

# Fiberwise two-sided multiplications on homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

Ilja Gogić

Department of Mathematics  
University of Zagreb

XX Geometrical Seminar  
Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia  
May 20–23, 2018

joint work with Richard M. Timoney (Trinity College Dublin)



### Definition

A (unital)  $C^*$ -**algebra** is a complex Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -identity. More precisely:

- $A$  is a Banach algebra with identity over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $A$  is equipped with an involution, i.e. a map  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the properties:

$$(\alpha a + \beta b)^* = \bar{\alpha} a^* + \bar{\beta} b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^* a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies the  $C^*$ -**identity**, i.e.

$$\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

## Remark

The  $C^*$ -identity is a very strong requirement. For instance, together with the spectral radius formula, it implies that the  $C^*$ -norm is uniquely determined by the algebraic structure: For all  $a \in A$  we have

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = r(a^*a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spec}(a^*a)\}.$$

## Remark

The  $C^*$ -identity is a very strong requirement. For instance, together with the spectral radius formula, it implies that the  $C^*$ -norm is uniquely determined by the algebraic structure: For all  $a \in A$  we have

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = r(a^*a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spec}(a^*a)\}.$$

In the category of  $C^*$ -algebras, the natural morphisms are the (unital) **\*-homomorphisms**, i.e. the algebra homomorphisms which preserve the involution and the identity. They are automatically contractive.

## Remark

The  $C^*$ -identity is a very strong requirement. For instance, together with the spectral radius formula, it implies that the  $C^*$ -norm is uniquely determined by the algebraic structure: For all  $a \in A$  we have

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = r(a^*a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spec}(a^*a)\}.$$

In the category of  $C^*$ -algebras, the natural morphisms are the (unital) **\*-homomorphisms**, i.e. the algebra homomorphisms which preserve the involution and the identity. They are automatically contractive.

## Example

Let  $X$  be a CH (compact Hausdorff) space and let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $C(X)$  becomes a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the pointwise operations, involution  $f^*(x) := \overline{f(x)}$ , and max-norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ .

In fact, all unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras arise in this fashion:

### Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

*The (contravariant) functor  $X \rightsquigarrow C(X)$  defines an equivalence of categories of CH spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) and commutative  $C^*$ -algebras (with  $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms).*

In fact, all unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras arise in this fashion:

### Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

*The (contravariant) functor  $X \rightsquigarrow C(X)$  defines an equivalence of categories of CH spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) and commutative  $C^*$ -algebras (with  $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms).*

In other words: By passing from the space  $X$  the function algebra  $C(X)$ , no information is lost. In fact,  $X$  can be recovered from  $C(X)$ . Thus, topological properties of  $X$  can be translated into algebraic properties of  $C(X)$ , and vice versa, so the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras is often thought of as **noncommutative topology**.

## Basic examples

- The set  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the standard operations, usual adjoint and operator norm. In particular, the complex matrix algebras  $\mathbb{M}_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  are  $C^*$ -algebras.
- In fact, every  $C^*$ -algebra can be isometrically embedded as a norm-closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (the noncommutative Gelfand-Naimark theorem).
- To every locally compact group  $G$ , one can associate a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$ . Everything about the representation theory of  $G$  is encoded in  $C^*(G)$ .
- The category of  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under the formation of direct products, direct sums, extensions, direct limits, pullbacks, pushouts, (some) tensor products, etc.

### Definition

A **representation** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A representation  $\pi$  is said to be **irreducible** if it has no nontrivial closed invariant subspaces (i.e. if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(A)\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ ).

## Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

### Definition

A **representation** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A representation  $\pi$  is said to be **irreducible** if it has no nontrivial closed invariant subspaces (i.e. if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(A)\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ ).

### Noncommutative Gelfand-Naimark theorem

Every  $C^*$ -algebra admits an isometric representation on some Hilbert space.

# Homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

## Definition

A **representation** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A representation  $\pi$  is said to be **irreducible** if it has no nontrivial closed invariant subspaces (i.e. if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(A)\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ ).

## Noncommutative Gelfand-Naimark theorem

Every  $C^*$ -algebra admits an isometric representation on some Hilbert space.

## Remark

Because of the previous theorem,  $C^*$ -algebras can be concretely defined as norm closed self-adjoint subalgebras of bounded operators on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A$  be  $C^*$ -algebra.

