

Borcherds' Lie algebra and C_2 -cofiniteness of moonshine VOAs

Masahiko Miyamoto

University of Tsukuba

June 27, 2023 at IUC in Dubrovnik

Classifi. of hol. VOA of $c = 24$ was accomplished except for the moonshine type. The remaining is to prove the uniqueness of moonshine type, i.e.,

[Uniqueness conj [FLM]] If V is VOA, with non-sing inv \langle, \rangle , $c = 24$,
 $\sum \dim V_n q^{n-1} = j(\tau) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + \dots$, then $V \cong V^{\natural}$?

With additional assumps, there are several results. e.g., if we have an iso. $V/C_2(V) \cong V^{\natural}/C_2(V^{\natural})$ of Poisson algebras keeping grades and inner products, then we have $V \cong V^{\natural}$ by a Griess' result and easy calculation.

Introduction

Classifi. of hol. VOA of $c = 24$ was accomplished except for the moonshine type. The remaining is to prove the uniqueness of moonshine type, i.e.,

[Uniqueness conj [FLM]] If V is VOA, with non-sing inv \langle, \rangle , $c = 24$, $\sum \dim V_n q^{n-1} = j(\tau) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + \dots$, then $V \cong V^{\natural}$?

With additional assumps, there are several results. e.g., if we have an iso. $V/C_2(V) \cong V^{\natural}/C_2(V^{\natural})$ of Poisson algebras keeping grades and inner products, then we have $V \cong V^{\natural}$ by a Griess' result and easy calculation.

Conversely, what we can get from the assump of uniqueness conj? From now on, V is a VOA satisfying these conditions.

Given data are few. Under this assumption, the known result we can use is

iso: $B(V) \cong B(V^{\natural})$ of **Borchers' Lie algebras**. (explain later)

$B(V^{\natural})$ is called **Monster Lie algebra**. However, we can not use the Monster actions and so we just call it Borchers' Lie algebra.

Borcherds Lie algebra

Borcherds has also shown: $B(V)$ is GKM algebra with simple roots

$$\{(1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), \dots\} \subseteq \Pi_{1,1}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Lorentzian lattice} \\ \text{with Gram matrix} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with multiplicities $\dim V_{n+1}$ for $(1, n)$. In particular,

$B(V)$ is generated by root spaces $B(V)^{(1,m)} \cong (V_{m+1}, \langle, \rangle)$ freely.

e.g. $[B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}] \cong \text{Skew}_{\dim B(V)^{(1,1)}}$

Borcherds Lie algebra

Borcherds has also shown: $B(V)$ is GKM algebra with simple roots

$$\{(1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), \dots\} \subseteq \Pi_{1,1}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Lorentzian lattice} \\ \text{with Gram matrix} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with multiplicities $\dim V_{n+1}$ for $(1, n)$. In particular,

$B(V)$ is generated by root spaces $B(V)^{(1,m)} \cong (V_{m+1}, \langle, \rangle)$ freely.

e.g. $[B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}] \cong \text{Skew}_{\dim B(V)^{(1,1)}}$

Remark 1

Borcherds has shown: $B(V^{\natural})$ is GKM alg. with the above simple roots. The critical point of the proof is that he used only nonsingular inv. \langle, \rangle , $\text{ch}_{V^{\natural}}(\tau) = J(\tau)$, and $c = 24$. Hence as they mentioned in [B86] and [J10], $B(V)$ has the same simple roots and $\cong B(V^{\natural})$.

