsto ax$  is continuous (i.e.  $\|ax\| \leq \|a\|\|x\|$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in M$ ) and  $1x = x$  for all  $x \in M$ .

### Example

If  $X$  is a CH space, one can similarly define a notion of an (F) or (H) bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  (in the (H) case the norm  $E \rightarrow X$  is only required to be upper semicontinuous). Then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module.

## $C(X)$ -convex Banach modules

### Definition

Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. A **left (unital) Banach  $A$ -module** is Banach space  $M$ , which is also a left  $A$ -module such that the action  $A \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $(a, x) \mapsto ax$  is continuous (i.e.  $\|ax\| \leq \|a\|\|x\|$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in M$ ) and  $1x = x$  for all  $x \in M$ .

### Example

If  $X$  is a CH space, one can similarly define a notion of an (F) or (H) bundle  $\mathcal{E} = (p, E, X)$  (in the (H) case the norm  $E \rightarrow X$  is only required to be upper semicontinuous). Then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module.

For a Banach  $C(X)$ -module  $M$ , one can also construct a canonical Banach bundle  $\mathcal{E}_M$  and the generalized Gelfand transform  $\Gamma_M : M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_M)$ . However, in general:

- $\mathcal{E}_M$  is only an (H) bundle.
- $\Gamma_M$  fails to be isometric.

If  $M$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module one can look for conditions which might guarantee that  $\Gamma_M$  is isometric. This was solved by K. Hofmann in 1974.

If  $M$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module one can look for conditions which might guarantee that  $\Gamma_M$  is isometric. This was solved by K. Hofmann in 1974.

### Definition

Let  $M$  be a Banach  $C(X)$ -module. We say that  $M$  is  $C(X)$ -**convex** if for any pair  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)_+$  with  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 1$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in M$ , we have

$$\|\varphi_1 s_1 + \varphi_2 s_2\| \leq \max\{\|s_1\|, \|s_2\|\}.$$

If  $M$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module one can look for conditions which might guarantee that  $\Gamma_M$  is isometric. This was solved by K. Hofmann in 1974.

### Definition

Let  $M$  be a Banach  $C(X)$ -module. We say that  $M$  is  $C(X)$ -**convex** if for any pair  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)_+$  with  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 1$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in M$ , we have

$$\|\varphi_1 s_1 + \varphi_2 s_2\| \leq \max\{\|s_1\|, \|s_2\|\}.$$

### Example

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is an (H) Banach bundle over a CH space  $X$ , then the Banach  $C(X)$ -module  $\Gamma(E)$  is  $C(X)$ -convex.

If  $M$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module one can look for conditions which might guarantee that  $\Gamma_M$  is isometric. This was solved by K. Hofmann in 1974.

### Definition

Let  $M$  be a Banach  $C(X)$ -module. We say that  $M$  is  $C(X)$ -**convex** if for any pair  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)_+$  with  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 1$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in M$ , we have

$$\|\varphi_1 s_1 + \varphi_2 s_2\| \leq \max\{\|s_1\|, \|s_2\|\}.$$

### Example

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is an (H) Banach bundle over a CH space  $X$ , then the Banach  $C(X)$ -module  $\Gamma(E)$  is  $C(X)$ -convex.

In that way we essentially get all  $C(X)$ -convex modules:

### Theorem (Hofmann)

*If  $M$  is a Banach  $C(X)$ -module, then  $\Gamma_M$  defines an isometric  $C(X)$ -isomorphism from  $M$  onto  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_M)$  if and only if  $M$  is  $C(X)$ -convex.*



The next example shows that we cannot extend our results from Hilbert  $C(X)$ -modules to general  $C(X)$ -convex modules, unless the canonical bundles are (F) bundles:

### Example

We consider  $M := C([0, 1])$  as Banach module over  $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , with respect to the action

$$(\varphi f)(x) := \varphi(e^{2\pi ix})f(x).$$

- All fibres of  $\mathcal{E}_M$  (which is an (H) Banach bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ ) are 1-dimensional, except at 1, where  $\dim = 2$ .
- On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $M$  is AFG (2 generators suffice).

## Problem (G.)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an (F) Banach bundle over a CH space  $X$  and let  $M := \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .

- (a) If  $M$  is AFG, is  $M$  automatically projective (  $\iff$  homogeneity of  $\mathcal{E}$ , when  $X$  is connected)?
- (b) Are all TFG conditions for  $M$ , from the Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module case, always equivalent?

## Problem (G.)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an (F) Banach bundle over a CH space  $X$  and let  $M := \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .

- (a) If  $M$  is AFG, is  $M$  automatically projective (  $\iff$  homogeneity of  $\mathcal{E}$ , when  $X$  is connected)?
- (b) Are all TFG conditions for  $M$ , from the Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module case, always equivalent?

A several days ago A. Chirvasitu informed me that:

**Theorem (Chirvasitu - [arxiv.org/pdf/2405.14518](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.14518))**

*The answer to (b) is positive.*