- A **primitive ideal** of  $A$  is an ideal which is the kernel of an irreducible representation of  $A$ .
- The **primitive spectrum** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{Prim}(A)$  of primitive ideals of  $A$  equipped with the **Jacobson topology**: If  $S$  is a set of primitive ideals, its closure is

$$\bar{S} := \left\{ P \in \text{Prim}(A) : P \supseteq \bigcap_{Q \in S} Q \right\}.$$

## Definition

Let  $A$  be  $C^*$ -algebra.

- A **primitive ideal** of  $A$  is an ideal which is the kernel of an irreducible representation of  $A$ .
- The **primitive spectrum** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{Prim}(A)$  of primitive ideals of  $A$  equipped with the **Jacobson topology**: If  $S$  is a set of primitive ideals, its closure is

$$\bar{S} := \left\{ P \in \text{Prim}(A) : P \supseteq \bigcap_{Q \in S} Q \right\}.$$

## Example

If  $A = C(X)$ , let  $C_x(X) := \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}$  ( $x \in X$ ). Then  $\text{Prim}(C(X)) = \{C_x(X) : x \in X\}$ . Moreover, the correspondence  $x \mapsto C_x(X)$  defines a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $\text{Prim}(C(X))$ .

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of trivial bundle over  $X$ .

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called  **$n$ -homogeneous** if  $A/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$  for every  $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ .

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called  **$n$ -homogeneous** if  $A/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$  for every  $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ .

### Theorem (Fell & Tomiyama-Takesaki)

If  $A$  is a (unital)  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, then its primitive spectrum  $X$  is a CH space and there is a locally trivial bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) = \text{PU}(n) = \text{U}(n)/\mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

In the light of noncommutative topology it is natural to try to view a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as a set of sections of some sort of the bundle. For example,  $C(X)$  is the family of sections of trivial bundle over  $X$ .

This idea in particular works well for the following class of  $C^*$ -algebras:

### Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called  **$n$ -homogeneous** if  $A/P \cong \mathbb{M}_n$  for every  $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ .

### Theorem (Fell & Tomiyama-Takesaki)

If  $A$  is a (unital)  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra, then its primitive spectrum  $X$  is a CH space and there is a locally trivial bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  with fibre  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_n) = \text{PU}(n) = \text{U}(n)/\mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Moreover, any two such algebras  $A_i = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{E}_i)$  with spectra  $X_i$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a homeomorphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong f^*(\mathcal{E}_2)$  as bundles over  $X_1$ .

In particular, the classification problem of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  is equivalent to the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles over  $X$ .

In particular, the classification problem of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  is equivalent to the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles over  $X$ .

From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

In particular, the classification problem of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  is equivalent to the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles over  $X$ .

From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

Since any two homotopic maps induce isomorphic bundles, the map  $[f] \mapsto [f^*(EG)]$  defines a bijection between the homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  onto the isomorphism classes  $\text{Bun}(X, G)$  of  $G$ -bundles over  $X$ .

In particular, the classification problem of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  is equivalent to the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles over  $X$ .

From the general theory we know that any topological group  $G$  admits the **universal  $G$ -bundle**  $EG$  over  $BG$  (where  $BG$  is the **classifying space** of  $G$ ), which has the property that any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over a CW-complex  $X$  is isomorphic to the induced  $G$ -bundle  $f^*(EG)$  for some continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ .

Since any two homotopic maps induce isomorphic bundles, the map  $[f] \mapsto [f^*(EG)]$  defines a bijection between the homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  onto the isomorphism classes  $\text{Bun}(X, G)$  of  $G$ -bundles over  $X$ .

The classifying space of  $PU(n)$  is not that easy to describe as it is for the group  $U(n)$  (=inductive limits of complex Grassmanians). Hence, the classification problem of  $PU(n)$ -bundles is more complex than the classification problem of (complex) vector bundles.