Borcherds Lie algebra

Borcherds has also shown: $B(V)$ is GKM algebra with simple roots

$$\{(1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), \dots\} \subseteq \Pi_{1,1}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Lorentzian lattice} \\ \text{with Gram matrix} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with multiplicities $\dim V_{n+1}$ for $(1, n)$. In particular,

$B(V)$ is generated by root spaces $B(V)^{(1,m)} \cong (V_{m+1}, \langle, \rangle)$ freely.

e.g. $[B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}] \cong \text{Skew}_{\dim B(V)^{(1,1)}}$

Remark 1

Borcherds has shown: $B(V^{\natural})$ is GKM alg. with the above simple roots. The critical point of the proof is that he used only nonsingular inv. \langle, \rangle , $\text{ch}_{V^{\natural}}(\tau) = J(\tau)$, and $c = 24$. Hence as they mentioned in [B86] and [J10], $B(V)$ has the same simple roots and $\cong B(V^{\natural})$.

Because of that, my first impression was "we could not get back useful inf. of V from $B(V)$."

Result

I was wrong. The fact that "the structure of $B(V)$ is very simple" comes from the several strong restrictions on V .

I was wrong. The fact that "the structure of $B(V)$ is very simple" comes from the several strong restrictions on V .

Today, I will show you one of the results from this fact. Namely, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1

If V is VOA, non-sing inv \langle, \rangle , $c = 24$,

$\sum \dim V_n q^{n-1} = j(\tau) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + \dots$, then V is C_2 -cofinite.

More precisely,

$$C_2(V) = \sum_{n \geq 5} V_n + L(-1)V.$$

I was wrong. The fact that "the structure of $B(V)$ is very simple" comes from the several strong restrictions on V .

Today, I will show you one of the results from this fact. Namely, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1

If V is VOA, non-sing inv \langle, \rangle , $c = 24$,

$\sum \dim V_n q^{n-1} = j(\tau) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + \dots$, then V is C_2 -cofinite.

More precisely,

$$C_2(V) = \sum_{n \geq 5} V_n + L(-1)V.$$

The proof consists of three steps: (1) $V_5 \subseteq C_2(V)$, (2) $V_6 \subseteq C_2(V)$, and (3) $V_n \subseteq C_2(V)$ for $n \geq 7$ by induction.

Today I will show only the first step (1) because the others are similar. If I have time, then (3).

Physical states and Borcherds Lie algebra

Set $\tilde{V} = V \otimes V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$, which has $\text{II}_{1,1}$ -graded structure.

$L(n)$, $\tilde{L}(n)$ denotes Virasoro ops of V and \tilde{V} , respectively. Set

$$P^1 = \{u \in \tilde{V}_1 \mid \tilde{L}(n)u = 0 \ \forall n > 0\}$$

the space of physical states. Then we define

a Borcherds Lie algebra $B(V) = P^1 / \text{Rad}(P^1)$,

where $\text{Rad}(P^1)$ is the null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Set $\tilde{V} = V \otimes V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$, which has $\text{II}_{1,1}$ -graded structure.

$L(n)$, $\tilde{L}(n)$ denotes Virasoro ops of V and \tilde{V} , respectively. Set

$$P^1 = \{u \in \tilde{V}_1 \mid \tilde{L}(n)u = 0 \ \forall n > 0\}$$

the space of physical states. Then we define

a Borcherds Lie algebra $B(V) = P^1 / \text{Rad}(P^1)$,

where $\text{Rad}(P^1)$ is the null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Let $P^1_{(m,n)} \subseteq P^1$ of degree $(m, n) \in \text{II}_{1,1}$ and

$$B(V)^{(m,n)} = P^1_{(m,n)} / \text{Rad}(P^1_{(m,n)}).$$

No ghost theorem

We will recall the following results from [B86].

Theorem 2 (The no-ghost theorem)

Let $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ be a VOA of $c = 24$ with non-sing bi. form \langle, \rangle and $G \leq \text{Aut}(V)$ (we view $G = G \times 1$ on $V \otimes V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$). Then $B(V)^{(m,n)} \cong V_{1+mn}$ as G -mods with an inv bi form if $mn \neq 0$ and $B(V)^{(0,0)} \cong V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ and $B(V)^{(m,n)} = 0$ for else.

