

**RAD HRVATSKE AKADEMIJE ZNANOSTI I UMJETNOSTI
MATEMATIČKE ZNANOSTI**

A. J. Macleod

Small-degree parametric solutions for degree 6 and 7 ideal multigrades

Manuscript accepted for publication

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. It has not been copy-edited, proofread, or finalized by Rad HAZU Production staff.

SMALL-DEGREE PARAMETRIC SOLUTIONS FOR DEGREE 6 AND 7 IDEAL MULTIGRADES

ALLAN J. MACLEOD

ABSTRACT. We derive parametric solutions for 7 and 8 term ideal multigrades. These are of significantly smaller degree than previous solutions, such as those of Chernick.

1. INTRODUCTION

A multigrade of degree N is an integer solution to

$$(1.1) \quad X_1^i + X_2^i + \dots + X_M^i = Y_1^i + Y_2^i + \dots + Y_M^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where the sets $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M\} \neq \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M\}$. If they are just permutations, we call this a trivial solution. The book by Gloden [3] is the standard reference, though out-of-print for decades.

We write this as

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M \stackrel{N}{=} Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M.$$

An old theorem of Bastien states that a solution only exists when $M > N$. An "ideal" solution satisfies $M = N + 1$, and we will concentrate on this type of solution.

Numerical ideal solutions are known for degrees $N = 1-9$ and degree $N = 11$, see the web-site of Chen Shuwen [7]. Parametric solutions are only known

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D25, 11P05, 11Y50.

Key words and phrases. Multigrade, parametric solution, elliptic curve.

for degrees $N = 1 - 7$, see Chernick [1]. In fact, for degree $N = 8$, only 2 numerical solutions are known! For degree $N = 9$, there are an infinite number of solutions parameterized by points on an elliptic curve, see Smyth [8].

The parametric solutions quoted by Chernick are small for degrees 1 – 5, for example the following is a degree 5 solution

$$(1.2) \quad A_1, A_2, A_3, -A_1, -A_2, -A_3 \stackrel{5}{=} B_1, B_2, B_3, -B_1, -B_2, -B_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -5t^2 + 4t - 3 & A_2 &= -3t^2 + 6t + 5 & A_3 &= -t^2 - 10t - 1, \\ B_1 &= -5t^2 + 6t + 3 & B_2 &= -3t^2 - 4t - 5 & B_3 &= -t^2 + 10t - 1, \end{aligned}$$

with $t \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For degree 6 and 7, the parametric solutions have much larger degree. In fact, he does not give these latter forms explicitly. These are the only parametric solutions quoted in Chen Shuwen's web-site [7]. Recently, Ajai Choudhry [2] presented a very nice method which produces simpler solutions.

The purpose of this note is to develop much simpler degree 6 – 7 forms, by different methods, in the hope that they might suggest forms for degree 8 and higher.

2. DEGREE 6 PARAMETRIC FORMS

We follow the basic method used by Chernick. Consider the relation

$$(2.1) \quad U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, -V_1, -V_2, -V_3, -V_4 \stackrel{6}{=} -U_1, -U_2, -U_3, -U_4, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4,$$

which automatically satisfies the degree 2, 4, 6 relations. For odd degree, we have

$$(2.2) \quad U_1^n + U_2^n + U_3^n + U_4^n = V_1^n + V_2^n + V_3^n + V_4^n \quad n = 1, 3, 5.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= -X_1 + X_2 + X_3 & U_2 &= X_1 - X_2 + X_3, \\ U_3 &= X_1 + X_2 - X_3 & U_4 &= -X_1 - X_2 - X_3, \\ V_1 &= -Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 & V_2 &= Y_1 - Y_2 + Y_3, \\ V_3 &= Y_1 + Y_2 - Y_3 & V_4 &= -Y_1 - Y_2 - Y_3, \end{aligned}$$

Then the $n = 1$ identity of (2.2) is satisfied, and we have the following from the $n = 3$ and $n = 5$

$$(2.3) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 = Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 \quad X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 = Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Y_3^2,$$