However, if our base space  $X$  is of the form  $\Sigma(Y)$  (suspension of  $Y$ ) we can use the following result:

### Theorem

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there exists a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $X = \Sigma(Y)$  and the homotopy classes  $[Y, G]$ .*

However, if our base space  $X$  is of the form  $\Sigma(Y)$  (suspension of  $Y$ ) we can use the following result:

### Theorem

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there exists a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $X = \Sigma(Y)$  and the homotopy classes  $[Y, G]$ .*

In particular, since  $\Sigma(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) = \mathbb{S}^k$ , we have:

### Corollary

*If the group  $G$  is path-connected, then there is a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $\mathbb{S}^k$  and the elements of  $(k - 1)$ th-homotopy group  $\pi_{k-1}(G)$ .*

The lower homotopy groups of  $G = PU(n)$  are known. In particular, putting  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , we get:

**No. of isomorphism classes of  $n$ -homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras over  $\mathbb{S}^k$**

	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_4$	$M_5$	$M_6$	$M_7$	$M_8$	$M_9$	$M_{10}$
$\mathbb{S}^1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^2$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\mathbb{S}^3$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^4$	1	$\aleph_0$								
$\mathbb{S}^5$	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{S}^6$	1	2	$\aleph_0$							
$\mathbb{S}^7$	1	12	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

We end this part of the talk with the following interesting result:

### Theorem (Antonevič-Krupnik)

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is any  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , then:

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial as a vector bundle; and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E} = \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  for some  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $\mathbb{S}^k$ .

We end this part of the talk with the following interesting result:

### Theorem (Antonevič-Krupnik)

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is any  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X = \mathbb{S}^k$ , then:

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial as a vector bundle; and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{E} = \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  for some  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $\mathbb{S}^k$ .

### Problem

Which manifolds/CW-complexes  $X$  satisfy the statements (i) and/or (ii) of the above theorem?

## Algebraic characterisation of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

## Algebraic characterisation of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

### Definition

We say that a ring  $R$  satisfies the **standard identity**  $s_k$  if for each  $k$ -tuple  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of elements in  $R$  we have  $s_k(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0$ .

## Algebraic characterisation of homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

**Standard polynomial** of degree  $k$  is a polynomial in  $k$  non-commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  defined by

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $S_k$  is a symmetric group of order  $k$ .

### Definition

We say that a ring  $R$  satisfies the **standard identity**  $s_k$  if for each  $k$ -tuple  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of elements in  $R$  we have  $s_k(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 0$ .

### Theorem (Amitsur-Levitzki)

If  $R$  is a unital commutative ring, then the ring  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ .

## Definition

We say that a unital  $R$  ring is an  $A_n$ -**ring** if:

- (i)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (ii) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Definition

We say that a unital  $R$  ring is an  $A_n$ -ring if:

- (i)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (ii) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Corollary

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Definition

We say that a unital  $R$  ring is an  $A_n$ -ring if:

- (i)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2n}$ ; and
- (ii) No non-zero homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_{2(n-1)}$ .

## Corollary

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.

## Definition

A unital ring  $R$  with centre  $Z$  is said to be **Azumaya** over  $Z$  if:

- (i)  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module; and
- (ii) The canonical homomorphism

$$\theta : A \otimes_Z A^\circ \rightarrow \text{End}_Z(R), \quad \theta(a \otimes b)(x) = axb$$

is an isomorphism.

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank**. In this case the rank of  $R$  is a perfect square.

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank**. In this case the rank of  $R$  is a perfect square.

### Theorem (Artin)

*A unital ring  $R$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

If  $R$  is Azumaya over  $Z$ , then  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $Z$ -module and hence has a rank function  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . If this function is constant then  $R$  is said to be of **constant rank**. In this case the rank of  $R$  is a perfect square.

### Theorem (Artin)

*A unital ring  $R$  is an  $A_n$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

### Corollary

*For a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is  $n$ -homogeneous.*
- (ii)  $A$  is an  $A_n$ -ring.*
- (iii)  $A$  is Azumaya of constant rank  $n^2$ .*

### Theorem (G. 2011)

*For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is Azumaya.*
- (ii)  $A$  is finitely generated module over the centre of its multiplier algebra.*
- (iii)  $A$  is a finite direct sum of unital homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras.*

## Fiberwise two-sided multiplications on homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the important class of bounded linear maps  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  are the ones that preserve its (closed two-sided) ideals, i.e.  $\phi(I) \subseteq I$  for all ideals  $I$  of  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{IB}(A)$  the set of all such maps.

## Fiberwise two-sided multiplications on homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the important class of bounded linear maps  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  are the ones that preserve its (closed two-sided) ideals, i.e.  $\phi(I) \subseteq I$  for all ideals  $I$  of  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{IB}(A)$  the set of all such maps.

