

Feigin - Semikhatov duality

joint w/ T. Creutzig, S. Nakatsuka, R. Sato

arXiv : 2005.10713 / 2104.00942.

§ 1. W -algebras.

\mathfrak{g} : simple Lie (super) alg.

$V^k(\mathfrak{g})$: affine vertex (super) alg asso. to \mathfrak{g}
at level k .

$f \in \mathfrak{g}$: nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g}_0

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f) := H_{DS, f}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{g}))$$

\uparrow Drinfeld - Sokolov reduction for f .

: W -algebras asso. to \mathfrak{g}, f at level k .

ex. . $f = 0$: $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = V^k(\mathfrak{g})$

. $f = f_{\text{prin}}$: principal nilpotent element
(or regular)

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}) = W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\text{prin}}) : \text{principal } W\text{-alg}$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$: $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \text{Virasoro vertex alg}$
of central charge $1 - 6 \frac{(k+1)^2}{k+2}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$: $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_3) = \text{Zamolodchikov's } W_3\text{-alg}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$: $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n) = \text{Fateev - Lukyanov's } W_n\text{-alg.}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{osp}(1|2)$: $W^k(\text{osp}(1|2)) = N=1 \text{ Super Conformal Alg}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$: $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)) = N=2 \text{ SCA} \dots \text{etc.}$

§ 2. Duality

- Feigin - Frenkel duality

\mathfrak{g} : simple Lie alg

${}^L\mathfrak{g}$: Langlands dual of \mathfrak{g}

$$\Rightarrow W^k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq W^{\ell}({}^L\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $r^{\vee}(k + h^{\vee})(\ell + 4h^{\vee}) = 1$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r^{\vee} = \text{lacing number of } \mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{ADE} \\ 2 & \text{BCF} \\ 3 & \text{G} \end{cases} \\ h^{\vee} = \text{dual Coxeter number of } \mathfrak{g} \\ 4h^{\vee} = \text{of } {}^L\mathfrak{g} \end{array} \right.$$

cf. $k = -h^{\vee}$: Drinfeld's conj

$$\mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \text{Fun Oper}_{\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}}(D^x)$$

Motivation : Generalizations of FF dualities.

cf. G. '17

$$W^k(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)) \simeq W^l(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))$$

$$4(k + n + \frac{1}{2})(l + n + \frac{1}{2}) = 1$$

Today : $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f_{\text{sub}}) \leftrightarrow W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$

\uparrow subregular nilpotent element

• Coset

V : vertex superalg \supset W : vertex subalg

$$\text{Com}(W, V) := \{ A \in V \mid A(z)B(w) \sim 0 \quad \forall B \in W \}$$

coset of W in V .

• $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f_{\text{sub}}) \leftrightarrow W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cup & & \cup \\ \pi^+ & \xleftarrow{\text{Heis. VA}} & \pi^- \\ \text{"} & \text{of } \hbar=1 & \text{"} \\ \langle H^+ \rangle & & \langle H^- \rangle \end{array}$$

Thm 1 (Creutzig - G. - Nakatsuka)

Feigin - Semikhatov duality

(1) $\forall k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $(k+n)(l+n-1) = 1$
 and $k+n \neq \frac{n-1}{n}$. Then

$$\text{Com}(\pi^+, W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}})) \cong \text{Com}(\pi^-, W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1)))$$

(2) $\forall k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $(k+n)(l+n-1) = 1$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Com}(\tilde{\pi}^+, W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}}) \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ \cong W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Com}(\tilde{\pi}^-, W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1)) \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ \cong W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}}). \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}^{\pm} \subset \pi^{\pm} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}}$: Heis. VA of \mathfrak{h}^{\pm} .

$$\langle H^{\pm} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \phi^{\pm} \rangle$$

Idea of proof

Feigin - Frenkel :

Free Field Realizations of $W^k(\mathfrak{g})$
(FFR)

Heis. VA of $\mathfrak{nk} \mathfrak{g}$

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}) \cong W^l(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g})$$