Chernick sets $U_1 = 0$ to give an ideal multigrade of degree 6, which corresponds to the constraint $X_1 = X_2 + X_3$. He also defines the variable $t = X_2/Y_1$, giving (2.3) as the two equations

$$(2.4) \quad (2t^2 - 1)Y_1^2 + 2X_3^2 + 2X_3Y_1t - Y_2^2 - Y_3^2 = 0,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad X_3^2t + X_3Y_1t^2 - Y_2Y_3 = 0.$$

The latter equation gives $2X_3^2 + 2X_3Y_1t = 2Y_2Y_3/t$ so (2.4) is

$$Y_1^2(2t^2 - 1) - Y_2^2 + 2Y_2Y_3/t - Y_3^2 = 0,$$

and this quadric can be parameterized in the usual way. One possibility is

$$(2.6) \quad Y_1 = k^2(2t^2 - 1) + 2kt + 1$$

$$(2.7) \quad Y_2 = k^2(t - 1)(2t^2 - 1) + 2k(2t^2 - 1) + t + 1$$

$$(2.8) \quad Y_3 = t(1 - k^2(2t^2 - 1)),$$

where k is a rational parameter.

Substituting these in (2.5) gives a quadratic equation for X_3 which we want to have rational solutions. Thus, the discriminant must be a rational square, so

$$(2.9) \quad \square = (t - 2)^2(2t^2 - 1)^2k^4 + 4(2t^2 - 1)(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2)k^3 + 2(4t^4 - 9t^2 + 4)k^2 + 4(t^3 + 4t^2 - 2)k + (t + 2)^2.$$

It is essentially at this point where we diverge from Chernick's method. He, basically, completes the square of the quartic with a method known since the time of Fermat. Straightforward algebra shows that the right hand side of (2.9) can be written $f(k, t)^2 + g(k, t)$ where

$$f(k, t) = (t - 2)(2t^2 - 1)k^2 + \frac{2(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2)}{t - 2}k + \frac{2t^6 - 25t^4 + 28t^3 - 16t + 8}{(t - 2)^3(2t^2 - 1)},$$

and

$$g(k, t) = \frac{16k(t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4)(2t - 1)}{(t - 2)^4(2t^2 - 1)} - \frac{16(t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4)(2t^5 - 10t^4 + 15t^3 - 7t^2 - 4t + 3)}{(t - 2)^6(2t^2 - 1)^2}z, .$$

Setting $g(k, t) = 0$ gives a solution to (2.9), which is given by

$$(2.10) \quad k = \frac{(t^3 - 3t^2 + 1)(2t^2 - 4t + 3)}{(t - 2)^2(2t - 1)(2t^2 - 1)}.$$

Putting this value of k into the above formulae results in a degree 6 ideal multigrade with the U_i and V_i terms being polynomials in t of degree 10 and 11.

The quartic (in k) clearly has a rational point $(0, (t+2))$, and so is birationally equivalent to an elliptic curve. Using the method described in Mordell [5] we find this curve (with $|t| \neq 1$) to be

$$(2.11) \quad v^2 = u(u + (t + 1)^2(t^2 + 2t - 2))(u + (t - 1)^2(t^2 - 2t - 2)),$$

with

$$(2.12) \quad k = \frac{v(2 - t) + u(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2) + t^7 - 9t^5 + 12t^3 - 4t}{u(t - 2)^2 + t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4}.$$

There are 3 clear finite points of order 2, and numerical experiments suggest that the torsion subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, but this is, of course, nowhere near a proof. This can be verified using some Magma code very kindly supplied by the referee.

These same numerical experiments also suggested that the rank was at least 2 except when $t = 2$ which has rank 1. To find elements of the group of rational points, we first used the Pari-GP function *ellratpoints* to find smallish height rational points for specified t .

