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## Bielliptic curves

$X_{\mid K}$ smooth projective curve defined over number field $K$.

- $g_{X}$ genus of $X$
- $X(K)$ the set of $K$-rational points
- $\bar{K}$ a fix algebraic closure of $K$, and all $L / K$ finite $L \subseteq \bar{K}$.
- By Faltings' theorem:

$$
|X(K)|=\infty \Rightarrow g_{X} \leq 1
$$

- The converse is not true: If $g \leq 1$, may happen $X(K)=\emptyset$.
- If $|X(K)|>0$ and $g_{X}=0$, then $|X(K)|=\infty$.
- If $|X(K)|>0$ and $g_{X}=1$, may happen $|X(K)|<\infty$.


## Quadratic Points

## Quadratic Points

Assume $g_{X}>1$, and denote

$$
\Gamma_{2}(X, K):=\cup_{[L: K] \leq 2} X(L) .
$$

Assume $X$ has an involution $u$ defined over $K$ such that $g_{X_{u}} \leq 1$ where $X_{u}:=X / u$ (hyperelliptic or bielliptic curve over $K$, respectively).

$$
\text { If }\left|X_{u}(K)\right|=\infty \Rightarrow\left|\Gamma_{2}(X, K)\right|=\infty .
$$

The converse is true (Abramovich-Harris-Silverman).

A weak result is as follows

## Theorem (Harris-Silverman)

Assume $g_{X} \geq 2$. Then $\exists L / K$ finite field extension with $\left|\Gamma_{2}(X, L)\right|=\infty$ if and only if $C$ is hyperelliptic or bielliptic (i.e., have a degree 2 map over $\bar{K}$

$$
\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} ; \text { or } E
$$

to the projective line or an elliptic curve)
For general global field $k$ we have:
Proposition
Assume $g_{X} \geq 2$. Then,
(1) $X_{k}$ is hyperelliptic if and only if there exists a (hyperelliptic) involution $w \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{\bar{k}}\right)$, having exactly $2 g_{X}+2$ fixed points. In particular, if $X_{k}$ is hyperelliptic, then $w$ is unique, defined over a finite purely inseparable extension $\ell / k$ of $k$, and it is called the hyperelliptic involution of $X_{k}$.
(1) $X_{k}$ is bielliptic if and only if there exists a (bielliptic) involution $\tilde{w} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{\bar{k}}\right)$, having $2 g_{X}-2$ fixed points. If $X_{k}$ is bielliptic and $g_{X} \geq 6$, then there is an unique bielliptic involution, which belongs to the center of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{\bar{k}}\right)$ and is defined over a finite purely inseparable extension $\ell$ of $k$.

Theorem (Grauert-Samuel)
Let $X_{k}$ defined over a global field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$, and assume that $X_{k}$ is conservative. Then $C(k)$ is always a finite set except possible when $X_{k} \otimes_{k} k^{\text {sep }}$ is isomorphic to a smooth projective curve $C^{\prime}$ defined over a finite field (isotrivial curve).

Theorem (Schweizer)
$X_{k}$ defined over global field $k$ of char $=p>0$, conservative over $k$. Assume $g_{X} \geq 3$ and $J a c\left(X_{k} \times_{k} \bar{k}\right)$ has no non-zero homomorphic images defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q=p^{n}}}$, then, there exist $L / k$ finite such that $\left|\Gamma_{2}(X, L)\right|=\infty$ iff $X$ is bielliptic or hyperelliptic.

## Classical modular curves

T

- Are algebraic curves corresponding to certain moduli problem classifying elliptic curves with additional data.
- Over $\mathbb{C}, X_{\Gamma, \mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to a Riemann surface obtained by completing by the cusps the

$$
\mathbb{H} / \Gamma
$$

$\Gamma$ is a modular subgroup of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ commensurable with $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
One of the more known are $\Gamma(N) \leq \Gamma_{1}(N) \leq \Gamma_{\Delta}(N) \leq \Gamma_{0}(N) \leq S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to natural maps

$$
X(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_{\Delta}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

and each of such modular curves are algebraic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction for $p \nmid N$.

If $X_{\Gamma}$ is bielliptic or hyperelliptic, exist an involution in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$.
Always $\operatorname{Norm}_{S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma / \Gamma \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$
$X_{0}(N)$, for $N \neq 37,63,108$

$$
\operatorname{Norm}_{S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_{0}(N) / \Gamma_{0}(N)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}(N)\right),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{0}(N)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \right\rvert\, c \equiv 0(\bmod N)\right\}
$$

and for each $d \mid N$ with $(N, N / d)=1$ we have $w_{d}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}d a & b \\ N c & d k\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ Atkin-Lehner involutins in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}(N)\right)$ and

$$
B(N):=\left\langle\left\{w_{d}\right\}_{d \| N}\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}(N)\right)
$$

## The bielliptic modular situations

For classical modular curves, has been determined all bielliptic curves between

- the curves $X_{0}(N)$ (B., 1999).
- the curves $X_{1}(N)$ (D. Jeon-C.H. Kim 2004)
- the curves $X(N)$ (D.Jeon -C.H. Kim, B.-Kontogeorgis-Xarles 2013)
- the intermediate curves $X_{\Delta}(N)$ (D. Jeon -C.H. Kim- A.Schweizer 2017).
- The modular curves $X_{0}^{+}\left(p^{n}\right)=X_{0}\left(p^{n}\right) / w_{p^{n}}$ (D. Jeon 2018).

In different works, we study which bielliptic curves appear in the families $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)=X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$, with $W_{N} \leq B(N)$ a non-trivial subgroup with $W_{N} \neq\left\langle w_{N}\right\rangle$.
The hyperelliptic $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)$ where determined by different works of Hasegawa and Hashimoto ( $\sim 1997$ ), and Hasegawa ( $\sim 1999$ ).

After finding the bielliptic curves (with few extra work), we could obtain the $N$ such that $\left|\Gamma_{2}\left(X_{0}^{W_{N}}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right|=\infty$.

## Bielliptic and quadratic

Proposition (Accola-Landman,Harris-Silverman)
If $X$ is bielliptic and $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ finite map then $X^{\prime}$ is bielliptic or hyperelliptic or $g_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$.

Theorem (Harris-Abramovich, available proof in Momose volume by B.)
Assume $g_{X} \geq 2$. Then $\left|\Gamma_{2}(X, K)\right|=\infty$ if and only if $C$ is hyperelliptic over $K$ or bielliptic over $K$ where the elliptic quotient has positive $K$-rank.

## Notation

- $G_{\mathbb{Q}}=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$.
- $B(N)$ group inv. Atkin-Lehner, $n=\#$ primes $p\left|N,|B(N)|=2^{n}\right.$.
- $X_{0}^{*}(N)=X_{0}(N) / B(N), X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)=X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$ with $W_{N} \leq B(N)$.
- $g_{N}, g_{N}^{*}$ and $g_{N}^{W_{N}}$, genus of $X_{0}(N), X_{0}^{*}(N)$ and $X_{0}(N)^{W_{N}}$, respectively.
- $J_{0}(N)=\operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{0}(N)\right), J_{0}^{*}(N)=\operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$ and $J_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)=\operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)\right)$.
- $\mathrm{New}_{N}$ set of normalized new forms if $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$.
- $\mathrm{New}_{N}^{*}=\mathrm{New}_{N}^{B(N)}$ subset of $\mathrm{New}_{N}$ invariant by $B(N)$.
- For $f \in \operatorname{New}_{N}$,
- $A_{f}$ is the a.v. associated by Shimura to $f$,
- $a_{m}(f)$ is the $m$-th Fourier coefficient of $f$,
- $K_{f}$ the totally real number field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{a_{m}(f)\right\}_{m}\right)$.
- $\psi$ the Dedekind function.
- $\sigma_{0}(M)$ the number of positive divisors of $M$.
- $A$ and $B$ a. v. over $K, A \stackrel{K}{\sim} B$ mean isogeny over $K$.