- Since any ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra is an intersection of all primitive ideals that contain it, a bounded linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  lies in  $\text{IB}(A)$  if and only if  $\phi$  preserves all primitive ideals of  $A$ .
- For any ideal  $I$  of  $A$ ,  $\phi$  induces a map  $\phi_I : A/I \rightarrow A/I$  which sends  $a + I$  to  $\phi(a) + I$ .

## Fiberwise two-sided multiplications on homogeneous $C^*$ -algebras

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the important class of bounded linear maps  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  are the ones that preserve its (closed two-sided) ideals, i.e.  $\phi(I) \subseteq I$  for all ideals  $I$  of  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{IB}(A)$  the set of all such maps.

- Since any ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra is an intersection of all primitive ideals that contain it, a bounded linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  lies in  $\text{IB}(A)$  if and only if  $\phi$  preserves all primitive ideals of  $A$ .
- For any ideal  $I$  of  $A$ ,  $\phi$  induces a map  $\phi_I : A/I \rightarrow A/I$  which sends  $a + I$  to  $\phi(a) + I$ .

The class of maps  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  that have the simplest form are the two-sided multiplications  $M_{a,b} : x \mapsto axb$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{TM}(A)$  the set of all such maps.

## Problem

Suppose that  $A$  is a "well-behaved" unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  has the property that each induced map  $\phi_P : A/P \rightarrow A/P$  ( $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ ) is a two-sided multiplication of  $A/P$ , does  $\phi$  have to be a two-sided multiplication of  $A$ ?

## Problem

Suppose that  $A$  is a "well-behaved" unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  has the property that each induced map  $\phi_P : A/P \rightarrow A/P$  ( $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ ) is a two-sided multiplication of  $A/P$ , does  $\phi$  have to be a two-sided multiplication of  $A$ ?

## Remark

In the sequel we consider the above problem when  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is a unital  $n$ -homogeneous algebra over  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$  (which is compact since  $A$  is unital), where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the canonical  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X$ .

## Problem

Suppose that  $A$  is a "well-behaved" unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  has the property that each induced map  $\phi_P : A/P \rightarrow A/P$  ( $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ ) is a two-sided multiplication of  $A/P$ , does  $\phi$  have to be a two-sided multiplication of  $A$ ?

## Remark

In the sequel we consider the above problem when  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is a unital  $n$ -homogeneous algebra over  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$  (which is compact since  $A$  is unital), where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the canonical  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X$ .

## Problem

Suppose that  $A$  is a "well-behaved" unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  has the property that each induced map  $\phi_P : A/P \rightarrow A/P$  ( $P \in \text{Prim}(A)$ ) is a two-sided multiplication of  $A/P$ , does  $\phi$  have to be a two-sided multiplication of  $A$ ?

## Remark

In the sequel we consider the above problem when  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is a unital  $n$ -homogeneous algebra over  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$  (which is compact since  $A$  is unital), where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the canonical  $PU(n)$ -bundle over  $X$ .

## Definition

We say that a map  $\phi \in \text{IB}(A)$  is a **fiberwise two-sided multiplication** if  $\phi_x \in \text{TM}(A_x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . The set of all such maps is denoted by  $\text{FTM}(A)$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\phi \in \text{FTM}(A)$  and suppose that  $\phi_{x_0} \neq 0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_0$  and  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a(x) \neq 0$  and  $b(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in N$  and  $\phi = M_{a,b}$  modulo the ideal  $I_N = \{a \in A : a(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in N\}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\phi \in \text{FTM}(A)$  and suppose that  $\phi_{x_0} \neq 0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_0$  and  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a(x) \neq 0$  and  $b(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in N$  and  $\phi = M_{a,b}$  modulo the ideal  $I_N = \{a \in A : a(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in N\}$ .

## Auxiliary notation

- $\text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \{\phi \in \text{TM}(A) : \phi_x \neq 0 \forall x \in X\}$ ;
- $\text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \{\phi \in \text{FTM}(A) : \text{TM}(A_x) \ni \phi_x \neq 0 \forall x \in X\}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\phi \in \text{FTM}(A)$  and suppose that  $\phi_{x_0} \neq 0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_0$  and  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a(x) \neq 0$  and  $b(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in N$  and  $\phi = M_{a,b}$  modulo the ideal  $I_N = \{a \in A : a(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in N\}$ .