The most obvious result was that $u = 9/4$ always gave such a point for each t , namely $v = \pm 3(2t^2 + 4t - 1)(2t^2 - 4t)/8$. It is very unusual (in the author's experience) for u constant to always give a rational point. The positive v gives a fairly horrid value of k , but the negative value gives

$$k = \frac{2t^2 + 4t + 3}{2(t + 2)(1 - 2t^2)},$$

which leads to the following elements of the right-hand-side of (2.1) with $U_1 = 0$. The left-hand-side elements are just the negatives.

It might be thought that $u = 9/4$ was bound to give a generator. It should be noted that elliptic curves with at least one torsion point of order 2 lead to a doubling formula resulting in a u -value which is a rational square. $9/4$ is a

TABLE 1. Parametric Solution for Degree 6

i	Term
1	$12t^3 + 30t^2 + 6t - 3$
2	$4t^4 + 4t^3 - 18t^2 - 22t - 4$
3	$-4t^4 - 16t^3 - 12t^2 - 8t - 5$
4	$4t^5 + 12t^4 - 4t^3 - 22t^2 - 3t + 4$
5	$4t^5 + 16t^4 + 12t^3 - 16t^2 - 7t$
6	$-4t^5 - 12t^4 + 4t^3 + 28t^2 + 15t + 5$
7	$-4t^5 - 16t^4 - 12t^3 + 10t^2 + 19t + 3$

rational square and it is standard algebra to show that it is double a rational point and thus not a generator.

We find this rational point to be

$$((t^2 - 1)^2, \pm(t^2 - 1)^2(2t^2 - 1)),$$

which gives

$$k = \frac{3}{2t^3 - 4t^2 - 5t + 4} \quad k = \frac{-1}{t},$$

from the positive and negative values respectively.

The first leads to the same parametric form as before, whilst the second leads to a trivial solution $U_i = V_i$.

As we stated before the numerical solutions suggest the rank is at least 2. We found that the following point

$$(t^2 - t^4, 2t(t^2 - 1)(2t^2 - 1)),$$

was often a second generator. Proving this would be difficult. The point gave

$$k = 0 \quad k = \frac{2t - t^2}{t^3 - 3t^2 + 1},$$

with Magma showing that this point and the previous one are linearly independent.

The second formula for k gives the following parametric ideal solution.

TABLE 2. Parametric Solution for Degree 6

i	Term
1	$t^4 - t^3 - 3t^2 + 2t$
2	$t^4 - 4t^3 + t^2 + 2t - 1$
3	$-2t^4 + 5t^3 - 2t^2 - 2t + 1$
4	$t^5 - 3t^4 + 3t^2 - t$
5	$t^5 - 4t^4 + 5t^3 - t$
6	$-t^5 + 3t^4 - t^3 - t^2 - t + 1$
7	$-t^5 + 4t^4 - 4t^3 + 2t^2 + t - 1$

3. DEGREE 7 PARAMETRIC FORMS

We, again, follow Chernick by assuming the relationship

$$\{\pm X_1, \pm X_2, \pm X_3, \pm X_4\} \stackrel{7}{=} \{\pm Y_1, \pm Y_2, \pm Y_3, \pm Y_4\},$$

with $X_i \neq Y_j$.

Thus, we have

$$(3.1) \quad X_1^n + X_2^n + X_3^n + X_4^n = Y_1^n + Y_2^n + Y_3^n + Y_4^n \quad n = 2, 4, 6.$$

In 1913 Crussol gave a method for this equations which the present author discussed in [4]. Included in that paper is the following table for a parametric solution.

TABLE 3. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

i	X_i	Y_i
1	$4j^5 - 4j^4 - 13j^3 + 15j^2 + 4j + 4$	$4j^5 - 8j^4 - 13j^3 - 32j^2 + 4j$
2	$4j^5 + 8j^4 - 13j^3 + 32j^2 + 4j$	$4j^5 + 4j^4 - 13j^3 - 15j^2 + 4j - 4$
3	$4j^4 - 32j^3 - 13j^2 - 8j + 4$	$4j^5 + 4j^4 + 15j^3 - 13j^2 - 4j + 4$
4	$4j^5 - 4j^4 + 15j^3 + 13j^2 - 4j - 4$	$4j^4 + 32j^3 - 13j^2 + 8j + 4$

In the current work, we use the form suggested by Piezas. Piezas uses $3b$ everywhere instead of b , but this only makes one condition slightly simpler.