## Some facts about $X_{0}(N)$

We known that

- the map $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right) \cap K[[q]] \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{0}(N) / K}^{1}, h \mapsto h(q) d q / q$ is an $\simeq$.
- $J_{0}(N) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} \prod_{M \mid N} \prod_{f \in \mathrm{New}_{M} \backslash G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_{f}^{\sigma_{0}(N / M)}$.
- the set $\cup_{M \mid N} \cup_{f \in \operatorname{New}_{M}}\left\{f\left(q^{d}\right): d \mid N / M\right\}$ is a base of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$.
- for a prime $p \nmid N$ and $f \in \mathrm{New}_{M}$, the characteristic polynomial of Frob ${ }_{p}$ acting in the Tate module of $A_{f}$ is (by Eichler-Shimura)

$$
\prod_{: K_{f} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} x^{2}-a_{p}\left(f^{\sigma}\right) x+p .
$$

- for $p \nmid N,\left|X_{0}(N)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)\right|=p^{n}+1-\sum_{i=1}^{2 g_{N}} \alpha_{i}^{n}$, where

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2 g_{N}}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)=\prod_{M \mid N} \prod_{f \in \mathrm{New}_{M}}\left(x^{2}-a_{p}(f) x+p\right)^{\sigma_{0}(N / M)}
$$

## $X_{0}^{*}(N)$, with $N$ square-free

If $N$ square-free:

- $J_{0}^{*}(N) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} \prod_{M \mid N} \prod_{f \in \mathrm{New}_{M}^{*} \backslash G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_{f}$.
- $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(J_{0}^{*}(N)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(J_{0}^{*}(N)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \prod_{j} K_{j}$, with $K_{j}$ totally real number fields.
- $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)=\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right) \hookrightarrow \prod_{j} K_{j} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{m}$.

Let be $E / \mathbb{Q}$ e.c. and $f_{E} \in \operatorname{New}_{M}$ the associated form to $E$. We say that $(N, E)$ is bielliptic if $E$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny to a bielliptic quotient of $X_{0}^{*}(N)$. Then, $\operatorname{cond}(E)=M \mid N, f_{E} \in \operatorname{New}_{M}^{*}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \sum_{d \mid N / M} d f_{E}\left(q^{d}\right) d q / q=$ pullback de $\Omega_{E / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}$.

## Lemma

Assume $(N, E)$ is bielliptic. For a prime $p \nmid N$, we have the following inequalities
(a) $\frac{\psi(N)}{2^{n}} \leq 12 \frac{2\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|-1}{p-1}$,
(b) $g_{N}^{*} \leq 2 \frac{\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|}{p-1}$,
(c) $g_{N} \leq 2^{n+1} \frac{\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|}{p-1}$.

Observe: $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|=(p+1)^{2}-a_{p}\left(f_{E}\right)^{2} \leq(p+1)^{2}$.

## Sieves, $N$ square-free

With the lemma we obtain a finite set $\mathcal{C}$ of possible $N$ (Ogg argument).

- Second sieve (Cremona tables): Replace the set $\mathcal{C}$ by the set $\mathcal{P}$ of pairs $(N, E)$, where $N \in \mathcal{C}$ and $E / \mathbb{Q}$ is an e.c. such that $f_{E} \in \mathrm{New}_{M}^{*}$, for $M \mid N$. We apply the lemma with $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|$.
- Third sieve (Cremona tables): If $N=M$, erase the pairs in $\mathcal{P}$ such that the strong Weil parametrization of $E$ does not divide $2^{n+1}$.
- Fourth sieve: For $p \nmid N$, write

$$
P_{p}(n):=\bmod \left[\left(\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n / d)\left|X_{0}^{*}(N)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)\right|\right) / n, 2\right]
$$

where $\bmod [r, 2] \in\{0,1\}$ (denotes the class modulo 2 ) and $\mu$ the Moebius function. If $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ has an involution $u / \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{k}(2 n+1) P_{p}(2 n+1) \leq 2 g_{N}^{*}+2, \forall k \geq 0
$$

We erase the pairs ( $N, E$ ) when fails the inequality.

- Fifth sieve: erase the pairs such that

$$
\mid X_{0}^{*}(N)\left(( \mathbb { F } _ { p ^ { n } } ) | > 2 | E \left(\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right) \mid, \text { for some prime } p \nmid N .\right.\right.
$$

## Hyperelliptic case, $N$ square-free

Hasegawa, Hashimoto
$X_{0}^{*}(N)$ hyperellitic and $N$ square-free $\Leftrightarrow g_{N}^{*}=2$.

Proposition
Assume $N$ square-free and $g_{N}^{*}=2$. The curve $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic if, and only if, $J_{0}^{*}(N) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E_{1} \times E_{2}$ where $E_{1} E_{2}$ are bielliptic quotients. In such situation, if $\omega_{i} \in \Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(N) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}, 1 \leq i \leq 2$, is the pulback of the regular differential of $E_{i}$, the functions $x=\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ and $y=d x / \omega_{2}$ satisfy the relation $y^{2}=P(x)$ with $P(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree 6 . The automorphism group corresponds to $(x, y) \mapsto( \pm x, \pm y)$.

Proposition
For $N$ square-free and $g_{N}^{*}=2 . X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic if, and only if, $N \in\{106,122,129,158,166,215,390\}$. In such situation, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$ is the Klein group.

## Non-hyperelliptic pairs, $N$ square-free

After sieve, the remaining pairs $(N, E)$, ordered by genus are, always $g_{N}^{*}>2$ :

| $N$ | $g_{N}^{*}$ | $E$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 178 | 3 | $89 a$ |
| 183 | 3 | $61 a$ |
| 185 | 3 | $37 a$ |
| 246 | 3 | $82 a, 123 b$ |
| 249 | 3 | $83 a, 249 b$ |
| 258 | 3 | $43 a, 129 a$ |
| 282 | 3 | $141 d$ |
| 290 | 3 | $145 a$ |
| 303 | 3 | $101 a$ |
| 310 | 3 | $155 c$ |
| 318 | 3 | $53 a, 106 b$ |
| 430 | 3 | $43 a, 215 a$ |
| 455 | 3 | $65 a$ |
| 462 | 3 | $77 a, 154 a$ |
| 510 | 3 | $102 a$ |


| $N$ | $g_{N}^{*}$ | $E$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 202 | 4 | $101 a$ |
| 262 | 4 | $131 a$ |
| 354 | 4 | $118 a$ |
| 366 | 4 | $61 a$, |
|  |  | $122 a$ |
| 370 | 4 | $185 c$, |
|  |  | $370 a$ |
| 399 | 4 | $57 a$ |
| 426 | 4 | $142 b$ |
| 546 | 4 | $91 a$ |
| 570 | 4 | $57 a$, |
|  |  | $190 b$, |
|  |  | $285 b$ |


| $N$ | $g_{N}^{*}$ | $E$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 237 | 5 | $79 a$ |
| 402 | 5 | $201 c$ |
| 438 | 5 | $219 a$ |
| 645 | 5 | $129 a$ <br> $215 a$ |
| 714 | 5 | $238 b$ |
| 798 | 5 | $399 a$ |
| 910 | 5 | $91 a$, |
|  |  | $455 a$ |
| 690 | 6 | $138 a$ |
| 858 | 6 | $143 a$, |
|  |  | $286 c$ |
| 870 | 7 | $145 a$, |
|  |  | $290 a$ |

## Petri's theorem

Fix an inmersion of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by $K_{h}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right]$ the homogenous polynomials of $K\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right]$.