For V^{\natural} , $B(V^{\natural})^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}^{\natural}$ as the monster simple group modules for $mn \neq 0$.

No ghost theorem

We will recall the following results from [B86].

Theorem 2 (The no-ghost theorem)

Let $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ be a VOA of $c = 24$ with non-sing bi. form \langle, \rangle and $G \leq \text{Aut}(V)$ (we view $G = G \times 1$ on $V \otimes V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$). Then $B(V)^{(m,n)} \cong V_{1+mn}$ as G -mods with an inv bi form if $mn \neq 0$ and $B(V)^{(0,0)} \cong V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ and $B(V)^{(m,n)} = 0$ for else.

For V^{\natural} , $B(V^{\natural})^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}^{\natural}$ as the monster simple group modules for $mn \neq 0$. But, for a general VOA V , $G = 1$ and so Borchers' no ghost theorem just says $\dim B^{(m,n)}(V) = \dim V_{mn+1}$.

No ghost theorem

We will recall the following results from [B86].

Theorem 2 (The no-ghost theorem)

Let $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ be a VOA of $c = 24$ with non-sing bi. form \langle, \rangle and $G \leq \text{Aut}(V)$ (we view $G = G \times 1$ on $V \otimes V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$). Then $B(V)^{(m,n)} \cong V_{1+mn}$ as G -mods with an inv bi form if $mn \neq 0$ and $B(V)^{(0,0)} \cong V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ and $B(V)^{(m,n)} = 0$ for else.

For V^{\natural} , $B(V^{\natural})^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}^{\natural}$ as the monster simple group modules for $mn \neq 0$. But, for a general VOA V , $G = 1$ and so Borchers' no ghost theorem just says $\dim B^{(m,n)}(V) = \dim V_{mn+1}$.

What a waste of good theorem!

A large group and its homogeneous spaces

P^1 , $B(V)$ are defined by Virasoro ops $\{L(n) + L'(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

We will introduce a group

Definition 1

$$G = \{g = \prod_m g_m \in \prod_m O(V_m, \langle, \rangle) \mid g_m L(n) = L(n)g_{m-n} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(Note: G is not auto gr. of $B(V)$, just set of orth transf comm with Vir.)
and extend it to an auto. $G \otimes 1_{V_{II_{1,1}}}$ of \tilde{V} .

A large group and its homogeneous spaces

P^1 , $B(V)$ are defined by Virasoro ops $\{L(n) + L'(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

We will introduce a group

Definition 1

$$G = \{g = \prod_m g_m \in \prod_m O(V_m, \langle, \rangle) \mid g_m L(n) = L(n)g_{m-n} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(Note: G is not auto gr. of $B(V)$, just set of orth transf comm with Vir.)
and extend it to an auto. $G \otimes 1_{V_{II_{1,1}}}$ of \tilde{V} . By def. of physical state,

$P_{(m,n)}^1$ and $B(V)^{(m,n)}$ are G -modules.

A large group and its homogeneous spaces

P^1 , $B(V)$ are defined by Virasoro ops $\{L(n) + L'(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

We will introduce a group

Definition 1

$$G = \{g = \prod_m g_m \in \prod_m O(V_m, \langle, \rangle) \mid g_m L(n) = L(n)g_{m-n} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(Note: G is not auto gr. of $B(V)$, just set of orth transf comm with Vir.)
and extend it to an auto. $G \otimes 1_{V_{\text{II},1}}$ of \tilde{V} . By def. of physical state,

$P^1_{(m,n)}$ and $B(V)^{(m,n)}$ are G -modules.

Definition 2 (homogeneous G -submodules)

Set $V_k^P = \{v \in V_k \mid L(n)v = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1\}$ Vir primary states

$\Rightarrow V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U(\text{Vir})V_k^P$, V_k^P is simple G -mod. and $G = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} O(V_m^P)$.

