

On Higher Order Weierstrass Points on  $X_0(N)$   
and beyond (joint with D. Mikoč)  
Representation theory XVIII, Dubrovnik

Goran Muić

June 21, 2023

# Notation

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} ; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}$$



$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}$$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  can be regarded as a model for the hyperbolic plane with  
(invariant under  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ )

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  can be regarded as a model for the hyperbolic plane with  
(invariant under  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ )

hyperbolic volume:  $\frac{dx dy}{y^2}$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half-plane:  $Im(z) > 0$

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  in a well-known way

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}$$

$\mathbb{H}$  can be regarded as a model for the hyperbolic plane with  
(invariant under  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ )

hyperbolic volume:  $\frac{dx dy}{y^2}$

hyperbolic distance:  $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

we are interested in discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

we are interested in discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$   
traditionally called **Fuchsian groups**

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

we are interested in discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$   
traditionally called **Fuchsian groups**

the hyperbolic geometry can be used to construct nice  
fundamental domains  $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{H}$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

we are interested in discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$   
traditionally called **Fuchsian groups**

the hyperbolic geometry can be used to construct nice  
fundamental domains  $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{H}$

$\Gamma$  is a **Fuchsian group of the first kind** if  $\iint_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} \frac{dx dy}{y^2} < \infty$



# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

*Siegel*  
 $\implies$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

$\xrightarrow{\text{Siegel}}$   $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  is a polygon in the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with finitely many vertices: some of them might be at infinity

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

$\xrightarrow{\text{Siegel}}$   $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  is a polygon in the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with finitely many vertices: some of them might be at infinity  $= \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

*Siegel*  
 $\implies \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  is a polygon in the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with finitely many vertices: some of them might be at infinity  $= \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

a  $\Gamma$ -conjugate of a vertex at infinity is **called cusp** for  $\Gamma$

In what follows  $\Gamma$  always denotes a Fuchsian group of the first kind

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

$\xrightarrow{\text{Siegel}}$   $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  is a polygon in the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}$  with finitely many vertices: some of them might be at infinity  $= \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

a  $\Gamma$ -conjugate of a vertex at infinity is **called cusp** for  $\Gamma$

In what follows  $\Gamma$  always denotes a Fuchsian group of the first kind  
The most important examples are congruence subgroups, and especially among them

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}); c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be the union of  $\mathbb{H}$  and the set of all cusps for  $\Gamma$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be the union of  $\mathbb{H}$  and the set of all cusps for  $\Gamma$   
the space  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ -orbits for  $\mathbb{H}^*$  has a structure of compact  
Riemann surface



# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be the union of  $\mathbb{H}$  and the set of all cusps for  $\Gamma$   
the space  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ -orbits for  $\mathbb{H}^*$  has a structure of compact  
Riemann surface

compact Riemann surface (analysis) is a complete (projective)  
non-singular irreducible algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  (algebraic  
geometry)

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be the union of  $\mathbb{H}$  and the set of all cusps for  $\Gamma$   
the space  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ -orbits for  $\mathbb{H}^*$  has a structure of compact  
Riemann surface

compact Riemann surface (analysis) is a complete (projective)  
non-singular irreducible algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  (algebraic  
geometry)

we write  $X_0(N)$  for the  $\mathfrak{R}_{\Gamma_0(N)}$

# Fuchsian groups of the first kind

Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be the union of  $\mathbb{H}$  and the set of all cusps for  $\Gamma$   
the space  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ -orbits for  $\mathbb{H}^*$  has a structure of compact  
Riemann surface

compact Riemann surface (analysis) is a complete (projective)  
non-singular irreducible algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  (algebraic  
geometry)

we write  $X_0(N)$  for the  $\mathfrak{R}_{\Gamma_0(N)}$

the set of cusps for congruence subgroups is  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

$\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

let  $H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$  be the space of holomorphic differentials of degree  $m$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$  for each  $m \geq 1$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

$\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

let  $H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$  be the space of holomorphic differentials of degree  $m$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$  for each  $m \geq 1$

let  $g(\Gamma)$  be the genus of  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

 $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$ 

let  $H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$  be the space of holomorphic differentials of degree  $m$  on  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  for each  $m \geq 1$

let  $g(\Gamma)$  be the genus of  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$

we have

$$\dim H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \geq 1, g(\Gamma) = 0; \\ g(\Gamma) & \text{if } m = 1, g(\Gamma) \geq 1; \\ g(\Gamma) & \text{if } m \geq 2, g(\Gamma) = 1; \\ (2m - 1)(g(\Gamma) - 1) & \text{if } m \geq 2, g(\Gamma) \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

