

# Diophantine $m$ -tuples

Zrinka Franušić  
Faculty of Sciences, University of Zagreb

UNIC Mathematics Summer School  
*Istanbul*, June, 2025

# Table of contents

## Introduction to Diophantine $m$ -tuples

- On Diophantine pairs

- On Diophantine triples

- On Diophantine quadruples

- On Diophantine quintuples

- $D(n)$ - $m$ -tuples

## Why we study Diophantine $m$ -tuples?

Because they ...

- ▶ involve mathematical problems that are easy to state but difficult to solve.
- ▶ take us from elementary number theory to advanced areas.
- ▶ are the combination of accessibility and depth is what makes them so attractive to study.

C.F. Gauss: "*If mathematics is the queen of sciences, then number theory is the queen of mathematics.*"

The set of  $m$  (distinct) non-zero integers  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  is called a **Diophantine  $m$ -tuples** if

$$a_i a_j + 1 = x_{ij}^2 = \square, x_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ .

Examples:

► **Diophantus** (3rd century):  $\{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{17}{4}, \frac{105}{16}\}$

$$\frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{33}{16} + 1 = \left(\frac{17}{16}\right)^2, \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{17}{4} + 1 = \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^2, \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{105}{16} + 1 = \left(\frac{19}{16}\right)^2,$$

$$\frac{33}{16} \cdot \frac{17}{4} + 1 = \left(\frac{25}{8}\right)^2, \frac{33}{16} \cdot \frac{105}{16} + 1 = \left(\frac{61}{16}\right)^2, \frac{17}{4} \cdot \frac{105}{16} + 1 = \left(\frac{43}{8}\right)^2.$$

► **Fermat** (17th century):  $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$

$$1 \cdot 3 + 1 = 2^2, 1 \cdot 8 + 1 = 3^2, 1 \cdot 120 + 1 = 11^2, 3 \cdot 8 + 1 = 5^2, 3 \cdot 120 + 1 = 19^2, 8 \cdot 120 + 1 = 31^2.$$

- ▶ **Remark:** Diophantine  $m$ -tuples can be observed in:
  - any commutative ring with unity
  - in the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  / *rational Dioph.  $m$ -tuples*
- ▶ **Problem:** How large these sets can be?
- ▶ **Answer:** Depends on the ring!

Examples:

$$\{1, 3, 8, 120\} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\left\{\frac{5}{36}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{32}{9}, \frac{189}{4}, \frac{665}{1521}, \frac{3213}{676}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\{4, 7 + 3\sqrt{5}, 7 - 3\sqrt{5}, 50 + 22\sqrt{5}, 50 - 22\sqrt{5}\} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$$

In the ring of integers, the problem is solved.

Here we deal with Dioph.  $m$ -tuples in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e. with positive elements (in  $\mathbb{N}$ ).

(The only Diophantine  $m$ -tuple/pair with mixed signs is  $\{-1, 1\}$ .)



## On Diophantine pairs

There are infinitely many Diophantine pairs in  $\mathbb{N}$ !

Example:

$\{1, r^2 - 1\}$  and  $\{r - 1, r + 1\}$  are Diophantine pairs ( $r > 1$ )  
(because the product of these two numbers increased by 1 is  $r^2$ .)

Moreover, for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$b = k^2 a \pm 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$\{a, b\}$  is a Diophantine pair. Note that  $ab + 1 = (ka \pm 1)^2$ .

## On Diophantine triples

There are infinitely many Diophantine triples in  $\mathbb{N}$ !

Example:

$\{k-1, k+1, 4k\}$  a Diophantine triple for any integer  $k > 1$ .

Indeed,

$$(k-1)(k+1)+1 = k^2, \quad 4k(k-1)+1 = (2k-1)^2, \quad 4k(k+1)+1 = (2k+1)^2.$$

**Problem:** In how many ways we can extend a given Diophantine pair  $\{a, b\}$  to a Diophantine triple  $\{a, b, c\}$ ?

**Answer:** There are infinitely many  $c$ 's!



Assume that  $\{a, b\}$  is a Diophantine pair and  $ab + 1 = r^2$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

► I. step: At least one extension of the pair is easy to find!