Define **projection**: $\pi_k : \tilde{V} \rightarrow (U(\text{Vir}) \cdot V_k^P) \otimes V_{\text{II},1}$
 $P^1_{(m,n),k} := \pi_k(P^1_{(m,n)})$, $B(V)^{(m,n),k} := \pi_k(B(V)^{(m,n)})$

Root space of Borchers Lie algebra as G -modules

Lemma 3

For $(m, n) = (1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2)$, $V^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}$ as G -modules.

Remark 2

In Borchers' proof of the no-ghost theorem, his ops were given by elts in $V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$, and Vir , which implies $B(V)^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}$ as G -mods for $mn \neq 0$.

Root space of Borcherds Lie algebra as G -modules

Lemma 3

For $(m, n) = (1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2)$, $V^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}$ as G -modules.

Remark 2

In Borcherds' proof of the no-ghost theorem, his ops were given by elts in $V_{\text{II}_{1,1}}$, and Vir , which implies $B(V)^{(m,n)} \cong V_{mn+1}$ as G -mods for $mn \neq 0$.

For $v \in V_{mn+1}^p$, we have $v \otimes e^{(m,n)} \in P^1$. It is easy to see

$$V_{mn+1}^p \otimes e^{(m,n)} = P_{(m,n), mn+1}^1 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Rad}(P_{(m,n), mn+1}^1) = 0. \text{ Similarly,}$$

$$V_{mn}^p \otimes \delta_{(-1)}^{(m,-n)} e^{(m,n)} \subseteq P_{(m,n)}^1 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Rad}(P_{(m,n), mn}^1) = \tilde{L}(-1)(V_{mn}^p \otimes e^{(m,n)}), \text{ where}$$

$$\delta_{(-1)}^{(m,n)} \mathbf{1}' = (m, n)(-1)\mathbf{1} \in V_{\text{II}_{1,1}} \text{ for } (m, n) \in \text{II}_{1,1}. \text{ i.e.,}$$

The both of mult. of V_{mn+1}^p and V_{mn}^p in $B(V)^{(m,n)}$ are one.

[Proof] By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{(1,-1)}^1 &= \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \otimes e^{(1,-1)} \cong V_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Rad}(P_{(1,-1)}^1) = 0 \\
 P_{(1,1)}^1 &= \mathbb{C}(V_2^p \otimes e^{(1,1)}) \oplus \mathbb{C}[L(-2)\mathbf{1} \otimes e^{(1,1)} - 6\mathbf{1} \otimes \delta_{(-1)}^{(1,-1)} e^{(1,1)}] \oplus \text{Rad} \\
 &\quad \cong V_2^p \oplus V_0 \cong V_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

From $P_{(1,3),4}^1 = V_4^p$, $P_{(1,3),3}^1 = V_3^p + \text{Rad}$. $\text{mult}(P_{(1,3),0}^1) < \dim V_2^p$,
 $\dim B(V)^{(1,3)} = \dim V_4$, we have $B^{(1,3)}(V) \cong V_4$.

We will give a proof later for the case $(m, n) = (2, 2)$. □

The useful fact and products by $P_{(1,-1)}^1(0)$ and $\pi_0(P^1)$

$\{B(V)^{(1,n)} : n = -1, 0, \dots\}$ generates $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B(V)^{(m,n)}$.

e.g. we will use

$$B(V)^{(2,2)} = [B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,3)}] + [B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]$$

Coming back to physical states, we have

$$P_{(2,2)}^1 \subseteq (P_{(1,-1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,3)}^1) + (P_{(1,1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) + \text{Rad}(P_{(2,2)}^1)$$

The useful fact and products by $P_{(1,-1)}^1, 0$ and $\pi_0(P^1)$

$\{B(V)^{(1,n)} : n = -1, 0, \dots\}$ generates $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B(V)^{(m,n)}$.

e.g. we will use

$$B(V)^{(2,2)} = [B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,3)}] + [B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]$$

