

A NOTE ON THE ROOT SUBSPACES OF REAL SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

Hrvoje Kraljević, Department of Mathematics,
University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Abstract. In this note we prove that for any two restricted roots α, β of a real semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , such that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, the corresponding root subspaces satisfy $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a real semisimple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{a} a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{g} and R the (restricted) root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ in the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a} . For $\alpha \in R$ denote by \mathfrak{g}_α the corresponding root subspace of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ be such that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. Then either $[x, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \setminus \{0\}$ or $[x, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$.*

Although the proof is very simple and elementary, the assertion does not seem to appear anywhere in the literature. The argument for the proof is from [2], where it is used to prove $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ (a fact which is also proved in [3], 8.10.12), and also to prove that the nilpotent constituent in an Iwasawa decomposition is generated by the root subspaces corresponding to the simple roots.

Let B be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} :

$$B(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Choose a Cartan involution ϑ of \mathfrak{g} in accordance with \mathfrak{a} , i.e. such that $\vartheta(h) = -h \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $(\cdot|\cdot)$ the inner product on \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$(x|y) = -B(x, \vartheta(y)), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We shall use the same notation $(\cdot|\cdot)$ for the induced inner product on the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a} . Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the corresponding norms on \mathfrak{g} and on \mathfrak{a}^* . For $\alpha \in R$ let h_α be the unique element of \mathfrak{a} such that

$$B(h, h_\alpha) = \alpha(h) \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

LEMMA. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ be such that $(\alpha|\alpha + \beta) > 0$. Then*

$$[x, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. Take $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $x \neq 0$. We can suppose that $\|x\|^2 \|\alpha\|^2 = 2$. Put

$$h = \frac{2}{\|\alpha\|^2} h_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad y = -\vartheta(x).$$

Then

$$[h, x] = 2x, \quad [h, y] = -2y, \quad [x, y] = h$$

([3], 8.10.12). Therefore, the subspace \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} spanned by $\{x, y, h\}$ is a simple Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. From the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ([1], 1.8) we know that if π is any representation of \mathfrak{s} on a real finite dimensional vector space V , then $\pi(h)$ is diagonalizable, all eigenvalues of the operator $\pi(h)$ are integers, and if for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ V_n denotes the n -eigenspace of $\pi(h)$, then

$$n \geq -1 \quad \implies \quad \pi(x)V_n = V_{n+2}.$$

Put

$$V = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+j\alpha}.$$

Then V is an \mathfrak{s} -module for the adjoint action and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+j\alpha} = V_{n+2j} \quad \text{where} \quad n = 2 \frac{(\beta|\alpha)}{\|\alpha\|^2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Especially,

$$V_n = \mathfrak{g}_\beta, \quad V_{n+2} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Now

$$n + 2 = 2 \frac{(\alpha|\alpha + \beta)}{\|\alpha\|^2} > 0 \implies n \geq -1 \implies (\operatorname{ad} x)V_n = V_{n+2}.$$

Proof of the Theorem. It is enough to notice that if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ then

$$0 < (\alpha + \beta|\alpha + \beta) = (\alpha|\alpha + \beta) + (\beta|\alpha + \beta),$$

hence, either $(\alpha|\alpha + \beta) > 0$ or $(\beta|\alpha + \beta) > 0$.

Let m_α denote the multiplicity of $\alpha \in R$ ($m_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$). An immediate consequence of the Theorem is:

COROLLARY. *If $\alpha, \beta \in R$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, then $m_{\alpha+\beta} \leq \max(m_\alpha, m_\beta)$.*

Literatura

- [1] J. Dixmier, *Algebres enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974.
- [2] J. Lepowsky, *Conical vectors in induced modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208 (1975), 219 – 272.
- [3] N. Wallach, *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.