Theorem of Petri (i)
Let $X / K$ be a non-hyperelliptic curve with $g_{X}>2$ and $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{g}$ a basis of $\Omega_{X / K}^{1}$. The curve $X$ is obtained by the common zeros of the polynomial in

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{Q \in K_{h}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right]: Q\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{g}\right)=0\right\}
$$

## Petri's theorem

For $i>1$, denote $\mathcal{L}_{i}=\{Q \in \mathcal{L}: \operatorname{deg} Q=i\}$, $K$-v.s.
Observe $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{i} \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{i+1}$, because $x_{j} \mathcal{L}_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \forall j \leq g$.

## Theorem of Petri

Let be $X / K$ a non-hyperelliptic curve of $g_{X}>2$ and $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{g}$ a basis of $\Omega_{X / K}^{1}$. $X$ corresponds to the common zeros of the polynomials in

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{Q \in K_{h}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right]: Q\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{g}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

More precisely,

- If $g_{X}=3, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{3}=0, \mathcal{L}_{4}=K \cdot Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \neq\{0\}$ and, for $i \geq 4, \mathcal{L}_{i}$ are multiple of $Q$. The zeroes of $\mathcal{L}$ are the one of $Q$ (smooth plane quartic).
- If $g_{X}>3, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=(g-3)(g-2) / 2$ and the zeroes of $\mathcal{L}$ are the ones in $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3}$. If $X$ is not trigonal or is not a quintic smooth plane curve, the zeroes for all $\mathcal{L}$ are the ones of $\mathcal{L}_{2}$.

If $g_{X}=4$ and $X$ not hyperelliptic, its gonality is 3 . In this situation $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{3}=5$. Therefore, an quation for $X$ is given by a polynomial $Q_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(Q_{2} \neq 0\right)$ and a polynomial of $Q_{3} \in \mathcal{L}_{3}$ which is not multiple of $Q_{2}$.

## Non-hyperelliptic involutions

Let be $u \in \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(X)$ ( $X$ not hyperelliptic with $g_{X}>2$ ), then

$$
Q\left(u^{*}\left(\omega_{1}\right), \cdots, u^{*}\left(\omega_{g}\right)\right)=0, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{L} .
$$

If $u$ is an involution and $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is a basis of eigenvectors, i.e. $u^{*}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i}$ with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{g} x_{g}\right) \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{L} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if the condition (1) is true, then the map

$$
u: \omega_{i} \mapsto \varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad v: \omega_{i} \mapsto-\varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq g, \text { is an involution of } X .
$$

For $X=X_{0}^{*}(N), J_{0}^{*}(N) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} \prod A_{f_{i}}$. Because $u$ acts in each $A_{f_{i}}$ by $\pm$ Id, a basis of $\Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(N) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}$ as union of basis of $\Omega_{A_{f_{i}} / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}$ are eigenvectors for $u$.

Proposition
Assume $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is not hyperelliptic. Take $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{g_{N}^{*}}$ a basis of $\Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(N) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}$ as previosly, such that $\omega_{1}$ is the differential associated to e.c. $E$. The pair $(N, E)$ is bielliptic if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{g_{N}^{*}-1}, x_{g_{N}^{*}}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{i} \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{i} \forall i \geq 2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Bielliptic involutions

The relation (2) is characterized by a $X / \mathbb{Q}$ as follows

- If $g_{X}=3$ and $\mathcal{L}_{4}=\left\langle Q_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ :

$$
Q\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{L}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow Q_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=Q_{4}\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

- If $g_{X}>3$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{2}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \\
\mathbb{\Downarrow} \\
Q\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right), \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{3}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\
\Uparrow \\
Q\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right)-Q\left(-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}\right) \in x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{2}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Bielliptic curves by Petri's thm

Let us generalize the above criteria to determine when a non-hyperelliptic smooth curve $X / K$ is bielliptic over $K$ or not.

## Proposition

Assume $\operatorname{Jac}(X) \stackrel{K}{\sim} E^{m} \times A$, with $E$ an elliptic curve and $A$ a.v. such that does not have $E$ as a quotient defined over $K$. Let be $I_{g-m} \in M_{g-m}(K)$ identity matrix and $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ a basis of $\Omega_{X / K}^{1}$ s.t. $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{m}$ and $\omega_{m+1}, \cdots, \omega_{g}$ are basis of the pullbacks of $\Omega_{E^{m / K}}^{1}$ and $\Omega_{A / K}^{1}$ resp. Then, the pair $(X, E)$ is bielliptic over $K$ if, and only if, exist a matrix $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{GL}_{m}(K)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{g}\right) \cdot \mathcal{B}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime} \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{i} \text { and } \forall i \geq 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{c|c}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_{g-m}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{g}(K)$ y
$\left.\mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{Q\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{g}\right) \cdot \mathcal{B}\right)\right): Q \in \mathcal{L}_{i}\right\}$.
Note: $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \omega_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{-1}\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{m}\right)$ is a basis by eigenvectors of $u$ in $\Omega_{E^{m} / K}^{1}$, with $u\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\omega_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u\left(\omega_{j}^{\prime}\right)=-\omega_{j}^{\prime}$ for $j \neq 1$.

## Bielliptic curves $X_{0}^{*}(N)$, and quadratic points, $N$ square-free

## Theorem

Let $N>1$ square-free integer. The modular curve $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic $\left(g_{N}^{*} \geq 2\right)$ if, and only if, $N$ appears in the following table

| $g_{N}^{*}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2 | $106,122,129,158,166,215,390$ |
| 3 | $178,183,246,249,258,290,303,318,430,455,510$ |
| 4 | 370 |

For such values of $N, \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$ has order 2 if $g_{N}^{*}>2$ and is the Klein group when $g_{N}^{*}=2$.

Moreover, $\left|\Gamma_{2}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N), \mathbb{Q}\right)\right|=\infty$ if, and only if, $N$ is the previous list or in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{67,73,85,93,103,106,107,115,122,129,133,134,146,154,158,161, \\
& 165,166,167,170,177,178,183,186,191,205,206,209,213,215,221 \\
& 230,246,249,255,258,266,285,286,287,290,299,303,318,330,357 \\
& 370,390,430,455,510\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $N$ non square-free. Preliminary steps

## Lemma [3]

Let $p$ be a prime. If for an integer $k \geq 2, X_{0}^{*}\left(p^{k} \cdot M\right)$ is bielliptic, then $X_{0}^{*}\left(p^{k-2} \cdot M\right)$ is hyperelliptic, bielliptic or has genus $\leq 1$.

Corollary [3]
Let $N>1$ s.t. $g_{N}^{*} \geq 2$. Let be $M$ the biggest square-free integer s.t. $M \mid N$ and $\operatorname{val}_{p}(N)$ is odd for each prime $p \mid M$. If $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic, then $X_{0}^{*}(M)$ is bielliptic or $g_{M}^{*} \leq 2$.