Coming back to physical states, we have

$$P_{(2,2)}^1 \subseteq (P_{(1,-1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,3)}^1) + (P_{(1,1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) + \text{Rad}(P_{(2,2)}^1)$$

Using $P_{(1,1)}^1 = P_{(1,1),0}^1 \oplus P_{(1,1),2}^1$ ($B(V)^{(1,1)} = B(V)^{(1,1),0} \oplus B(V)^{(1,1),2}$),

$$(P_{(1,1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) = (P_{(1,1),0}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) + (P_{(1,1),2}^1)_0(P_{(1,1),2}^1).$$

The useful fact and products by $P_{(1,-1)}^1, 0$ and $\pi_0(P^1)$

$\{B(V)^{(1,n)} : n = -1, 0, \dots\}$ generates $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B(V)^{(m,n)}$.

e.g. we will use

$$B(V)^{(2,2)} = [B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,3)}] + [B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]$$

Coming back to physical states, we have

$$P_{(2,2)}^1 \subseteq (P_{(1,-1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,3)}^1) + (P_{(1,1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) + \text{Rad}(P_{(2,2)}^1)$$

Using $P_{(1,1)}^1 = P_{(1,1),0}^1 \oplus P_{(1,1),2}^1$ ($B(V)^{(1,1)} = B(V)^{(1,1),0} \oplus B(V)^{(1,1),2}$),

$$(P_{(1,1)}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) = (P_{(1,1),0}^1)_0(P_{(1,1)}^1) + (P_{(1,1),2}^1)_0(P_{(1,1),2}^1).$$

Proposition 4

$(\mathbf{1} \otimes e^{(1,-1)})_0 = \mathbf{1} \otimes (e^{(1,-1)})_0$ is G -isomorphism.

For $\alpha \in \pi_0(P^1)$, 0 th product α_0 is G -homo.

Hence $[B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,k)}]$ and $[B(V)^{(1,1),0}, B(V)^{(1,k)}]$ are holo. images of $B(V)^{(1,k)}$ as G -modules.

For $v, u \in V_2^p$, we set

$$\Phi^1(v, u) = (v \otimes e^{(1,1)})_0(u \otimes e^{(1,1)}) \in P_{(2,2)}^1$$

Then we have expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1(v, u) &= v_{-2}u \otimes o_0(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_{-1}u \otimes o_1(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + v_0u \otimes o_2(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_1u \otimes o_3(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_3u \otimes o_5(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We note
$$\begin{aligned} Y(e^\gamma, z) &= E^(-\gamma, z)E^+(\gamma, z)e^\gamma z^{\text{wt}(e^\gamma)+\gamma} \\ &= \exp(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(-n)/nz^n) \exp(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\gamma(n)/nz^{-n})e^\gamma z^{\text{wt}(e^\gamma)+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\{o_k(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \mid k\}$ do not depend on the choices of v, u .

Product and projection to $U(\text{Vir})V_n^p$

For $v, u \in V_2^p$, we set

$$\Phi^1(v, u) = (v \otimes e^{(1,1)})_0(u \otimes e^{(1,1)}) \in P_{(2,2)}^1$$

Then we have expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1(v, u) &= v_{-2}u \otimes o_0(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_{-1}u \otimes o_1(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + v_0u \otimes o_2(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_1u \otimes o_3(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} + v_3u \otimes o_5(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We note
$$\begin{aligned} Y(e^\gamma, z) &= E^(-\gamma, z)E^+(\gamma, z)e^\gamma z^{\text{wt}(e^\gamma)+\gamma} \\ &= \exp(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(-n)/nz^n) \exp(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\gamma(n)/nz^{-n})e^\gamma z^{\text{wt}(e^\gamma)+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\{o_k(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \mid k\}$ do not depend on the choices of v, u .
Clearly, $v_{3-k}u \in V_k$. Since V_k^p is the only primary states in $\pi_k(V)$,

$$\text{If } \pi_k(v_{3-k}u) = 0, \text{ then } \pi_k(v_{3-k-j}u) = 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $c = 24$, $U(\text{Vir})V_k^p$ is a Verma module for $k \neq 0$. Expressing $L(-n_1) \cdots L(-n_k)z$ with $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k$, we have