With this form (3.1) is identically true for $n = 2$. For $n = 4$, we have

$$16xy(x+y)(x-y)(a+b)(a-b)(ab-3c) = 0,$$

TABLE 4. Identities for X_i, Y_i

i	x_i	y_i
1	$xy + ax + by - c$	$xy + bx + ay - c$
2	$xy - ax - by - c$	$xy - bx - ay - c$
3	$xy + ay - bx + c$	$xy + ax - by + c$
4	$xy - ay + bx + c$	$xy - ax + by + c$

and we force a solution by setting $c = ab/3$.

For $n = 6$, we have

$$9x^2(10y^2 - a^2 - b^2) - 9y^2(a^2 + b^2) + 10a^2b^2 = 0,$$

Since we want rational solutions for x, y , we must have

$$(9y^2(a^2 + b^2) - 10a^2b^2)(90y^2 - 10a^2 - 10b^2) = \square.$$

Piezas claims this is an elliptic curve, but such a quartic is only equivalent to an elliptic curve if there is at least one rational solution. We have (dividing by 9)

$$(3.2) \quad \square = 90(a^2 + b^2)y^4 - (9a^4 + 118a^2b^2 + 9b^4)y^2 + 10a^2b^2(a^2 + b^2),$$

and it is not too hard to find $y = -a$ gives a right-hand-side of $a^2(3a+b)^2(3a-b)^2$.

Proceeding along standard lines [5], we eventually find the equivalent elliptic curve to be

$$(3.3) \quad v^2 = u(u + (a + 3b)^2(3a + b)^2)(u + (a - 3b)^2(3a - b)^2),$$

with

$$(3.4) \quad y = \frac{a(v + u(a^2 + 11b^2)) + (a + 3b)^2(a - 3b)^2(3a + b)(3a - b)}{-v - u(19a^2 + 9b^2) + (a + 3b)^2(a - 3b)^2(3a + b)(3a - b)},$$

and, thus, $9a^2 - b^2 \neq 0$ and $a^2 - 9b^2 \neq 0$ must hold.

Numerical experiments suggested that the torsion subgroup was isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and that the rank was at least 1, though often exactly 1 for some a, b . We find the following points of order 4

$$\begin{aligned} &((a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b), \pm 6(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(a^2 + b^2)(3a + b)(3a - b)), \\ &(-(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b), \pm 20ab(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b)), \end{aligned}$$

These numerical experiments also suggest that the point

$$(3.5) \quad (-(3a+b)^2(a-3b)^2, 12ab(3a+b)^2(a-3b)^2),$$

is a point of infinite order and often a generator. This gives

$$(3.6) \quad x = \frac{b(3a^2 + 5b^2)}{5a^2 - 13b^2} \quad y = \frac{b(13a^2 - 5b^2)}{3(5a^2 + 3b^2)},$$

which leads eventually to the parametric forms

TABLE 5. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$2(5a^5 + 26a^4b + 38a^3b^2 - 36a^2b^3 + 21ab^4 + 10b^5)$
X_2	$(b-a)(35a^4 + 48a^3b + 74a^2b^2 - 48ab^3 - 45b^4)$
X_3	$(a+b)(45a^4 - 48a^3b - 74a^2b^2 + 48ab^3 - 35b^4)$
X_4	$-2(10a^5 - 21a^4b - 36a^3b^2 - 38a^2b^3 + 26ab^4 - 5b^5)$
Y_1	$2(10a^5 + 21a^4b - 36a^3b^2 + 38a^2b^3 + 26ab^4 + 5b^5)$
Y_2	$(b-a)(45a^4 + 48a^3b - 74a^2b^2 - 48ab^3 - 35b^4)$
Y_3	$(a+b)(35a^4 - 48a^3b + 74a^2b^2 + 48ab^3 - 45b^4)$
Y_4	$-2(5a^5 - 26a^4b + 38a^3b^2 + 36a^2b^3 + 21ab^4 - 10b^5)$