Embeddings are characterized by screening \mathfrak{q} .

if k, l are generic, and then

we can show the isomorphisms,

k, l : non-generic. [Aganagic - Frenkel - Okounkov]

Consider each conformal wt sp.

$$(W^k(\mathfrak{g}))_{\Delta} \cong (W^l(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}))_{\Delta} \quad k, l : \text{continuous}$$

isom holds for dense open set $k \in U \subset \mathbb{C}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^{\vee}\}$$

Feigin - Semikharov : FFR of $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{t}_{\text{sub}})$

Our contributions : FFR of $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$ //

- $W_k(g, \mathfrak{t}) =$ simple quotient of $W^k(g, \mathfrak{t})$.

Thm 2 (CGN)

Thm 1 also holds for $W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{t}_{\text{sub}})$ and $W_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$.

ex. $n = 2$:

affine $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \iff N=2$ SCA

: Kazama - Suzuki coset construction

[Adamovic, Creutzig - Linshaw,
Feigin - Semikharov - Tipunin, Sato etc.]

§ 3. Representation Theory

V : vertex (super) alg.

- V is C_2 -cotinite (or lisse.)

$$\Leftrightarrow \dim V/C_2(V) < \infty.$$

$$C_2(V) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ A_{(-2)}B \mid A, B \in V \}$$

\Rightarrow (+ suitable conditions on V)

The cat. V -mod of generalized modules of V has a fusion product \boxtimes induced from $P(\mathbb{Z})$ -tensor and is \mathbb{C} -lin, additive monoidal braided.

(V : not super \Rightarrow tensor)

- V : rational

$$\Leftrightarrow V\text{-mod is semisimple}$$

(w/ finitely many simple modules)
(up to isoms)

Rational cases: Fix $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

$$k + n = \frac{n+r}{n-1}, \quad (n+r, n-1) = 1, \quad n, r \geq 2.$$

$$\text{Com}(\pi^+, W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}})) \simeq \text{Com}(\pi^-, W_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n,1)))$$

SI Creutzig - Linshaw

$$W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$$

Level - rank duality. (Arakawa - Lam - Yamada,

$$k' + r = \frac{r+n}{r-1}$$

Arakawa - Creutzig - Linshaw
... for small n)

$\Rightarrow W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}})$ is a simple current ext

of $W_{k'}(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ and \exists lattice VA

\uparrow
lisse, rational

(Arakawa)

\uparrow
lisse, rational

(Dong.)

$\Rightarrow W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, t_{\text{sub}})$ is lisse and rational

$\Rightarrow W_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n,1))$ is =

Thm 2.

• $L_k(\mathfrak{g}) :=$ simple quot of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$.

Thm 3 (Creutzig - G. - Nakatsuka - Sato),

k, l, r, K are as above.

(1) There exists one-to-one correspondence between isom classes of simple modules of $L'_K(\mathfrak{sl}_r)$ and \cong of $W_K(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{t}_{\text{sub}})$ that induces an isom of the fusion rings.

(2) We have an explicit description of the fusion ring of $W_K(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$, a parametrization of the simple modules and the character formulae.

cf. Arakawa - van Ekeren : (1).

General levels:

$$(W^+, W^-) = (W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{t}_{\text{sub}}), W^l(\mathfrak{sl}(n,1)))$$

or $(W_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{t}_{\text{sub}}), W_l(\mathfrak{sl}(n,1)))$

M : W^\pm -module.

M is a weight module

$$\Leftrightarrow M = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \Delta} M_{\lambda, \Delta} \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda : \text{Heis. weight} \\ \Delta : \text{conformal weight} \end{array}$$

w/ $\dim M_{\lambda, \Delta} < \infty$.