Explicit expression by Virasoro operators

Lemma 5

For $(k, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, operators $\exists Q^{(2,2),k}(-m) \in U(\text{Vir})$ of degree $-m$ s.t. $\pi_k(v_{3-k-m}u) = Q^{(2,2),k}(-m)\pi_k(v_{3-k}u)$

We will show the exact formula for a few $Q^{a,b}(-m)$.

Lemma 6

For $v, u \in V_2^p$ and $w \in V_3^p$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0(v_{-1}u) &= \frac{1}{71} \{3L(-4)\pi_0(v_3u) + \frac{11}{12}L(-2)^2\pi_0(v_3u)\}, \\ \pi_0(v_{-3}u) &= \frac{1}{196883} \{3492L(-6)\pi_0(v_3u) + \frac{15623}{12}L(-4)L(-2)\pi_0(v_3u)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{196883} \left\{ \frac{1271}{2}L(-3)^2\pi_0(v_3u) + 124L(-2)^3\pi_0(v_3u) \right\}, \\ \pi_2(v_0w) &= \frac{1}{41} \{6L(-2)\pi_2(v_1u) + \frac{1}{4}L(-1)^2\pi_2(v_1u)\}, \\ \pi_3(v_{-2}u) &= \frac{1}{47} \left\{ \frac{17}{3}L(-2)\pi_3(v_2w) + \frac{11}{2}L(-1)^2\pi_3(v_2w) \right\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \pi_4(v_{-2}w) &= \frac{1}{8}L(-2)\pi_4(v_0w) + \frac{1}{16}L(-1)^2\pi_4(v_0w).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $L(-2)Z \in \pi_3(C_2(V))$, $L(-2)^3\mathbf{1} \in \pi_0(C_2(V))$, and $L(-2)Y \in \pi_2((V_2)_0(V_3) + L(-1)V_3)$ for $Z \in V_4^p$ and $Y \in V_2^p$.

Physical state defined by V_k^p

Conversely, we can define physical states as follows:

Lemma 7

For $Z \in V_k^p$, define

$$\Phi_k^1(Z) = \sum_{t=0}^{5-t} Q^{(2,2),k}(-t)Z \otimes o_t(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \in P_{(2,2),k}^1$$

Then $\Phi^1(v, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k^1(\pi_k(v_{3-k}u))$.

Physical state defined by V_k^p

Conversely, we can define physical states as follows:

Lemma 7

For $Z \in V_k^p$, define

$$\Phi_k^1(Z) = \sum_{t=0}^{5-t} Q^{(2,2),k}(-t)Z \otimes o_t(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \in P_{(2,2),k}^1$$

Then $\Phi^1(v, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k^1(\pi_k(v_{3-k}u))$.

Namely, $\Phi^1(v, u)$ is uniquely determined by elements
 $(\pi_0(v_3u), \pi_2(v_1u), \pi_3(v_0u), \pi_4(v_{-1}u), \pi_5(v_{-2}u))$
 $\bigcap V_0 \quad \oplus \bigcap V_2^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_3^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_4^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_5^p$.

i.e. The structure of V on $B(V)$ works only on $\oplus V_k^p$.

Lemma 8

In $\{\pi_k(\Phi^1(v, u)) \mid v, u \in V_2^p\}$, physical states over V_k^p appears at most once for each k modulo $\text{Rad}(P^1)$.

Physical state defined by V_k^p

Conversely, we can define physical states as follows:

Lemma 7

For $Z \in V_k^p$, define

$$\Phi_k^1(Z) = \sum_{t=0}^{5-t} Q^{(2,2),k}(-t)Z \otimes o_t(e^{(1,1)})e^{(2,2)} \in P_{(2,2),k}^1$$

Then $\Phi^1(v, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k^1(\pi_k(v_{3-k}u))$.