$\{a, b, c\}$  is a Diophantine triple for

$$c = a + b + 2r \quad \text{or} \quad c = a + b - 2r.$$

Let's check!

$$a(a + b \pm 2r) + 1 = a^2 + ab \pm 2ar + 1 = a^2 + r^2 \pm 2ar = (a \pm r)^2.$$

Analogously,

$$b(a + b \pm 2r) + 1 = (b \pm r)^2.$$

(Caution!  $a + b + 2r$  is always a good extension, while  $a + b - 2r$  can be 0.)

WLOG,  $a < b < c$  and  $\{a, b, a + b + 2r\}$  is called **regular** Dioph. triple.

► II. step: Let's find some more extensions!

Assume that  $a < b$ ,  $ab + 1 = r^2$ . We want to find  $c > b$  such that

$$ac + 1 = s^2, \quad bc + 1 = t^2,$$

for some  $s, t > 0$ . By eliminating  $c$ , we obtain the **Diophantine equation**

$$at^2 - bs^2 = a - b.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $a$ , we get

$$(at)^2 - (ab)s^2 = a(a - b). \quad (1)$$

This equation is of the form

$$X^2 - DY^2 = N, \quad (2)$$

where  $D > 0$  and  $D \neq \square$ , and is better known as **Pellian** or **generalized Pell's equation**.

**Pell's equation** is an equation of the form

$$X^2 - DY^2 = 1. \quad (3)$$

Pell's equation has infinitely many solutions (for  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \neq \square$ ).

**Pellian equation** (2) might not have solutions, but if it does, it has infinitely many solutions.

Assume that:

- $(X_1, Y_1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is a sol. of (2),  $X_1^2 - DY_1^2 = N$
  - $(U, V) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is a sol. of (3),  $U^2 - DV^2 = 1$
  - $(X_2, Y_2)$  given by  $X_2 + \sqrt{D}Y_2 = (X_1 + \sqrt{D}Y_1)(U + \sqrt{D}V)$ .
- $(X_2, Y_2)$  is a solution of (2):

$$\begin{aligned} X_2^2 - DY_2^2 &= (X_2 + \sqrt{D}Y_2)(X_2 - \sqrt{D}Y_2) \\ &= (X_1 + \sqrt{D}Y_1)(U + \sqrt{D}V)(X_1 - \sqrt{D}Y_1)(U - \sqrt{D}V) \\ &= (X_1^2 - DY_1^2)(U^2 - DV^2) \\ &= N \cdot 1 = N \end{aligned}$$

Pell's eq. has infinitely many solutions  $\implies$

Pellian eq. has infinitely many solutions (if it is solvable).

Is our equation (1)

$$T^2 - (ab)s^2 = a(a - b), T := at$$

solvable in  $T$  and  $s$ ? YES!

This equation has a solution that arises from the regular expansion  
 $c = a + b + 2r$ !

Recall that  $ac + 1 = \underbrace{(a + r)^2}_{=s}$ ,  $bc + 1 = \underbrace{(b + r)^2}_{=t}$ . So,

$(T_1, s_1) = (a(b + r), a + r)$  is a solution of (1).

If  $(U, V)$  is a solution of  $X^2 - (ab)Y^2 = 1$ , then

$$(a(b + r) + \sqrt{ab}(a + r))(U + \sqrt{ab}V) = T_2 + \sqrt{ab}s_2$$

is an another solution of (1).

We have a new extension of Diophantine pair  $\{a, b\}$ :

$$c_2 := \frac{s_2^2 - 1}{a} = \frac{((a+r)U + a(b+r)V)^2 - 1}{a},$$

if  $c_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$s_2^2 - 1 \equiv r^2 U^2 - 1 = (ab + 1)U^2 - 1 \equiv U^2 - 1 \pmod{a}$$

and

$$U^2 - 1 = abV^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{a},$$

we have  $s_2^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ .

Pell's eq. has infinitely many solutions  $\implies$

Dioph. pair has infinitely many extensions!

Are these all possible extensions? We cannot say they are!

## On Diophantine quadruples

There exist infinitely many Diophantine quadruples!

Examples:

$$\{k, k+2, 4k+4, 4(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)\}, \quad k \geq 1$$

$$\{F_{2n}, F_{2n+2}, F_{2n+4}, 4F_{2n+1}F_{2n+2}F_{2n+3}\}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

(Generalizations of Fermat's quadruple  $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ .)