4. PIEZAS' RESULTANT METHOD

Later on, in the section on sixth powers with 8 terms, Piezas describes a simple-looking method. He sets, similar to the previous section

$$(4.1) \quad \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\} = \{a + bh, c + dh, e + fh, g + h\}$$

$$\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\} = \{a - bh, c - dh, e - fh, g - h\},$$

and forces

$$(4.2) \quad X_1^n + X_2^n + X_3^n + X_4^n = Y_1^n + Y_2^n + Y_3^n + Y_4^n \quad n = 1, 2, 4, 6.$$

For an ideal multigrade with 8 terms, he does not require the $n = 1$ condition. Without it, however, we do not get as much simplification as we need to get an answer.

For $n = 1, 2$, we have the simple identities

$$f = -1 - b - d \quad g = -ab - cd - ef,$$

reducing the number of parameters to 6.

The conditions for $n = 4, 6$ reduce to two equations for h

$$P_{22}h^2 + P_{20} = 0 \quad P_{44}h^4 + P_{42}h^2 + P_{40} = 0,$$

where the P_{ij} are complicated functions of a, b, c, d, e .

The resultant of these equations is of the form $F(a, b, c, d, e)^2 = 0$. It is very surprising that F factors into the product of 3 reasonable linear terms and a cubic term. The linear expressions are

$$(a+ab-c+cd-be-de) \quad (-a+ab+c+cd-be-de) \quad (a+ab+c+cd-2e-be-de).$$

We consider the third of these factors, with the other two using the same methodology. We have

$$(4.3) \quad b = \frac{a + c(d+1) - e(d+2)}{e - a},$$

which we substitute into the quadratic equation for h .

This has solutions

$$h = \frac{\pm(a-e)}{d+1} \quad c = e \quad e = \frac{(a+c)(c(d+1) - a(d-2))}{a(d+4) + c(2-d)},$$

with the first 3 solutions leading to trivial multigrades. The final one does not.

Substituting the formula for e into the quartic, we find that it factorises into 2 linear terms in h and a quadratic of the form $Q_{22}h^2 - Q_{20}$, where Q_{22}, Q_{20} are functions of a, c, d . Solving for h in the linear terms just gives trivial solutions, so we concentrate on the quadratic.

For $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ we must have $\square = Q_{22}Q_{20}$. This latter expression is of degree 8 in a and c , but a quartic in d . The leading term is $9a^2c^2(a-c)^2(2a+c)^2$, so the quartic is birationally equivalent to an elliptic curve.

After some standard, but lengthy, calculations, we find the elliptic curve to be

$$(4.4) \quad v^2 = u(u^2 + (9(a^4 + c^4) - 160ac(a^2 + c^2) - 418a^2c^2)u + 1600a^2c^2(a+2c)^2(2a+c)^2),$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad d = \frac{3v - (41a^2 + 98ac + 41c^2)u + 800ac(a+2c)^2(2a+c)^2}{2ac(c-a)(400(a+2c)^2(2a+c)^2 - 9u)}.$$

These curves are singular if $a = \pm c$, which we now assume does not happen. Numerical experiments on the curves, for simple integer a, c values, suggest

that the torsion subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ with points of order 4 given by

$$(40ac(a+2c)(2a+c), \pm 120ac(a+c)(a-c)(a+2c)(2a+c)),$$

with none of the torsion points leading to non-trivial solutions.

These numerical experiments also suggested that the rank of the curves is at least 2, except when $a = 2, c = 1$, when the rank is only 1. We used the Pari-GP code `ellratpoints` to find rational points and then try to infer an algebraic form.

