Conjectures and results on the size and number of

Diophantine tuples

Andrej Dujella

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, CROATIA E-mail: duje@math.hr URL : http://web.math.hr/~duje/

Abstract

The problem of the construction of Diophantine m-tuples, i.e. sets with the property that the product of any two of its distinct elements is one less then a square, has a very long history. In this survey, we describe several conjectures and recent results concerning Diophantine m-tuples and their generalizations.

1 Diophantine quintuple conjecture

A set of m positive integers is called a Diophantine m-tuple if the product of its any two distinct elements increased by 1 is a perfect square. Diophantus himself found a set of four positive rationals with this property:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{17}{4}, \frac{105}{16}\right\}$$

However, the first Diophantine quadruple, the set $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$, was found by Fermat. Euler found an infinite family of such sets:

$$\{a, b, a + b + 2r, 4r(r + a)(r + b)\},\$$

where $ab+1 = r^2$. He was also able to add the fifth positive rational, 777480/8288641, to the Fermat's set (see [5, 6, 26]). Recently, Gibbs [24] found several examples of sets of six positive rationals with the property of Diophantus. The first one was

$$\left\{\frac{11}{192}, \frac{35}{192}, \frac{155}{27}, \frac{512}{27}, \frac{1235}{48}, \frac{180873}{16}\right\}.$$

A folklore conjecture is that there does not exist a Diophantine quintuple. The first important result concerning this conjecture was proved in 1969 by Baker and Davenport [2]. They proved that if d is a positive integer such that

 $\{1, 3, 8, d\}$ forms a Diophantine quadruple, then d = 120. This problem was stated in 1967 by Gardner [23] (see also [27]). Furthemore, in 1998, in the joint work with Attila Pethő [17] we proved that the pair $\{1, 3\}$ cannot be extended to a Diophantine quintuple.

In 1979, Arkin, Hoggatt and Strauss [1] proved that every Diophantine triple can be extended to a Diophantine quadruple. More precisely, let $\{a, b, c\}$ be a Diophantine triple and $ab + 1 = r^2$, $ac + 1 = s^2$, $bc + 1 = t^2$, where r, s, t are positive integers. Define

$$d_+ = a + b + c + 2abc + 2rst.$$

Then $\{a, b, c, d_+\}$ is a Diophantine quadruple. A stronger version of the Diophantine quintuple conjecture states that if $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is a Diophantine quadruple and $d > \max\{a, b, c\}$, then $d = d_+$. Diophantine quadruples of this form are called regular.

In 2004, we proved that there does not exist a Diophantine sextuple and there are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples (see [10]). However, the bounds for the size of the elements of a (hypothetical) Diophantine quintuple are huge (largest element is less than $10^{10^{26}}$), so the remaining cases cannot be checked on a computer.

Recently, Fujita [22] proved that if $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ (a < b < c < d < e) is a Diophantine quintuple, then $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is a regular Diophantine quadruple. Thus, in order to prove the Diophantine quintuple conjecture, it remains to prove that a regular Diophantine quadruple cannot be extended to a quintuple. Such result is known to be true for several parametric families of regular Diophantine quadruples, e.g. $\{k - 1, k + 1, 4k, 16k^3 - 4k\}$. Moreover, Fujita [21] proved that the pair $\{k-1, k+1\}$ (for $k \ge 2$) cannot be extended to a Diophantine quintuple, and his results, together with our joint work with Yann Bugeaud and Maurice Mignotte [4], show that all Diophantine quadruples of the form $\{k-1, k+1, c, d\}$ are regular.

2 The existence of Diophantine quadruples with the property D(n)

A natural generalization of the original problem of Diophantus and Fermat is to replace number 1, in the definition of Diophantine *m*-tuples, by an arbitrary integer *n*. A set of *m* positive integers $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ is said to have the property D(n) if $a_i a_j + n$ is a perfect square for all $1 \le i < j \le m$. Such a set is called a Diophantine *m*-tuple with the property D(n) (or D(n)-*m*-tuple, or P_n -set of size *m*).

Several authors considered the problem of the existence of Diophantine quadruples with the property D(n). This problem is now almost completely solved. In 1985, Brown [3] (see also [25, 28]) gave the first part of the answer by showing that if n is an integer of the form n = 4k+2, then there does not exist a Diophantine quadruple with the property D(n). In 1993, we were able to prove

that if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \notin S = \{-4, -3, -1, 3, 5, 12, 20\}$, then there exists at least one Diophantine quadruple with the property D(n) (see [7]). The conjecture is that for $n \in S$ there does not exist a Diophantine quadruple with the property D(n). It is interesting to observe that the integers 4k + 2 are exactly those integers which are not representable as differences of the squares of two integers. It seems that this is not just a coincidence. Namely, analogous results, which show strong connection between the existence of D(n)-quadruples and the representability as a difference of two squares, also hold for integers in some quadratic fields (see [8, 15, 19, 20]).