More general, if the sequence  $(g_n)$  is defined as:

$$g_0 = 0, g_1 = 1, g_n = pg_{n-1} - g_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where  $p \geq 2$  is an integer, then the set

$$\{g_n, g_{n+2}, (p \pm 2)g_{n+1}, 4g_{n+1}((p \pm 2)g_{2n+1} \mp 1)\}$$

had the property of Diophantus. ( $p = 2, 3$  give the previous sets.)

$$\{P_{2n}, P_{2n+2}, 2P_{2n}, 4Q_{2n}P_{2n+1}Q_{2n+1}\},$$

$$\{P_{2n}, P_{2n+2}, 2P_{2n+2}, 4P_{2n+1}Q_{2n+1}Q_{2n+2}\}$$

What can we say about the extensions of a Diophantine pair or triple to a Diophantine quadruple? It is always possible!

Theorem 1 (Euler, 18th century)

$$\{a, b, a + b + 2r, 4r(a + r)(b + r)\}$$

*is a Diophantine quadruple, where  $ab + 1 = r^2$ .*

Theorem 2 (Arkin, Hogatt and Strauss, 1979)

$$\{a, b, c, a + b + c + 2abc + 2rst\} \tag{4}$$

*is a Diophantine quadruple, where  $ab + 1 = r^2$ ,  $ac + 1 = s^2$ ,  $bc + 1 = t^2$ .*

(4) is called **regular quadruple**

Extending problem:  $\{a, b, c\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$

$\iff$  determining an integer triple  $(x, y, z)$  such that

$$ad + 1 = x^2, \quad bd + 1 = y^2, \quad cd + 1 = z^2.$$

By eliminating  $d$ , the previous equations reduce to a system of Diophantine equations:

$$ay^2 - bx^2 = a - b, \tag{5}$$

$$az^2 - cx^2 = a - c, \tag{6}$$

i.e. to a system of Pellian equations:

$$(ay)^2 - (ab)x^2 = a(a - b), \tag{7}$$

$$(az)^2 - (ac)x^2 = a(a - c), \tag{8}$$

These systems of the form are not easy to solve!



## Solving simultaneous Pellian equations

Application of

*Baker's theory on linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers*

(for specific values of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ ).

A *linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers* is an expression of the form

$$\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + b_n \log \alpha_n,$$

where  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are rational numbers and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are algebraic numbers.

Baker's result says that  $\Lambda$  cannot be very close to zero and give an explicit lower bound on  $|\Lambda|$ . So there exists a computable effective constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-C).$$

## Connection between Baker's result and the solution to a system of Pellian eq.

The solutions to each Pellian equation in  $x$  (common unknown) are approximately equal to

$$\gamma\alpha^m \text{ and } \delta\beta^n, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are quadratic irrationalities (i.e. algebraic numbers). Roughly, solving the system is reduced to searching for the numbers  $m$  and  $n$  such that

$$\gamma\alpha^m \approx \delta\beta^n.$$

By taking logarithm,

$$\underbrace{m \log \alpha - n \log \beta + \log \frac{\gamma}{\delta}} \approx 0.$$

linear form in logs of algebraic numbers

Baker's result gives and an explicit upper bound for  $m$  and  $n$ ,

$$\max\{m, n\} \leq M$$

**Problem!** The upper bound is often huge (possibly in the range of  $10^{30}$  or more)!

**Solution:**

*Baker-Davenport's reduction* based on the expansion into a continued fraction. This looks like an approximation of a real number  $\phi$  by a rational (a convergent of continued fraction of  $\phi$ ).

**Remark:** Another way to obtain an upper bound on the solutions is by using a result on simultaneous approximation of square roots (so-called hypergeometric method from Diophantine approximations). Namely, if we assume that system (5),(6) has some relatively large solution  $x, y, z$ , then  $y/x$  and  $z/x$  represent very good rational approximations (with a common denominator) of the irrational numbers  $\sqrt{a/c}$  and  $\sqrt{b/c}$ .

Can we say something about the extension of a Diophantine triple to a quadruple? It is always possible (by regular extension), but...

### Conjecture 1

*If  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  is a Diophantine quadruple and  $d > \max\{a, b, c\}$ , then*

$$d = a + b + c + 2abc + 2rst.$$

Conjecture 1 implies that there is no Diophantine quintuple.

Many results support Conjecture 1. Pioneering works:

- ▶ Baker and Davenport (1969): Fermat's triple  $\{1, 3, 8\}$  can be extended uniquely with  $d = 120$  (i.e. to a regular quadruple)
- ▶ Dujella (late 1990s): Families of triples of the form  $\{k - 1, k + 1, 4k\}$  and  $\{F_{2k}, F_{2k} + 2, F_{2k} + 4\}$  extend uniquely.