Proposition [Daeyeol Jeon]
Let be $N=p^{k}$ with $p$ prime, $k>1$ and $g_{N}^{*} \geq 2$. Then, $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic iff $N=121=11^{2}$, or $N=128=2^{7}\left(g_{121}^{*}=2\right.$ and $\left.g_{128}^{*}=3\right)$.

Lemma [2]
Let be $(N, E)$ bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a prime $p \nmid N$, the following results are satisfied:
(a) $\frac{\psi(N)}{2^{n}} \leq 12 \frac{2\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|-1}{p-1}$,
(b) $g_{N}^{*} \leq 2 \frac{\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|}{p-1}$,
(c) $g_{N} \leq 2^{n+1} \frac{\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)\right|}{p-1}$.

## $N$ non-square free, $J_{0}^{*}(N) / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$

- One main difference with $N$ square-free is on the decomposition of $J_{0}^{*}(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For $N$ general, $M \mid N$ and $f \in \operatorname{New}_{M}$, write $H_{f}=\left\langle f\left(q^{d}\right): d \mid N / N\right\rangle$.

- $N$ square-free and $H_{f}^{B(N)} \neq\{0\} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathrm{New}_{M}^{*}$. In this situation, $\operatorname{dim} H_{f}^{B(N)}=1$ and

$$
H_{f}^{B(N)}=\left\langle\sum_{d \mid N / M} w_{d}(f(q))\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{d \mid N / M} d f\left(q^{d}\right)\right\rangle
$$

- If $N$ is not square-free and $H_{f}^{B(N)} \neq\{0\}$, may occur $n_{f}:=\operatorname{dim} H_{f}^{B(N)}>1$.

Thus in the decomposition of $J_{0}^{*}(N)$,

$$
J_{0}^{*}(N) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} \prod_{M \mid N} \prod_{f \in \operatorname{New}_{M} / G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_{f}^{n_{f}}
$$

may appear $n_{f}>1$. We need to determine a basis of $H_{f}^{B(N)}\left(\forall f \in \mathrm{New}_{M}\right.$ and $\left.\forall M \mid N\right)$ to determine a basis of $\Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$ (to apply Petri's theorem), main source Atkin-Lehner paper "Hecke operators...".

## $N$ not square-free, $J_{0}^{*}(N) / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$

For an integer $d>0, B_{d}$ denote the operator

$$
B_{d}: S_{2}\left(\Gamma _ { 0 } ( M ) \rightarrow S _ { 2 } \left(\Gamma_{0}(M \cdot d), \quad f \mapsto f\left(q^{d}\right)\right.\right.
$$

## Proposition [3]

For a prime $p \nmid M$ and $i \geq 0$, let be $f \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(p^{i} \cdot M\right)\right)^{B(M)}$ s. t. $w_{p^{i}}(f)=\varepsilon \cdot f(\varepsilon=1$ if $i=0$ ). For $k>i$, let be $\mathcal{S}_{f}$ the v.s. of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(p^{k} \cdot M\right)\right)$ generated by the $k-i+1 \mathrm{I}$. i. $\left\{f, B_{p}(f), \cdots, B_{p}^{k-i}(f)\right\}$. Then,
(i) The following modular forms are a basis of $\mathcal{S}_{f}$ :

$$
g_{j}=\left(1+p B_{p}\right)^{k-i-j}\left(1-p B_{p}\right)^{j} f, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-i
$$

and eigenvector for $w_{p^{k}}: w_{p^{k}}\left(g_{j}\right)=(-1)^{j} \varepsilon g_{j}$.
(ii) The dimension $s_{f}$ of the v.s. $\mathcal{S}_{f}^{B\left(p^{k} \cdot M\right)}$ is

$$
s_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{k-i+1}{2} & \text { if } k-i \text { is odd } \\
\frac{k-i+1+\varepsilon}{2} & \text { if } k-i \text { is even. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Bielliptic curves, may be not over $\mathbb{Q}$.

- The other main difference when $N$ non-square free is $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(J_{0}^{*}(N)\right) \neq \operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(J_{0}^{*}(N)\right)$.

Lemma [Silverman-Harris]
Let be $X_{K}$ with $g_{X} \geq 6$. If $X$ is bielliptic, then there exist an unique bielliptic involution and defined over $K$.

Lemma [Baker,González-Jiménez,González,Poonen=BGGP]
Let $A$ be an a.v. defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $A \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^{m} A_{f_{i}}^{n_{i}}$ for some $f_{i} \in \operatorname{New}_{N_{i}}$, with
$A_{f_{i}}{ }^{\mathbb{Q}} \sim A_{f_{j}}$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\operatorname{End}(A)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ iff for all quadratic Dirichlet character $\chi, f_{i} \otimes \chi \neq f_{j}^{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and for all $i$ and $j$.

Lemma [Pyle]
Let be $f \in \operatorname{New}_{M}$ without CM and s.t. $\operatorname{dim} A_{f}>1$. If exists a prime $p$ s.t. $a_{p}(f)^{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $A_{f}$ does not have an elliptic quotient over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Lemma [BGGP]
Let be $f \in \operatorname{New}_{M}$ and $\chi_{D}$ the quadratic character associated to $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. Exists an isogeny between $A_{f}$ and $A_{f \otimes \chi_{D}}$ defined over $K$.

## $N$ non square-free. Sieves

- By a morphism $X_{0}^{*}(N=M L) \rightarrow X_{0}^{*}(M)$ with $M$ square-free (and $L$ with certain properties) we are reduced to certain values of $N$.
- When the pair $(N, E)$ is studied over $\mathbb{Q}$ (the general case if $g_{N}^{*} \geq 6$ ), we apply the inequality lemma counting over finite fields and similar sieves than when $N$ was square-free,
execpt than the pairs $(N, E)$ are now $f_{E} \in \mathrm{New}_{M}$ and

$$
n_{f_{E}}=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle f_{E}\left(q^{d}\right): d \mid N / M\right\rangle^{B(N)} \geq 1
$$

We determined list of $N$ with $2 \leq g_{N}^{*} \leq 5$.

- For $g_{N}^{*} \leq 5$, only appears possible pairs $(N, E)$ with $E / \mathbb{Q}$ but with involution defined over a quadratic field extension $K^{\prime}$ (associated to a Dirichlet character $\chi$ ).
- When $8 \mid N$ or $9 \| N$ there are involutions coming from the normalizer of $\Gamma_{0}^{*}(N)$.

| $g_{N}^{*}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | $88,104,112,116,135,153,168,180,184,198,204,276,284,380$ |
| 3 | $136,144,152,162,164,171,189,196,207,234,236,240,245,248,252$, <br> $270,294,312,315,348,420,476$. |
| 4 | $148,160,172,176,200,224,225,228,242,260,264,275,280,300,306,308$, <br> $342,350$. |
| 5 | $192,208,212,216,316,364,376,378,396,414,440,444,495,572,630$. |

## Example $g_{N}^{*} \leq 5: X_{0}^{*}(160)$, $g_{160}^{*}=4$

$X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is not-hyperelliptic. The decomposition of $J_{0}^{*}(160)$ is $J_{0}^{*}(160) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} A_{f_{1}}^{2} \prod_{i=3}^{4} A_{f_{i}}$ with $A_{f_{1}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 20 a, A_{f_{3}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 80 b, A_{f_{4}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 160 a$, $f_{1} \in \operatorname{New}_{20}^{w_{5}}, f_{3} \in \mathrm{New}_{80}^{w_{5}}, f_{4} \in \mathrm{New}_{160}^{*}$ and $f_{3}=f_{1} \otimes \chi_{-1}$.