$\mathcal{X}_\Gamma$

the canonical class  $K$  is the divisor of any non-zero meromorphic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$



the canonical class  $K$  is the divisor of any non-zero meromorphic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$

let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$  be non-zero function in the field of meromorphic functions  $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$  on  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$

the canonical class  $K$  is the divisor of any non-zero meromorphic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$  be non-zero function in the field of meromorphic functions  $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

then, for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $f\omega^m \in H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$  if and only if

$$f \in L(mK) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma); g = 0 \text{ or } \text{div}(g) + mK \geq 0\}$$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

$\mathcal{X}_\Gamma$

# Holomorphic Differentials and $m$ -Weierstrass Points on

$\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$

assume  $g(\Gamma) \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$

assume  $g(\Gamma) \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1 \implies \dim H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma) \neq 0$

assume  $g(\Gamma) \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1 \implies \dim H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma) \neq 0$

Let  $t = \dim H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$ . We fix the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$  of  $H^m(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma)$ . Let  $z$  be any local coordinate on  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$ . Then, locally there exists unique holomorphic functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$  such that  $\omega_i = \varphi_i (dz)^m$ , for all  $i$ . Then, again locally, we can consider the Wronskian  $W_z$  defined by

assume  $g(\Gamma) \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1 \implies \dim H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma) \neq 0$

Let  $t = \dim H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$ . We fix the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$  of  $H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$ . Let  $z$  be any local coordinate on  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$ . Then, locally there exists unique holomorphic functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$  such that  $\omega_i = \varphi_i (dz)^m$ , for all  $i$ . Then, again locally, we can consider the Wronskian  $W_z$  defined by

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1(z) & \cdots & \varphi_t(z) \\ \frac{d\varphi_1(z)}{dz} & \cdots & \frac{d\varphi_t(z)}{dz} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{d^{t-1}\varphi_1(z)}{dz^{t-1}} & \cdots & \frac{d^{t-1}\varphi_t(z)}{dz^{t-1}} \end{vmatrix}$$

the collection of all

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t) (dz)^{\frac{t}{2}(2m-1+t)},$$

defines a non-zero holomorphic differential form

$$W(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t) \in H^{\frac{t}{2}(2m-1+t)}(\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma).$$

called the Wronskian of the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$



the collection of all

$$W_z(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t) (dz)^{\frac{t}{2}(2m-1+t)},$$

defines a non-zero holomorphic differential form

$$W(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t) \in H^{\frac{t}{2}(2m-1+t)}(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma).$$

called the Wronskian of the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$

a different choice of a basis of  $H^m(\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma)$  results in a Wronskian which differ from  $W(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t)$  by a multiplication by a non-zero complex number. Also, the degree is given by

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(W(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t))) = t(2m-1+t)(g(\Gamma)-1).$$

## Definition

Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  is a  $m$ -Weierstrass point if

$$\nu_\alpha(W(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t)) \geq 1.$$

When  $m = 1$  we speak about classical Weierstrass points. So, 1-Weierstrass points are simply Weierstrass points.

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an even integer

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an even integer

Let  $S_m(\Gamma)$  be the space of cuspidal modular forms for  $\Gamma$  of weight  $m$

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an even integer

Let  $S_m(\Gamma)$  be the space of cuspidal modular forms for  $\Gamma$  of weight  $m$

$f \in S_m(\Gamma)$ ,  $f \neq 0$  has a divisor which has the form

$$\operatorname{div}(f) = (\text{the part independent of } f) + \mathfrak{c}_f,$$

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an even integer

Let  $S_m(\Gamma)$  be the space of cuspidal modular forms for  $\Gamma$  of weight  $m$

$f \in S_m(\Gamma)$ ,  $f \neq 0$  has a divisor which has the form

$$\operatorname{div}(f) = (\text{the part independent of } f) + \mathfrak{c}_f,$$

$\mathfrak{c}_f$  is usual effective divisor on the curve  $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma$

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

let  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$  be the space of all  $f \in S_m(\Gamma)$  either  $f = 0$  or  $f$  satisfies

$$c_f \geq \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma, \\ \text{elliptic}}} \left[ \frac{m}{2} (1 - 1/e_a) \right] a + \left( \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma, \\ \text{cusp}}} b$$

## Theorem

For each  $f \in S_m^H(\Gamma)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , there exists unique  $\omega_f \in H^{m/2}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma)$  such that

$$\text{div}(\omega_f) = c_f - \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma, \\ \text{elliptic}}} \left[ \frac{m}{2} (1 - 1/e_a) \right] a - \left( \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma, \\ \text{cusp}}} b.$$

Moreover, the map  $f \mapsto \omega_f$  is an isomorphism of  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$  onto  $H^{m/2}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma)$ .



# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms

We remark that when  $m = 2$ , this reduces to obvious condition  $c_f \geq 0$ . Hence,  $S_2^H(\Gamma) = S_2(\Gamma)$  recovering the standard isomorphism of  $S_2(\Gamma)$  and  $H^1(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma)$

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms and $\frac{m}{2}$ -Weierstrass points

## Theorem

Assume that  $g(\Gamma) \geq 2$ , and  $\alpha_\infty$  is a  $\Gamma$ -cusp. Then, there exists a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  of  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$  such that their  $q$ -expansions are of the form

$$f_u = a_u q^{i_u} + \text{higher order terms in } q, \quad 1 \leq u \leq t,$$

where

$$\frac{m}{2} \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t \leq \frac{m}{2} + m(g(\Gamma) - 1),$$

and

$$a_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_u \neq 0.$$

# Interpretation in terms of cuspidal modular forms and $\frac{m}{2}$ -Weierstrass points

## Theorem

Assume that  $g(\Gamma) \geq 2$ , and  $\alpha_\infty$  is a  $\Gamma$ -cusp. Then,  $\alpha_\infty$  is not a  $\frac{m}{2}$ -Weierstrass point if and only if there exists a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  of  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$  such that their  $q$ -expansions are of the form

$$f_u = a_u q^{u+m/2-1} + \text{higher order terms in } q, \quad 1 \leq u \leq t,$$

where

$$a_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_u \neq 0.$$

# An Algorithm for $X_0(N)$ using SAGE (first part)

Let  $m = 2$ . The last theorem gives as a simple and already well-known way of testing if  $\alpha_\infty$  is usual Weierstrass point for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$

# An Algorithm for $X_0(N)$ using SAGE (first part)

Let  $m = 2$ . The last theorem gives as a simple and already well-known way of testing if  $\alpha_\infty$  is usual Weierstrass point for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$

List a basis of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  in Sage and see if it is of the form

$$f_u = a_u q^u + \text{higher order terms in } q, \quad 1 \leq u \leq t = g(\Gamma),$$

where

$$a_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_u \neq 0.$$

# An Algorithm for $X_0(N)$ using SAGE (first part)

Let  $m = 2$ . The last theorem gives as a simple and already well-known way of testing if  $\alpha_\infty$  is usual Weierstrass point for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$

List a basis of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  in Sage and see if it is of the form

$$f_u = a_u q^u + \text{higher order terms in } q, \quad 1 \leq u \leq t = g(\Gamma),$$

where

$$a_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_u \neq 0.$$

in what follows we discuss the case  $m \geq 4$

# Some properties of the space $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ that goes back to the work of Petri

## Lemma

Let  $m \geq 4$  be an even integer. Let us select a basis  $f_0, \dots, f_{g-1}$ ,  $g = g(\Gamma)$ , of  $S_2(\Gamma)$ . Then, all of  $\binom{g + \frac{m}{2} - 1}{\frac{m}{2}}$  monomials

$f_0^{\alpha_0} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_{g-1}^{\alpha_{g-1}}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \alpha_i = \frac{m}{2}$ , belong to  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ . We denote by  $S_{m,2}^H(\Gamma)$  this subspace of  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ .

## Lemma

Let  $m \geq 4$  be an even integer. Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  is not hyperelliptic (and  $g(\Gamma) \geq 2$ ). Then, we have  $S_{m,2}^H(\Gamma) = S_m^H(\Gamma)$ .

# Some properties of the space $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ that goes back to the work of Petri

## Lemma

Let  $m \geq 4$  be an even integer. Let us select a basis  $f_0, \dots, f_{g-1}$ ,  $g = g(\Gamma)$ , of  $S_2(\Gamma)$ . Then, all of  $\binom{g + \frac{m}{2} - 1}{\frac{m}{2}}$  monomials

$f_0^{\alpha_0} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_{g-1}^{\alpha_{g-1}}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \alpha_i = \frac{m}{2}$ , belong to  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ . We denote by  $S_{m,2}^H(\Gamma)$  this subspace of  $S_m^H(\Gamma)$ .