Namely, $\Phi^1(v, u)$ is uniquely determined by elements
 $(\pi_0(v_3u), \pi_2(v_1u), \pi_3(v_0u), \pi_4(v_{-1}u), \pi_5(v_{-2}u))$
 $\bigcap V_0 \quad \oplus \bigcap V_2^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_3^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_4^p \quad \oplus \bigcap V_5^p$.

i.e. The structure of V on $B(V)$ works only on $\oplus V_k^p$.

Lemma 8

In $\{\pi_k(\Phi^1(v, u)) \mid v, u \in V_2^p\}$, physical states over V_k^p appears at most once for each k modulo $\text{Rad}(P^1)$.

By this lemma, we have $B(V)^{(2,2)} \cong V_5$ as G -modules.

Definition 3

$X := [B(V)^{(1,1),2}, B(V)^{(1,1),2}]$ may not be a G -module (just a subspace).

Since

$Z := [B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,3)}] + [B(V)^{(2,2),0}, B(V)^{(2,2)}]$ is a G -submod of G -mod. $B(V)^{(2,2)} = Z + X$, **X contains a complement of Z in $B(V)^{(2,2)}$.**

Expressing $B(V)^{(2,2)}/Z$ as a direct sum of V_k^p , we say

X covers a G -mod. $B(V)^{(2,2)}/Z$.

Since $\text{Rad}()$ is also G -mod, we also say

$(P_{(1,1),2}^1)_0(P_{(1,1),2}^1)$ covers a $B(V)^{(2,2)}/Z$.

$P_{(1,1)}^1$ and products $(P_{(1,1),2}^1)_0 P_{(1,1),2}^1$ elementwisely.

Since $L(-m)V \subseteq C_2(V)$ for $2 \neq m \geq 1$, $V_5 = V_5^p + L(-2)V_3^p + C_2(V)_5$.
So we focus our study to V_3^p and V_5^p

Proposition 9

$[B(V)^{(1,1)}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]$ covers $V_5/V_4 \cong V_5^p + V_3^p + V_2^p$ at least and
 $(V_2^p \otimes e^{(1,1)})_0 (V_2^p \otimes e^{(1,1)})$ covers $V_5^p + V_3^p$ at least .

[Proof] $B(V)^{(2,2)} \cong V_5$, $[B(V)^{(1,-1)}, B(V)^{(1,3)}] \cong B(V)^{(1,3)} \cong V_4$,
 $\pi_3([B(V)^{(1,1),0}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]) = \pi_5([B(V)^{(1,1),0}, B(V)^{(1,1)}]) = 0. \quad \square$

Therefore, we have

Lemma 10

Assume that $\{\Phi^1(v, u) : v, u \in V_2^p\}$ covers V_k^p in $B(V)^{(2,2)}/J$ for some G -mod J . If $\pi_k(\Phi^1(v, u)) \in J$ for some $v, u \in V_2^p$, then $\pi_k(\Phi^1(v, u)) = 0$, that is, $v_{3-k}u = 0$.

Then we can translate Proposition 9 into the following:

Lemma 11

$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(\pi_3(v_0u), \pi_5(v_{-2}u)) \in V_3^p \oplus V_5^p \mid v, u \in V_2^p\}$ covers $V_5^p + V_3^p$ at least.