Because
$\left(1-2 B_{2}\right)\left(1+2 B_{2}\right)^{2}=1+2 B_{2}-4 B_{2}^{2}-8 B_{2}^{3},\left(1-2 B_{2}\right)^{3}=1-6 B_{2}+12 B_{2}^{2}-8 B_{2}^{3}$,
A basis of $\Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(160) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}: \omega_{i}=h_{i}(q) d q / q, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ with

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
h_{1}(q)= & f_{1}(q)+2 f_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)-4 f_{1}\left(q^{4}\right)-8 f_{1}\left(q^{8}\right), \\
h_{2}(q)= & f_{1}(q)-6 f_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+12 f_{1}\left(q^{4}\right)-8 f_{1}\left(q^{8}\right), \\
h_{3}(q)= & f_{3}-2 f_{3}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
h_{4}(q)= & f_{4}(q) .
\end{array}
$$

Recall $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=1, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{3}=5$.
Computing $Q_{i}(x, y, z, t) \in \mathcal{L}_{i}$ with $Q_{i}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}\right)=0, i=2,3$ :

## Example $g_{N}^{*} \leq 5: X_{0}^{*}(160)$, $g_{160}^{*}=4$

$X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is not-hyperelliptic. The decomposition of $J_{0}^{*}(160)$ is $J_{0}^{*}(160) \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} A_{f_{1}}^{2} \prod_{i=3}^{4} A_{f_{i}}$ with $A_{f_{1}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 20 a, A_{f_{3}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 80 b, A_{f_{4}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 160 a$, $f_{1} \in \operatorname{New}_{20}^{w_{5}}, f_{3} \in \mathrm{New}_{80}^{w_{5}}, f_{4} \in \mathrm{New}_{160}^{*}$ and $f_{3}=f_{1} \otimes \chi_{-1}$.

Because
$\left(1-2 B_{2}\right)\left(1+2 B_{2}\right)^{2}=1+2 B_{2}-4 B_{2}^{2}-8 B_{2}^{3},\left(1-2 B_{2}\right)^{3}=1-6 B_{2}+12 B_{2}^{2}-8 B_{2}^{3}$,
A basis of $\Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(160) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}: \omega_{i}=h_{i}(q) d q / q, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ with

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
h_{1}(q)= & f_{1}(q)+2 f_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)-4 f_{1}\left(q^{4}\right)-8 f_{1}\left(q^{8}\right), \\
h_{2}(q)= & f_{1}(q)-6 f_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+12 f_{1}\left(q^{4}\right)-8 f_{1}\left(q^{8}\right), \\
h_{3}(q)= & f_{3}-2 f_{3}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
h_{4}(q)= & f_{4}(q) .
\end{array}
$$

Recall $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=1, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{3}=5$.
Computing $Q_{i}(x, y, z, t) \in \mathcal{L}_{i}$ with $Q_{i}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}\right)=0, i=2,3$ :

$$
Q_{2}=-48 t^{2}+8 t x+3 x^{2}-8 t y+6 x y-y^{2}+36 x z+12 y z-8 z^{2} .
$$

$Q_{3}=20 t^{2} x-12 t x^{2}-3 x^{3}-20 t^{2} y-4 t y^{2}+3 x y^{2}-9 x^{2} z+6 x y z+3 y^{2} z+16 t z^{2}+6 x z^{2}-6 y z^{2}$

## $X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is not bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$

The pairs $(160, E 80 b)$ and $(160, E 160 a)$ need to study if they are bielliptic or not over $\mathbb{Q}$.
They are not because $Q_{2}$ is not even in $z$, also not in $t$.
The pair $(160, E 20 a)$ should be bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$ iff exist $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & b_{1} \\ a_{2} & b_{2}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that the polynomials

$$
R_{2}:=Q_{2}\left(a_{1} x+a_{2} y, b_{1} x+b_{2} y, z, t\right), \quad R_{3}:=Q_{3}\left(a_{1} x+a_{2} y, b_{1} x+b_{2} y, z, t\right)
$$

satisfy

$$
R_{2} \text { is even with } x \text { and } R_{3}(x, y, z, t)-R_{3}(-x, y, z, t)=\lambda x R_{2} \text {, for } \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \text {. }
$$

We can consider the situations with $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{1}=1$, to conclude
Not exist matrix $\mathcal{A}$ making $R_{2}$ even with respect $x$.
$X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is not bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$.

## $X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is bielliptic over

The pair $(160, E)$ may only become bielliptic over $K^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, with $E \stackrel{K^{\prime}}{\sim} E 20 a$.
This will happen iff exist $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} \\ a_{2} & b_{2} & c_{2} \\ a_{3} & b_{3} & c_{3}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(K)$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2} & :=Q_{2}\left(a_{1} x+a_{2} y+a_{3} z, b_{1} x+b_{2} y+b_{3} z, c_{1} x+c_{2} y+c_{3} z, t\right) \\
R_{3} & :=Q_{3}\left(a_{1} x+a_{2} y+a_{3} z, b_{1} x+b_{2} y+b_{3} z, c_{1} x+c_{2} y+c_{3} z, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_{2}$ is even in $x$ and $R_{2} \mid\left(R_{3}(x, y, z, t)-R_{3}(-x, y, z, t)\right)$.
Take $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}i & i & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 i & 1\end{array}\right)$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
R_{2}= & 6 t^{2}+(2-6 i) x^{2}-4 t y+3 y^{2}-4 i t z+6 i y z-(1-6 i) z^{2} \\
R_{3}= & 4 t x^{2}+10 t^{2} y+(6-6 i) x^{2} y-6 t y^{2}+3 y^{3}+10 i t^{2} z+(6+6 i) x^{2} z \\
& -12 i t y z+9 i y^{2} z+10 t z^{2}-(3-6 i) y z^{2}-(6-3 i) z^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Now $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are even in $x$, therefore $X_{0}^{*}(160)$ is bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$.

## Remaining pairs $(N, E)$, with $g_{N}^{*}>5$

| $g_{N}^{*}$ | $(N, E)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| 6 | $(244,61 a),(272,34 a),(332,83 a),(332,166 a),(336,42 a),(336,112 a)$, |
|  | $(564,94 a),(620,62 a),(780,65 a),(780,130 c)$ |
| 7 | $(320,32 a),(324,27 a),(360,20 a),(360,30 a),(450,15 a),(450,75 b)$, |
|  | $(456,57 a),(456,76 a),(456,152 a),(492,123 b),(504,21 a),(504,36 a)$, <br> $(504,42 a),(550,55 a),(550,275 a),(550,550 a),(558,558 a),(636,53 a)$, <br>  <br>  <br> $(660,110 b),(924,77 a),(924,462 a)$ |
| 8 | $(408,102 a),(468,26 b),(468,234 b),(468,234 c),(480,20 a),(480,24 a)$, |
|  | $(480,80 b),(480,160 a),(540,45 a),(540,54 b),(990,66 a),(990,99 a)$, |
|  | $(1020,102 a)$ |
| 9 | $(560,56 a),(560,70 a),(560,280 a),(1140,190 b),(1140,285 b)$ |
| 10 | $(840,20 a),(840,140 b),(840,210 d),(1050,175 b)$ |
| 11 | $(672,112 c),(672,224 a)$ |
| 13 | $(1260,21 a),(1260,70 a),(1260,90 b),(1260,210 d)$ |