## Our Main Learning Objectives

**Goals related to expanding Diophantine pairs to triples, and triples to quadruples:**

- ▶ Solve Pell's equation using continued fractions.
- ▶ Solve Pellian equations.
- ▶ Apply Baker's theory on linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers.
- ▶ Use the Baker–Davenport reduction method, which involves continued fractions.

# On Diophantine quintuples

## Conjecture 2 (Diophantine quintuple conjecture)

*No Diophantine quintuple (in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) exists!*

- ▶ Euler added the fifth (rational) element to Fermat's quadruple

$$\{1, 3, 8, 120, \frac{777480}{8288641}\}.$$

- ▶ Dujella generalized Euler's construction to an arbitrary Diophantine quadruple  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\frac{(a + b + c + d)(abcd + 1) + 2abc + 2abd + 2acd + 2bcd \pm 2r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 r_5 r_6}{(abcd - 1)^2}$$

where

$$ab + 1 = r_1^2, ac + 1 = r_2^2, ad + 1 = r_3^2, bc + 1 = r_4^2, bd + 1 = r_5^2, cd + 1 = r_6^2.$$

- ▶ In 2004 Dujella made an important breakthrough showing that a Diophantine sextuple does not exist and that there are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples.
- ▶ The bound for the number of possible Diophantine quintuples has been improved by several authors

Theorem 3 (He, Togbé and Ziegler, 2019)

*There does not exist a Diophantine quintuple in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

One of the generalizations of Diophantine sets:

- Replace the unity with an arbitrary element  $n \in \mathcal{R}$ .

A Diophantine  $m$ -tuple with property  $D(n)$  or simply  $D(n)$ - $m$ -tuple in  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$a_i a_j + n = \square \text{ (is a square of an element of } \mathcal{R}),$$

for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ .

An interesting fact about  $D(n)$ -quadruples:

- In some rings the existence of  $D(n)$ -quadruples is related to the representation of  $n$  by the binary quadratic form  $x^2 - y^2$ , i.e.

a  $D(n)$ -quadruple exists  $\Leftrightarrow n$  is a difference of squares



a  $D(n)$ -quadruple exists  $\Leftrightarrow n$  is a difference of squares

Confirmation of this claim:

- ▶  $\mathbb{Z}^*$
- ▶  $\mathbb{Z}[i]^*$
- ▶ ring of integers of a real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  for a wide class of positive integers  $d$
- ▶ ring of integers of imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})^*$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})^*$
- ▶ ring of integers of the pure cubic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$
- ▶ ring of integers of the biquadratic number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$

\* - up to finitely many exceptions, \*-partially proved

But (!), in certain rings of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{4k+2}]$  there are elements  $n$  which are not difference of two squares but there exist a  $D(n)$ -quadruple. For instance,

$$\{19 + 6\sqrt{10}, -8 + 6\sqrt{10}, 35 + 18\sqrt{10}, 35 + 42\sqrt{10}\}$$

is a  $D(26 + 6\sqrt{10})$ -quadruple and  $n = 26 + 6\sqrt{10}$  cannot be represented as a difference of two squares in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$

(Chakraborty, Gupta, Hoque, 2023)

Nevertheless, we think it makes sense to investigate the connection between “ $D(n)$ -quadruples and differences of squares” in some other rings.

a  $D(n)$ -quadruple exists  $\Leftrightarrow n$  is a difference of squares

The verification procedure consists of the following steps:

- ▶ Describe the set  $S$  of all elements  $n \in \mathcal{R}$  that can be represented as a difference of two squares
- ▶ Show the non-existence of a  $D(n)$ -quadruple if  $n \notin S$  using congruence types of quadruples
- ▶ Construct effectively, via polynomial formulas, a  $D(n)$ -quadruple for each  $n \in S$ . For example,  $\{m(3k+1)^2+2k, m(3k+2)^2+2k+2, 9m(2k+1)^2+8k+4\}$  has the  $D(2m(2k+1)+1)$ -property.  
(Based on the idea that  $\{a, b, a+b+2x, a+4b+4x\}$  has a  $D(n)$ -property iff  $a(a+4b+4x)+n=\square$ , where  $ab+n=x^2$ .)