Weight five

As a corollary of Lemma 6 and 11, we have:

Lemma 12

$$V_5 \subseteq C_2(V).$$

As a corollary of Lemma 6 and 11, we have:

Lemma 12

$$V_5 \subseteq C_2(V).$$

[Proof] By Lemma 11, for $z \in V_5^p$, $\exists v^i, u^i \in V_2^p$ s.t.
 $z = \pi_5(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i)$ and $\pi_3(\Phi^1(\sum v_0^i u^i)) \in J$. By Lemma 10,
 $\pi_3(\sum v_0^i u^i) = 0$. Hence $\pi_3(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i) = 0$ and
 $\sum v_{-2}^i u^i - z \in \pi_0(V_5) + \pi_2(V_5) + \pi_4(V_5)$. Since
 $\pi_0(V_5) + \pi_2(V_5) + \pi_4(V_5) \subseteq C_2(V)$, we have $z \in C_2(V)$.

Weight five

As a corollary of Lemma 6 and 11, we have:

Lemma 12

$$V_5 \subseteq C_2(V).$$

[Proof] By Lemma 11, for $z \in V_5^p$, $\exists v^i, u^i \in V_2^p$ s.t. $z = \pi_5(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i)$ and $\pi_3(\Phi^1(\sum v_0^i u^i)) \in J$. By Lemma 10, $\pi_3(\sum v_0^i u^i) = 0$. Hence $\pi_3(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i) = 0$ and $\sum v_{-2}^i u^i - z \in \pi_0(V_5) + \pi_2(V_5) + \pi_4(V_5)$. Since $\pi_0(V_5) + \pi_2(V_5) + \pi_4(V_5) \subseteq C_2(V)$, we have $z \in C_2(V)$.

Similarly, for $x \in V_3^p$, $\exists v^i, u^i \in V_2^p$ s.t. $0 = \pi_5(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i)$ and $\pi_3(\sum v_0^i u^i) = x$. Then since $\pi_3(\sum v_{-2}^i u^i) = \frac{17}{141}L(-2)x + \frac{11}{94}L(-1)^2x$ by Lemma 6, $\sum v_{-2}^i u^i - (\frac{17}{141}L(-2)x + \frac{11}{94}L(-1)^2x) \in \pi_0(V_5) + \pi_2(V_5) + \pi_4(V_5) \subseteq C_2(V)$. Therefore we have $L(-2)x \in C_2(V)$. \square

This completes the proof of $V_5 \subseteq C_2(V)$ and my talk.

Thank you !!

Proposition 13

For $n \geq 7$, we have $V_n \subseteq C_2(V)$.

[Proof]

[Case $n = 2m + 1$] We note $V_{2m+1}^p \otimes e^{(2,m)} \subseteq P_{(2,m),2m+1}^1$ and $\text{Rad}(P_{(2,m),2m+1}^1) = 0$. As we explained, $\sum \pi_{2m+1}(P_{(1,k)}^1)_0(P_{(1,m-k)}^1)$ covers V_{2m+1}^p . Since $P_{(1,k)}^1 \subseteq (\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k+1} V_j) \otimes V_{\text{II},1}$, to get element $v_j w \in V_{2m+1}$ by product of $v \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k+1} V_j$ and $w \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-k+1} V_j$, we have $j \leq -2$. Therefore, $V_{2m+1}^p \subseteq \pi_{2m+1}(C_2(V))$ and $V_{2m+1}^p \subseteq C_2(V) + \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2m} \text{Vir} V_j = C_2(V) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^m L(-2)^k V_{2m+1-k}$. Since $L(-2)V_{2m-1} \subseteq C_2(V)$ by induction, we have $V_{2m+1} \subseteq C_2(V)$.

[Case $n = 2m$] $V_{2m}^p \otimes \delta_{(-1)}^{(2,-m)} e^{(2,m)} \subseteq P_{(2,m),2m}^1$ and $\text{Rad}(P_{(2,m),2m}^1) = (L(-1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_{(-1)}^{(2,m)}) V_{2m}^p \otimes e^{(2,m)}$. By the same argument as above, $\pi_{2m}(C_2(V))$ covers V_{2m}^p . Since $L(-2)V_{2m-2} \subseteq C_2(V)$ by induction, we have $V_{2m} \subseteq C_2(V)$. □