## Example $g_{N}^{*}>5: X_{0}^{*}(558), g_{558}^{*}=7$

$X_{0}^{*}(558)$ is not-hyperelliptic, not trigonal and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{2}=10$. The decomposition of $J_{0}^{*}(558) / \mathbb{Q}$ :
$\prod_{i=1}^{3} A_{f_{i}} \times A_{f_{5}}, A_{f_{1}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} 186 c, A_{f_{2}} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} E 558 a, f_{1} \in \operatorname{New}_{186}^{B(62)}, f_{2} \in \mathrm{New}_{558}^{*}$, $f_{3} \in \mathrm{New}_{93}^{*}, \operatorname{dim} A_{f_{3}}=2, f_{5} \in \mathrm{New}_{93}^{B(31)}, \operatorname{dim} A_{f_{5}}=3$, $g_{1}=f_{1}, g_{2}=f_{2},\left\{g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}$ y $\left\{g_{5}, g_{6}, g_{7}\right\}$ basis of $\left\langle f_{3}^{\sigma}: \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right\rangle \cap \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ and $\left\langle f_{5}^{\sigma}: \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right\rangle \cap \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ resp.

Take $\left(1+2 B_{2}\right)\left(1 \pm 3 B_{3}\right)=1+2 B_{2} \pm B_{3} \pm 6 B_{6}$, a basis of $\Omega_{X_{0}^{*}(558) / \mathbb{Q}}^{1}: \omega_{i}=h_{i}(q) d q / q, 1 \leq i \leq 7$ with

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
h_{1}(q)= & f_{1}(q)-3 f_{1}\left(q^{3}\right), \\
h_{2}(q)= & f_{2}(q), \\
h_{3}(q)= & g_{3}(q)+2 g_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)+3 g_{3}\left(q^{3}\right)+6 g_{3}\left(q^{6}\right), \\
h_{4}(q)= & g_{4}(q)+2 g_{4}\left(q^{2}\right)+3 g_{4}\left(q^{3}\right)+6 g_{4}\left(q^{6}\right), \\
h_{5}(q)= & g_{5}(q)+2 g_{5}\left(q^{2}\right)-3 g_{5}\left(q^{3}\right)-6 g_{5}\left(q^{6}\right), \\
h_{6}(q)= & g_{6}(q)+2 g_{6}\left(q^{2}\right)-3 g_{6}\left(q^{3}\right)-6 g_{6}\left(q^{6}\right), \\
h_{7}(q)= & g_{7}(q)+2 g_{7}\left(q^{2}\right)-3 g_{7}\left(q^{3}\right)-6 g_{7}\left(q^{6}\right) . .
\end{array}
$$

## Example $g_{N}^{*}>5: X_{0}^{*}(558), g_{558}^{*}=7$

Let be $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_{h}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right]$ of degree 2 (28 coefficients):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{3} x_{3}^{2}+a_{4} x_{4}^{2}+a_{5} x_{5}^{2}+a_{6} x_{6}^{2}+a_{7} x_{7}^{2}+a_{8} x_{1} x_{2}+a_{9} x_{1} x_{3}+ \\
& a_{10} x_{1} x_{4}+a_{11} x_{1} x_{5}+a_{12} x_{1} x_{6}+a_{13} x_{1} x_{7}+a_{14} x_{2} x_{3}+a_{15} x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
& a_{16} x_{2} x_{5}+a_{17} x_{2} x_{6}+a_{18} x_{2} x_{7}+a_{19} x_{3} x_{4}+a_{20} x_{3} x_{5}+a_{21} x_{3} x_{6}+ \\
& a_{22} x_{3} x_{7}+a_{23} x_{4} x_{5}+a_{24} x_{4} x_{6}+a_{25} x_{4} x_{7}+a_{26} x_{5} x_{6}+a_{27} x_{5} x_{7}+a_{28} x_{6} x_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{7}\right)=0$, we obtain $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{28}$ as linear combination of (recall $\mathcal{L}_{2}=10$ ) $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}, a_{7}, a_{9}, a_{10}, a_{11}$.

More concretely one obtains, $a_{8}=a_{14}=a_{15}=a_{16}=a_{17}=a_{18}=0$.
Therefore, $Q\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)$ is even in the variable $x_{2} \forall Q \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$.
$X_{0}^{*}(558)$ is bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the class of $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny of the bielliptic quotient is $E 558 a$.

## Results, $N$ non square-free

## Theorem[B-González][3]

Let be $N>1$ a non-square free integer with $g_{N}^{*} \geq 2$. Then,

- The curve $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q} \Leftrightarrow N$ appears in the next table

| $g_{N}^{*}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2 | $88,112,116,121,153,180,184,198,204,276,284,380$ |
| 3 | $128,144,152,164,189,196,207,234,236,240,245,248,252$, |
|  | $294,312,315,348,420,476$ |
| 4 | $148,172,200,224,225,228,242,260,264,275,280,300,306,342$ |
| 5 | $364,444,495$ |
| 7 | 558 |

The curve $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, but not over $\mathbb{Q} \Leftrightarrow N=160$.

- $\Gamma_{2}\left(X_{0}^{*}(N), \mathbb{Q}\right)=\infty \Leftrightarrow N$ appears in the following list
$88,104,112,116,117,121,125,128,135,136,147,148,152,153,164$
$168,171,172,176,180,184,198,204,207,224,225,228,234,236,240$
$248,252,260,264,276,279,280,284,312,315,342,348,364,380,420$
444, 476, 495, 558.


## Results for $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)$, with $N$ square-free

Theorem [B.-González-Kamel]
Let $N>1$ be square-free integer. Suppose that the genus of $X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$ is $\geq 2$ for a non-trivial subgroupl $W_{N}$ of $B(N)$ not equal to $\left\langle w_{N}\right\rangle$. The quotient modular curve $X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$ is bielliptic if and only if, exists $v \in B(N) \backslash W_{N}$ satisfying that the genus of $X_{0}(N) /\left\langle W_{N}, v\right\rangle$ is 1 , except for the following quotient bielliptic modular curves of genus 4: $X_{0}(154) /\left\langle w_{2}, w_{77}\right\rangle, X_{0}(285) /\left\langle w_{3}, w_{95}\right\rangle$ and $X_{0}(286) /\left\langle w_{2}, w_{143}\right\rangle$.

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(J_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)\right)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(J_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)\right)
$$

$J_{0}(N)^{W_{N}} \sim A_{i}^{n_{i}} \times \ldots$ with $n_{i} \geq 2$.