## Auxiliary notation

- $\text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \{\phi \in \text{TM}(A) : \phi_x \neq 0 \forall x \in X\}$ ;
- $\text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \{\phi \in \text{FTM}(A) : \text{TM}(A_x) \ni \phi_x \neq 0 \forall x \in X\}$ .

## Theorem (G.-Timoney 2018)

To each operator  $\phi \in \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$  there is a canonically associated complex line subbundle  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that

$$\phi \in \text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A) \iff \mathcal{L}_\phi \text{ is a trivial bundle.}$$

Moreover, for each complex line subbundle  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  there is an operator  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} \in \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{\mathcal{L}}} = \mathcal{L}$ .

As we know, the principle  $G$ -bundles over  $X$  are classified by:

- homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  ( $BG$  is the classifying space of  $G$ ).
- Čech cohomology  $H^1(X; G)$  of equivalent 1-cocycles of a sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $X$ , whose local groups are sections  $C(U, G)$ ,  $U \subset X$ .

When we deal with (principle) complex line bundles, their structure group is  $G = U(1) = \mathbb{S}^1$ . In this case  $BG = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  and there exists a natural isomorphism of groups  $H^1(X; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

As we know, the principle  $G$ -bundles over  $X$  are classified by:

- homotopy classes  $[X, BG]$  ( $BG$  is the classifying space of  $G$ ).
- Čech cohomology  $H^1(X; G)$  of equivalent 1-cocycles of a sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $X$ , whose local groups are sections  $C(U, G)$ ,  $U \subset X$ .

When we deal with (principle) complex line bundles, their structure group is  $G = U(1) = \mathbb{S}^1$ . In this case  $BG = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  and there exists a natural isomorphisms of groups  $H^1(X; G) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

In the light of previous theorem, for a homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  we define a map

$$\theta : \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

that sends an operator  $\phi \in \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$  to the corresponding class of the bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$  in  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\theta^{-1}(0) = \text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$  (by the latter theorem).

## Corollary

*If  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $\text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$ .*

## Corollary

If  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $\text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$ .

## Theorem (G.-Timoney 2018)

Suppose that  $\dim X \leq d < \infty$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $A_n = C(X, \mathbb{M}_n)$ . If  $p := \lceil \sqrt{(d+1)/2} \rceil$ , then for every  $n \geq p$  the mapping  $\theta : \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective. In particular, if  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A_n) \subsetneq \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A_n)$  for all  $n \geq p$ .

## Corollary

If  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $\text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) = \text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$ .

## Theorem (G.-Timoney 2018)

Suppose that  $\dim X \leq d < \infty$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $A_n = C(X, \mathbb{M}_n)$ . If  $p := \lceil \sqrt{(d+1)/2} \rceil$ , then for every  $n \geq p$  the mapping  $\theta : \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective. In particular, if  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A_n) \subsetneq \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A_n)$  for all  $n \geq p$ .

## Corollary

If  $X = \mathbb{S}^2$  or  $X = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , then for  $A = C(X, \mathbb{M}_n)$  we have  $\text{TM}_{\text{nv}}(A) \subsetneq \text{FTM}_{\text{nv}}(A)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

### Theorem (G.-Timoney 2018)

Let  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  be a unital homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra with  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$ . Consider the following two conditions:

- (a)  $\forall U \subset X$  open, each complex line subbundle of  $\mathcal{E}|_U$  is trivial.
- (b)  $\text{FTM}(A) = \text{TM}(A)$ .

Then (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). If  $A$  is separable, then (a) and (b) are equivalent.

### Theorem (G.-Timoney 2018)

Let  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$  be a unital homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra with  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$ . Consider the following two conditions:

- (a)  $\forall U \subset X$  open, each complex line subbundle of  $\mathcal{E}|_U$  is trivial.
- (b)  $\text{FTM}(A) = \text{TM}(A)$ .

Then (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). If  $A$  is separable, then (a) and (b) are equivalent.

### Corollary

Suppose that  $n \geq 2$ .

- (a) If  $X$  is second-countable with  $\dim X < 2$ , or if  $X$  is (homeomorphic to) a subset of a non-compact connected 2-manifold, then  $\text{FTM}(A) = \text{TM}(A)$ .
- (b) If  $X$  contains a nonempty open subset homeomorphic to (an open subset of)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $d \geq 3$ , then  $\text{FTM}(A) \setminus \text{TM}(A) \neq \emptyset$ .