We found 2 simple points that seem to often give generators

$$(16ac(a+2c)(2a+c), 48ac(a+2c)(2a+c)(a^2+4ac+c^2)),$$

and

$$(64ac(a+2c)(2a+c), 192ac(a+2c)(2a+c)(a^2+ac+c^2)).$$

From the first point we find the following parametric form

TABLE 6. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$-(30a^5 + 116a^4c + 598a^3c^2 + 1179a^2c^3 + 823ac^4 + 170c^5)$
X_2	$170a^5 + 933a^4c + 2080a^3c^2 + 2221a^2c^3 + 1017ac^4 + 140c^5$
X_3	$110a^5 + 763a^4c + 1863a^3c^2 + 1756a^2c^3 + 581ac^4 + 30c^5$
X_4	$-140a^5 - 569a^4c - 821a^3c^2 - 274a^2c^3 + 236ac^4 + 110c^5$
Y_1	$140a^5 + 1017a^4c + 2221a^3c^2 + 2080a^2c^3 + 933ac^4 + 170c^5$
Y_2	$-(170a^5 + 823a^4c + 1179a^3c^2 + 598a^2c^3 + 116ac^4 + 30c^5)$
Y_3	$30a^5 + 581a^4c + 1756a^3c^2 + 1863a^2c^3 + 763ac^4 + 110c^5$
Y_4	$110a^5 + 236a^4c - 274a^3c^2 - 821a^2c^3 - 569ac^4 - 140c^5$

whilst, from the second point

TABLE 7. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$1040a^5 + 3732a^4c + 7438a^3c^2 + 8479a^2c^3 + 4266ac^4 + 560c^5$
X_2	$-(560a^5 + 2746a^4c + 5361a^3c^2 + 4321a^2c^3 + 614ac^4 + 480c^5)$
X_3	$1520a^5 + 4574a^4c + 3533a^3c^2 - 2069a^2c^3 - 3602ac^4 - 1040c^5$
X_4	$-480a^5 - 922a^4c + 625a^3c^2 + 4146a^2c^3 + 4588ac^4 + 1520c^5$
Y_1	$480a^5 - 614a^4c - 4321a^3c^2 - 5361a^2c^3 - 2746ac^4 - 560c^5$
Y_2	$560a^5 + 4266a^4c + 8479a^3c^2 + 7438a^2c^3 + 3732ac^4 + 1040c^5$
Y_3	$-1040a^5 - 3602a^4c - 2069a^3c^2 + 3533a^2c^3 + 4574ac^4 + 1520c^5$
Y_4	$1520a^5 + 4588a^4c + 4146a^3c^2 + 625a^2c^3 - 922ac^4 - 480c^5$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for her/his very useful comments, especially the provision of Magma code.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chernick, *Ideal solutions of the Tarry-Escott problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **45**, (1938) 626-633.
- [2] A. Choudhry, *A new approach to the Tarry-Escott problem*, Int. J. Number Theory, **13**, (2017) 393-417.
- [3] A. Gloden, **Mehrgradige Gleichungen**, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1944.
- [4] A.J. MacLeod, *On Crussol's method for $\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^4 Y_i^n, n = 2, 4, 6$* . Rad HAZU, Matematicke Znanosti, **20**, (2016) (19-26).
- [5] L.J. Mordell, **Diophantine Equations**, Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [6] T. Piezas III, *A Collection of Algebraic Identities*, website available at <https://sites.google.com/site/tpiezas/Home>
- [7] Chen Shuwen, *Equal Sums of Like Powers*, website available at eslpower.org/eslp.htm.
- [8] C.J. Smyth, *Ideal 9th-Order Multigrades and Letac's Elliptic Curve*, Math. Comp., **57** (1991), 817-823.

Allan J. Macleod
 Statistics, O.R. and Mathematics Group (Retired),
 University of the West of Scotland,
 High St., Paisley,
 Scotland. PA1 2BE

E-mail: peediejenn@hotmail.com