## Results, $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N), N$ non square-free

## Theorem [B-Kamel-Schweizer]

Let $N>1$ be a no square-free integer. Assume that the genus of $X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$ is $\geq 2$ for a non-trivial subgroup $W_{N}$ of $B(N)$ not equal to $\left\langle w_{N}\right\rangle$. The quotient curve $X_{0}(N) / W_{N}$, denoted as a pair $\left(N, W_{N}\right)$ is bielliptic if and only if appears in the table bellow:
(1) It is a pair $\left(N, W_{N}\right)$ with $\left|W_{N}\right|=2$ and $N$ in the set

$$
\{40,48,52,63,68,72,75,76,80,96,98,99,100,108,124,188\}
$$

or is a pair $\left(N, W_{N}\right)$ with $\left|W_{N}\right|=4$ and $N$ in the set

$$
\{84,90,120,126,132,140,150,156,220 .\}
$$

All such quotient modular curves are bielliptic over $\mathbb{Q}$ with a elliptic quotient given by $X_{0}^{*}(N)$, which has genus 1 ,
(2) or is one of the following 29 pairs, listed by its genus:

| Genus | $\left(N, W_{N}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\left(44,\left\langle w_{4}\right\rangle\right),\left(60,\left\langle w_{20}\right\rangle\right),\left(60,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{3}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 3 | $\left(56,\left\langle w_{8}\right\rangle\right),\left(60,\left\langle w_{4}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 4 | $\left(60,\left\langle w_{3}\right\rangle\right),\left(60,\left\langle w_{5}\right\rangle\right),\left(112,\left\langle w_{7}\right\rangle\right),\left(168,\left\langle w_{3}, w_{56}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 5 | $\left(84,\left\langle w_{4}\right\rangle\right),\left(88,\left\langle w_{11}\right\rangle\right),\left(90,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right)$ |
|  | $\left(117,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right),\left(120,\left\langle w_{15}\right\rangle\right),\left(126,\left\langle w_{63}\right\rangle\right),\left(168,\left\langle w_{8}, w_{7}\right\rangle\right)$, |
|  | $\left(168,\left\langle w_{7}, w_{24}\right\rangle\right),\left(180,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{9}\right\rangle\right),\left(184,\left\langle w_{23}\right\rangle\right),\left(252,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{63}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 6 | $\left(104,\left\langle w_{8}\right\rangle\right),\left(168,\left\langle w_{8}, w_{3}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 7 | $\left(120,\left\langle w_{24}\right\rangle\right),\left(136,\left\langle w_{8}\right\rangle\right),\left(252,\left\langle w_{9}, w_{7}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 9 | $\left(126,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right),\left(171,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right),\left(252,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{9}\right\rangle\right)$ |
| 10 | $\left(176,\left\langle w_{16}\right\rangle\right)$ |

## Steps for the determination if $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)$ is bielliptic or not

Some "steps":

- Consider the morphism $X_{0}^{W_{N}} \rightarrow X_{0}^{*}(N)$, to reduce to the set $N$ where $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ is bielliptic, hyperelliptic or has genus $\leq 1$.
- Break the set $N$ by the number of prime numbers that divides $N$ (only 2,3 or 4 primes).
- Ad-hoc modifications of the programme for $X_{0}^{*}(N)$ to obtain Jacobian decomposition, in order to apply for $X_{0}^{W_{N}}(N)$.
- Sieves using the number of fixed points by no Atkin-Lehner involutions, when 4 or 9 divides $N$.


## Further sieves

## Castellnuovo, Unramified Criterium

Let be $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a degree $d$ morphism. If $X$ has a bielliptic involution $v$, them

$$
g(X) \leq d g(Y)+d+1
$$

or the morphism $\phi$ factorizes through $X / v$.
In particular: An hyperelliptic curve o genus $g \geq 4$ is not bielliptic. A trigonal curve of genus $>4$ is not bielliptic. A curve of genus $g \geq 6$ has at most a bielliptic involution.
Let be $w$ an involution of $X$ with more than 8 fixed points. Then, or $w$ is a bielliptic involution or $X$ is not bielliptic.
Let be $X$ a genus $g$ curve with a bielliptic involution $v$ and let be $G$ a subgroup of Aut $(X)$ such that $Y=X / G$ has genus $h \geq 2$.
(a) If the map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is ramified, i.e. if $g-1>|G|(h-1)$, and $g \geq 6$, then $Y$ is hyperelliptic and $v$ induces the hyperelliptic involution in $Y$.
(b) (Unramified covering criteria) If $Y$ is not hyperelliptic, then should be bielliptic and the map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ should be unramified, i.e.

## Fixed number of points by involutions

## Searching bielliptic involutions

Let be $G$ a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{0}(N)\right)$ such that any non-trivial element is an involution. Then the fixed points of such involutions are disjoint and the genus of $X_{0}(N) / G$ follows by

$$
|G|\left(2 g\left(X_{0}(N) / G\right)-2\right)+\sum_{w \in G} \#\left(w, X_{0}(N)\right)=2 g\left(X_{0}(N)\right)-2 .
$$

Take $N=2^{\alpha} M$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ and $M$ odd.
(a) Then $S_{2}$ is an involution of $X_{0}(N)$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and commutes with all the AL involutions $w_{r}$ with $r$ odd. Also, $V_{2}=S_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}} S_{2}$ is an involution of $X_{0}(N)$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and commutes with all $w_{r}$ with $r \| M$.
(b) If $\alpha \geq 3$, then $V_{2}$ also commutes with $w_{2^{\alpha}}$. Therefore, $V_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}}$ is an involution, and $S_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}}$ has order 4. In fact, $\left\langle S_{2}, w_{2^{\alpha}}\right\rangle \cong D_{4}$.
(c) If $\alpha=2$, then $\left\langle S_{2}, w_{4}\right\rangle$ is non-abelian of order 6 with $V_{2}=S_{2} w_{4} S_{2}=w_{4} S_{2} w_{4}$ as the third involution and $S_{2} w_{4}$ and $w_{4} S_{2}$ are of order 3.

Involutions
If $N=2^{\alpha} M$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ and $M$ odd, then

$$
X_{0}(N) / w_{2^{\alpha}} S_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}}=X_{0}(N / 2)
$$

Let $u$ and $v$ be two involutions such they commute in the curve $X$. Then $u v$ is an involution and

$$
\#(u v, X)=2 \#(u, X / v)-\#(u, X)
$$

Let be $N=2^{\alpha} M$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ and $M$ odd. And let be $r \| M$.
(a) $\#\left(V_{2}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{2^{\alpha}}, X_{0}(N)\right)$ and

$$
\#\left(V_{2} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{2^{\alpha}} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)
$$

(b) $\#\left(S_{2}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{2^{\alpha}} S_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\left(2 g\left(X_{0}(N)\right)-2\right)-2\left(2 g\left(X_{0}(N / 2)\right)-2\right)$.
(c) $\#\left(S_{2} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{2^{\alpha}} S_{2} w_{2 \alpha} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=$ $2 \#\left(w_{r}, X_{0}(N / 2)\right)-\#\left(w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)$.
(d) If $\alpha \geq 3$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\#\left(V_{2} w_{2^{\alpha}}, X_{0}(N)\right)=2 \#\left(S_{2}, X_{0}(N / 2)\right)-\#\left(S_{2}, X_{0}(N)\right) \text { and } \\
\#\left(V_{2} w_{2 \alpha} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=2 \#\left(S_{2} w_{r}, X_{0}(N / 2)\right)-\#\left(S_{2} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Take $9 \| N$ and $S_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 / 3 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
(a) $S_{3}$ normalizes $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ and induces an automorphism in $X_{0}(N)$ of order 3 defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Its Galois conjugate is $S_{3}^{2}$. Moreover, $S_{3}$ commute with the Atkin-Lehner involutions $w_{r}$ with $r \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, and for the $r \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ we have that $w_{r} S_{3}=S_{3}^{2} w_{r}$ and $w_{9} S_{3}$ has order 3 .
(b) $\quad V_{3}=S_{3} w_{9} S_{3}^{2}$ is an involution in $X_{0}(N)$. With respect AL-involutions we have
$w_{r} V_{3}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}V_{3} w_{r} \\ V_{3} w_{9} w_{r}\end{array} \quad\right.$ if $r \equiv \begin{array}{c}1 \bmod 3 \text { or } r=9 \text { and } \\ i f r \equiv 2 \bmod 3\end{array}$
Moreover, if $r \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ then $\left\langle V_{3}, w_{r}\right\rangle \cong D_{4}$ and $V_{3} w_{r}$ have order 4 with $\left(V_{3} w_{r}\right)^{2}=w_{9}$.
(c) $\quad V_{3}$ as involution in $X_{0}(N)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Its $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) / \mathbb{Q})$-conjugate is $V_{3} w_{9}$. In particular, $V_{3}$ and $V_{3} w_{9}$ have the same number of fixed points in $X_{0}(N)$.
(d) More in general, we have

$$
\#\left(V_{3} w_{9}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(V_{3}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{9}, X_{0}(N)\right)
$$

and for $r \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ we also have

$$
\#\left(V_{3} w_{9} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(V_{3} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)=\#\left(w_{9} w_{r}, X_{0}(N)\right)
$$

(e) $\quad V_{3}$ as involution in $X_{0}(N) / W$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $w_{9} \in W$.