## Lemma

Let  $m \geq 4$  be an even integer. Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  is not hyperelliptic (and  $g(\Gamma) \geq 2$ ). Then, we have  $S_{m,2}^H(\Gamma) = S_m^H(\Gamma)$ .

the last lemma is crucial for testing if  $\alpha_\infty$  is a  $\frac{m}{2}$ -Weierstrass point (combined with earlier criterion)



# An Algorithm for $X_0(N)$ using SAGE (second part)

## Theorem

Let  $m \geq 4$  be an even integer. Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}_\Gamma$  is not hyperelliptic. Assume that  $\mathfrak{a}_\infty$  is a cusp for  $\Gamma$ . Let us select a basis  $f_0, \dots, f_{g-1}$ ,  $g = g(\Gamma)$ , of  $S_2(\Gamma)$  (listed by their  $q$ -expansions using SAGE system if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ ). Compute  $q$ -expansions of all monomials

$$f_0^{\alpha_0} f_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots f_{g-1}^{\alpha_{g-1}}, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \alpha_i = \frac{m}{2}.$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{a}_\infty$  is not a  $\frac{m}{2}$ -Weierstrass point if and only if there exist  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combinations of such monomials, say  $F_1, \dots, F_t$ ,  $t = (m-1)(g-1)$ , such that their  $q$ -expansions are of the form

$$F_u = a_u q^{u+m/2-1} + \text{higher order terms in } q, \quad 1 \leq u \leq t,$$

where

$$a_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_u \neq 0.$$

# Examples

For  $X_0(34)$  the basis of  $S_4^H(\Gamma_0(34))$  is given by

$$f_0^2 = q^2 - 4q^5 - 4q^6 + 12q^8 + 12q^9 - 2q^{10}$$

$$f_0 f_1 = q^3 - q^5 - 2q^6 - 2q^7 + 2q^8 + 5q^9 + 2q^{10}$$

$$f_0 f_2 = q^4 - 2q^5 - q^6 - q^7 + 6q^8 + 6q^9 + 2q^{10}$$

$$-f_1^2 + f_0 f_2 = -2q^5 + q^6 - q^7 + 5q^8 + 6q^9 + 4q^{10}$$

$$-f_1^2 + f_0 f_2 + 2f_1 f_2 = -3q^6 - 5q^7 + 11q^8 + 16q^9 + 2q^{10}$$

$$-f_1^2 + f_0 f_2 + 2f_1 f_2 + 3f_2^2 = -17q^7 + 17q^8 + 34q^9 + 17q^{10}$$

Their first exponents are

$\frac{m}{2} = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \frac{m}{2} + (m-1)(g-1) - 1 = 7$  which shows that  $\alpha_\infty$  is not 2-Weierstrass point for  $X_0(34)$ .

# Examples

For  $X_0(55)$ , the basis of  $S_4^H(\Gamma_0(55))$  is given by

$$f_0^2 = q^2 - 2q^8 + \dots$$

$$f_0 f_1 = q^3 - 2q^7 + \dots$$

$$f_0 f_2 = q^4 - 2q^7 + \dots$$

$$f_0 f_3 = q^5 - 2q^7 + \dots$$

$$f_0 f_4 = q^6 - 2q^{11} + \dots$$

$$-f_1 f_2 + f_0 f_3 = -2q^7 + q^8 + \dots$$

$$-f_1 f_2 + f_0 f_3 + 2f_2 f_3 = q^8 + 2q^9 + \dots$$

$$-f_1 f_2 + f_0 f_3 + 2f_2 f_3 - f_3^2 = 2q^9 - q^{10} + \dots$$

$$-f_1 f_2 + f_0 f_3 + 2f_2 f_3 - f_3^2 - 2f_3 f_4 = -q^{10} + 11q^{12} + \dots$$

$$-f_1 f_2 + f_0 f_3 + 2f_2 f_3 - f_3^2 - 2f_3 f_4 + f_4^2 = 11q^{12} - 11q^{13} + \dots$$

# Examples

$$\begin{aligned} -f_1 f_2 - f_2^2 + f_0 f_3 + 2f_2 f_3 - f_3^2 + f_0 f_4 - 6f_3 f_4 - f_4^2 &= -22q^{13} + 44q^{15} + \dots \\ -f_2^2 + f_3^2 + f_0 f_4 - f_2 f_4 - 4f_3 f_4 + 2f_4^2 &= -22q^{14} + 22q^{15} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The last exponent is  $14 > \frac{m}{2} + (m-1)(g-1) - 1 = 13$ . So,  $\alpha_\infty$  is a 2-Weierstrass point for  $X_0(55)$ .

**Thank you!**