$\mathcal{L}^\pm =$ category of weight W^\pm -modules

$$\cong \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}^\pm)_{[\lambda]}. \quad \text{block decomp.}$$

$$V^\pm = V_{\sqrt{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}}} \quad \text{Fock } \tilde{\pi}^\pm\text{-mod.}$$

$$W^\pm \otimes V^\pm = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \Omega_\lambda(W^\pm \otimes V^\pm) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_\lambda^\pm$$

\downarrow

$\tilde{\pi}^\pm$ \uparrow $W^\mp\text{-mod.}$

$$F_{\lambda}^{+} : \begin{array}{ccc} (e^{+})_{[\lambda]} & \rightarrow & (e^{-})_{[\lambda^{\vee}]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega_{-\lambda}(M \otimes V^{+}) \end{array}$$

$$F_{\lambda^{\vee}}^{-} : \begin{array}{ccc} (e^{-})_{[\lambda^{\vee}]} & \rightarrow & (e^{+})_{[\lambda]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega_{-\lambda^{\vee}}(N \otimes V^{-}) \end{array}$$

Thm 4 (CGNS)

F_{λ}^{+} gives an equivalence of $(e^{+})_{[\lambda]}$ and $(e^{-})_{[\lambda^{\vee}]}$, and the inverse is $F_{\lambda^{\vee}}^{-}$ //

* To study F_{λ}^{\pm} , we reconstruct F_{λ}^{\pm} by using relative semi-infinite cohomology

I. Frenkel - Garland - Zuckerman :

$$H_{2+i}^{\infty}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1, \mathfrak{gl}_1, \pi_{\lambda} \otimes \pi_{\mu})$$

$$\cong \delta_{i0} \delta_{\lambda+\mu, 0} \mathbb{C}$$

↑ spanned by $|\lambda\rangle \otimes |\mu\rangle$

$$\tilde{V}_\lambda^\pm := V^\pm \otimes \tilde{\pi}_\lambda^\pm$$

$$H^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(W^\pm) := H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\hat{gl}_1, gl_1, W^\pm \otimes \tilde{V}^\pm)$$

$$\cong W^{\bar{F}} \quad \text{as VSA}$$

For $M \in (\mathcal{L}^\pm)_{[\lambda]}$.

$$H_\lambda^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M) := H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\hat{gl}_1, gl_1, M \otimes \tilde{V}_\lambda^\pm)$$

: $W^{\bar{F}}$ -module.

Thm 5 (CGNS)

(1) $F_\lambda^\pm \cong H_\lambda^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(\mathbb{C})$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) Let $M_i \in (\mathcal{L}^\pm)_{[\lambda_i]}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

s.t. $[\lambda_1] + [\lambda_2] = [\lambda_3]$. Then

$$I \begin{pmatrix} M_3 \\ M_1, M_2 \end{pmatrix} \cong I \begin{pmatrix} H_{\lambda_3}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_3) \\ H_{\lambda_1}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_1), H_{\lambda_2}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) If \boxtimes is well-defined,

$$H_{\lambda_1}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_1) \boxtimes H_{\lambda_2}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_2)$$

$$\cong H_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}^{\text{rel.}, \pm}(M_1 \boxtimes M_2) \quad //$$

Idea of proof for (2) (3) is similar)

$C_{\lambda}^{\text{rel}, \pm}(M)$: cpx defining $H_{\lambda}^{\text{rel}, \pm}(M)$

$$f \in I \left(\begin{matrix} M_3 \\ M_1, M_2 \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\leadsto \tilde{f} \in I \left(\begin{matrix} C_{\lambda_3}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_3) \\ C_{\lambda_1}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_1), C_{\lambda_2}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_2) \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\leadsto \begin{matrix} [\tilde{f}] \\ !! \\ H^{\text{rel}, +}(f) \end{matrix} \in I \left(\begin{matrix} H_{\lambda_3}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_3) \\ H_{\lambda_1}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_1), H_{\lambda_2}^{\text{rel}, +}(M_2) \end{matrix} \right)$$

Then we can show that

$$(H^{\text{rel}, -} \circ H^{\text{rel}, +})(f) = f$$

Similarly, $H^{\text{rel}, +} \circ H^{\text{rel}, -} = \text{id}$.

$\therefore H^{\text{rel}, +}$ is an isom.