## Involutions

Suppose $4 \| N$ and write $N=4 M$. Let be $W^{\prime}$ a subgroup of $B(N)$ generated by $w_{4}, w_{m_{1}}, \ldots, w_{m_{s}}$ with $m_{i} \| M$. Then,

$$
X_{0}(N) / W^{\prime} \cong X_{0}(N) /\left\langle S_{2} w_{4} S_{2}, w_{m_{1}}, \ldots, w_{m_{s}}\right\rangle=X_{0}(N) /\left\langle w_{4} S_{2} w_{4}, w_{m_{1}}, \ldots, w_{m_{s}}\right\rangle
$$

$$
=X_{0}(2 M) /\left\langle w_{m_{1}}, \ldots, w_{m_{s}}\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore, if $A \in G L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a bielliptic involution of $X_{0}(2 M) /\left\langle w_{m_{1}}, \ldots, w_{m_{s}}\right\rangle$, then $S_{2} A S_{2}$ normalizes in $\left\langle\Gamma_{0}(N), W^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and induces a bielliptic involution in $X_{0}(N) / W^{\prime}$.
Suppose $9 \| N$. And $W^{\prime}$ a subgroup of $B(N)$ generated by $w_{n_{1}}, \ldots, w_{n_{t}}\left(n_{i} \| N\right)$ and denote $W^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{w_{n_{i}} w_{9}^{e\left(n_{i}\right)}\right\}_{i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}}\right\rangle$ where $e(m)=0$ if $m \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ or if $9 \| m$ and $m / 9 \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, and $e(m)=1$ otherwise. Then $V_{3}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
X_{0}(N) / W^{\prime} \cong X_{0}(N) / W^{\prime \prime}
$$

## Quotient modular curves of genus $\geq 6$

| $g_{W_{N}}$ | $\left(N, W_{N}\right)$ | ( $w, E$ ) | $\mathbb{Q}-$ Jacobiandecomp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline\left(104,\left\langle w_{8}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(156,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{13}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(168,\left\langle w_{8}, w_{3}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(220,\left\langle w_{5}, w_{44}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(220,\left\langle w_{11}, w_{20}\right\rangle\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(V_{2} w_{104}, E 26 a\right) \\ \left(w_{3}, E 26 b=X_{0}^{*}(156)\right) \\ \left(V_{2} w_{168}, E 14 a\right) \\ \left(w_{4}, E 110 b=X_{0}^{*}(220)\right) \\ \left(w_{4}, E 110 b\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (E 26 a)^{2} \times E 26 b \times E 52 a \times A_{f, 104} \\ (E 26 b)^{2} \times A_{f, 39}^{2} \\ (E 14 a)^{2} \times E 42 a \times E 56 b \times E 84 b \times E 168 b \\ E 11 a \times E 20 a \times A_{f} \times 110 b \times 110 c \\ E 44 a \times E 55 a \times E 110 b \times A_{f} \times E 220 a \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \left(120,\left\langle w_{24}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(124,\left\langle w_{4}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(136,\left\langle w_{8}\right\rangle\right) \\ \left(252,\left\langle w_{9}, w_{7}\right\rangle\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(V_{2} w_{40}, E 15 a\right) \\ \left(w_{31}, E 62 a=X_{0}^{*}(124)\right. \\ \left(V_{2} w_{136}, E 17 a\right) \\ \left(V_{3} w_{7}, E 36 a\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (E 15 a)^{2} \times(E 20 a)^{2} \times E 30 a \times E 40 a \times E 120 a \\ \left(A_{f_{1}, 31}\right)^{2} \times E 62 a \times A_{f_{3}, 62} \\ (E 17 a)^{2} \times E 34 a \times A_{f_{3}, 64} \times A_{f_{4}, 136} \\ (E 21 a)^{3} \times E 36 a \times(E 42 a)^{2} \times E 84 b \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\left(220,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{5}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\left(w_{11}, E 110 b=X_{0}^{*}(220)\right)$ | $(E 11 a)^{2} \times A_{f}^{2} \times E 110 b \times E 110 c$ |
| 9 | $\left(126,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right)$ $\left(171,\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right)$ $\left(252,\left\langle w_{9}, w_{4}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(V_{3} w_{7}, E 14 a\right) \\ \left(V_{3} w_{171}, E 19 a\right) \\ \left(V_{3} w_{7}, E 14 a\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (E 14 a)^{2} \times(E 21 a)^{2} \times E 42 a \times\left(A_{f, 63}\right)^{2} \\ (E 19 a)^{2} \times E 57 a \times E 57 b \times E 57 c \times A_{f, 171}, \operatorname{dim}\left(A_{f}\right)=4 \\ (E 14 a)^{2} \times(E 21 a)^{2} \times E 42 a \times\left(A_{f, 63}\right)^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\left(176,\left\langle w_{16}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\left(V_{3} w_{176}, E 11 a\right)$ | $(E 11 a)^{3} \times E 44 a \times E 88 a \times A_{f_{1}, 88} \times E 176 a \times A_{f_{2}, 176}$ |
| 11 | $\left(188,\left\langle w_{4}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\left(w_{47}, X_{0}^{*}(188)=E 94 a\right)$ | $A_{f_{1}}^{2} \times E 94 a \times A_{f_{3}}, \operatorname{dim}\left(A_{f_{1}}\right)=4$ |

Table, $g_{W_{N}} \geq 6$ Bielliptic

## Quotient modular curves not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$

No morphism of degree two to an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$

| $\left(252,\left\langle w_{4}, w_{63}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\left(V_{3}, E 14 a\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left(V_{3} w_{7}, E 14 a\right)$ |
| $\left(126,\left\langle w_{65}\right\rangle\right)$ | $\left(V_{3}, E 14 a\right)$ |
|  | $\left(V_{3} w_{9}, E 14 a\right)$ |

Bielliptic quotient curves, with elliptic quotient not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$
$\left(63\left\langle w_{9}\right\rangle\right)$, genus 3. $J_{0}^{W_{N}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} X_{0}^{*}(63) \times A_{f, 63}$, with $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{f, 63}\right)=2$ and

$$
A_{f, 63} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})} E^{2}
$$

with

$$
E: Y^{2}=-(26+6 \sqrt{-3}) X^{3}-27 X^{2}+6 \sqrt{-3} X+1
$$

We have $\left(w_{7}, X_{0}^{*}(63)=E 21 a\right)$ is a bielliptic pair over $\mathbb{Q}$.
BUT, we have two more bielliptic involutions not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with bielliptic quotient $E$ (one conjugation of the other).
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