It is clear that if $n = m^2$ is a perfect square, than there exist infinitely many $D(m^2)$ -quadruples. Namely, Euler's result mentioned above shows that there are infinitely many D(1)-quadruples, and multiplying their elements by m we obtain $D(m^2)$ -quadruples. We state the following conjecture: if n is not a perfect square, then there exist only finitely many D(n)-quadruples. As we already mentioned, it is easy to verify the conjecture in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (then there does not exist a D(n)-quadruple). In the recent joint work with Clemens Fuchs and Alan Filipin, we have proved this conjecture in cases n = -1 and n = -4 (see [14, 16]). Perhaps some support to this conjecture may come from considering the number of D(n)-triples in given range. Let

$$D_m(n; N) = |\{D \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : D \text{ is a } D(n) \text{-}m\text{-tuple } \}|.$$

In [12], we considered the case n = 1 and proved that $D_3(1; N) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} N \log N + O(N)$. In our forthcoming paper [18], we will show that $D_3(n; N) \sim C(n) N \log(N)$ if n is a perfect square, while $D_3(n; N) \sim C(n) N$ otherwise.

Concerning rational Diophantine *m*-tuples, it is expected that there exist an absolute upper bound for their size. Such a result will follow from the Lang conjecture on varieties of general type. Related problem is to find an upper bound M_n for the size of D(n)-tuples (for given non-zero integer n). Again, the Lang conjecture implies that there exist an absolute upper bound for M_n (independent on n). However, at present, the best known upper bounds are of the shape $M_n < c \log |n|$ (see [9, 11]). Recently, in our joint paper with Florian Luca [13], we were able to obtain an absolute upper bound for M_p , where p is a prime.

References

- J. Arkin, V. E. Hoggatt and E. G. Strauss, On Euler's solution of a problem of Diophantus, Fibonacci Quart. 17, 333–339 (1979).
- [2] A. Baker and H. Davenport, The equations $3x^2 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 7 = z^2$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **20**, 129–137 (1969).
- [3] E. Brown, Sets in which xy + k is always a square, Math. Comp. 45, 613–620 (1985).

- [4] Y. Bugeaud, A. Dujella and M. Mignotte, On the family of Diophantine triples {k - 1, k + 1, 16k³ - 4k}, Glasgow Math. J. 49, 333-344 (2007).
- [5] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1966, pp. 513–520.
- [6] Diophantus of Alexandria, Arithmetics and the Book of Polygonal Numbers, (ed. I. G. Bashmakova), Nauka, Moscow, 1974 (in Russian), pp. 103–104, 232.
- [7] A. Dujella, Generalization of a problem of Diophantus, Acta Arith. 65, 15-27 (1993).
- [8] A. Dujella, The problem of Diophantus and Davenport for Gaussian integers, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 32, 1–10 (1997).
- [9] A. Dujella, On the size of Diophantine m-tuples, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 132, 23–33 (2002).
- [10] A. Dujella, There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples, J. Reine Angew. Math. 566, 183–214 (2004).
- [11] A. Dujella, Bounds for the size of sets with the property D(n), Glas. Mat. Ser. III **39**, 199–205 (2004).
- [12] A. Dujella, On the number of Diophantine m-tuples, Ramanujan J., to appear.
- [13] A. Dujella and F. Luca, *Diophantine m-tuples for primes*, Intern. Math. Research Notices 47, 2913–2940 (2005).
- [14] A. Dujella, A. Filipin and C. Fuchs, *Effective solution of the* D(-1)quadruple conjecture, Acta Arith. **128**, 319–338 (2007).
- [15] A. Dujella and Z. Franušić, On differences of two squares in some quadratic fields, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 37, 429–453 (2007).
- [16] A. Dujella and C. Fuchs, Complete solution of a problem of Diophantus and Euler, J. London Math. Soc. 71, 33–52 (2005).
- [17] A. Dujella and A. Pethő, Generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 49, 291–306 (1998).
- [18] A. Dujella and A. Pethő, Asymptotic estimates for the number of Diophantine pairs and triples, in preparation.
- [19] Z. Franušić, Diophantine quadruples in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{4k+3}]$, Ramanujan J., to appear.
- [20] Z. Franušić, A Diophantine problem in $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{d})/2]$, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., to appear.

- [21] Y. Fujita, The extensibility of Diophantine pairs $\{k-1, k+1\}$, J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [22] Y. Fujita, Any Diophantine quintuple contains a regular Diophantine quadruple, preprint.
- [23] M. Gardner, *Mathematical divertions*, Scientific American **216**, 124 (1967).
- [24] P. Gibbs, Some rational Diophantine sextuples, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 41, 195–203 (2006).
- [25] H. Gupta and K. Singh, On k-triad sequences, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 5, 799–804 (1985).
- [26] T. L. Heath, Diophantus of Alexandria. A Study of the History of Greek Algebra, Powell's Bookstore, Chicago; Martino Publishing, Mansfield Center, 2003. pp. 177–181.
- [27] J. H. van Lint, On a set of diophantine equations, T. H.-Report 68 WSK-03, Technological University Eindhoven, 1968.
- [28] S. P. Mohanty and A. M. S. Ramasamy, On $P_{r,k}$ sequences, Fibonacci Quart. 23, 36–44 (1985).