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## 1 Dual pairs and Howe correspondence

Basic notion: (Howe)

- $W$ : a finite-dimensional real symplectic vector space.
- $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ : a reductive dual pair in $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$, i.e., a pair of subgroups such that
- $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are mutual centralizers of each other;
- $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ act reductively on $W$.

Irreducible reductive dual pairs (seven families):

- Type II: correspond to a division algebra D

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{R})\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{2 m n}(\mathbb{R}) \\
& \left(\mathrm{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{4 m n}(\mathbb{R}) \\
& \left(\mathrm{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{H}), \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{H})\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{8 m n}(\mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Type I: correspond to a division algebra D with involution $\square$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathrm{O}_{p, q}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}_{2(p+q) n}(\mathbb{R}) \\
\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}_{4 p n}(\mathbb{R}) \\
\left(\mathrm{U}_{p, q}, \mathrm{U}_{r, s}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{2(p+q)(r+s)}(\mathbb{R}) \\
\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{p, q}, \mathrm{O}_{2 n}^{*}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}_{4(p+q) n}(\mathbb{R})
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ : a reductive dual pair in $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$.

- Fix an oscillator (or Weil) representation $\widehat{\omega}$ (by fixing a nontrivial unitary character on $\mathbb{R})$. This is a unitary representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)$ (the real metaplectic group), constructed by Segal, Shale and Weil.
- Let $\omega$ be the associated smooth representation, called a smooth oscillator representation.
- For any reductive subgroup $E$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$, denote $\widetilde{E}$ its inverse image in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)$, and by $\operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{E}, \omega)$ the subset of $\operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{E})$ which are realizable as quotients by $\omega(\widetilde{E})$-invariant closed subspaces of $\omega$.
- Howe duality theorem: The set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\widetilde{G} \cdot \widetilde{G^{\prime}}, \omega\right)$ is the graph of a bijection between $\operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\widetilde{G^{\prime}}, \omega\right)$. Moreover any element $\pi \otimes \pi^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\widetilde{G} \cdot \widetilde{G^{\prime}}, \omega\right)$ occurs as a quotient of $\omega$ in a unique way.
- The Howe duality conjecture also holds true for $p$-adic local fields:
- works of Waldspurger, Minguez, Gan-Takeda, Gan-Sun


## A variant formulation of Howe duality:

- Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\widetilde{G})$ (finitely generated admissible quasisimple).
- The full theta lift of $\pi$ :

$$
\Theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi):=\left(\omega \widehat{\otimes} \pi^{\vee}\right)_{\widetilde{G}} \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(\widetilde{G^{\prime}}\right)
$$

(the subscript $\widetilde{G}$ indicating the Hausdorff $\widetilde{G}$-coinvariant space).

- The theta lift $\theta_{\tilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ :
the largest semisimple quotient of $\Theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$.
- If $\pi$ is irreducible, then $\theta_{\tilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$ is irreducible or zero.


## Fundamental tasks:

- Given $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G})$, determine $\theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$; or at least determine whether $\theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi) \neq 0$.
- Construct interesting (e.g. unitary) representations from this formalism.


## 2 Compact dual pairs

Let $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ Sp be a reductive dual pair, with $G$ compact.

- $G^{\prime}$ is of Hermitian symmetric type.
- There is a character $\chi$ of $\widetilde{G}$ such that $\left.\chi^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\omega}\right|_{\widetilde{G}}$ factors through the linear group $G$. Representations which occur in $\left.\omega\right|_{\widetilde{G}}$ are of the form $\chi \otimes \sigma$. where $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$.

Kashiwara-Vergne and Howe: (1970's)

- The restriction of the unitary representation $\widehat{\omega}$ to $\widetilde{G} \cdot \widetilde{G^{\prime}}$ is a discrete and multiplicity-free sum.
- We have the decomposition

$$
\widehat{\omega} \simeq \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}(\chi \otimes \sigma) \boxtimes L(\sigma),
$$

where $L(\sigma)$ is an irreducible unitary lowest weight representation of $\widetilde{G^{\prime}}$ or zero.

- $L(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma$ occurs in the space of harmonics, which is a multiplicity-free representation of the compact group $G \times \widetilde{K^{\prime}}$.
- The map $\sigma \mapsto L(\sigma)$ is injective in its domain and is described explicitly by the pairing of $\sigma$ with the lowest $\widetilde{K^{\prime}}$-type of $L(\sigma)$ in the space of harmonics.

Example: $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{O}(p), \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

- If $p \leq n$, then

$$
L(\sigma) \neq 0, \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)
$$

- If $p>n$, then

$$
L(\sigma) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma \text { occurs in } \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{O}(p) / \mathrm{O}(p-n))
$$

where $\mathrm{O}(p-n)$ embeds in $\mathrm{O}(p)$ in the standard way.

- If $p>2 n$, then the $L(\sigma)$ 's $(\neq 0)$ are members of the holomorphic discrete series.


## More on unitary lowest weight representations

- Enright-Parthasarathy: For $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{U}_{r, s}$, all irreducible unitary lowest weight representations of $\widetilde{G^{\prime}}$ arise in this manner (by varying all possible $G^{\prime}$ 's which are compact).
- For $G^{\prime}=\mathrm{O}_{2 n}^{*}$, there are some minor exceptions.
- Enright-Howe-Wallach classifies irreducible unitary lowest weight representations of any covering of $G^{\prime}$ (internally).
- Nishiyama-Ochiai-Taniguchi determines the associated cycles of unitary lowest weight representations (via the model from the Howe correspondence)


## 3 Stable range theta lifting

- Consider a type I irreducible dual pair

$$
\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)=\left(G(V), G\left(V^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $V, V^{\prime}$ are the standard modules over D (which resp. carry $\epsilon$-Hermition and $\epsilon^{\prime}$-Hermitian forms, with $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=-1$ ).

- The dual pair $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be in stable range with $G$ the smaller member, if
- there exists a totally isotropic subspace $V_{1}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}(V) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
- Notation: $2 G \leq G^{\prime}$.

Works of Howe, Li: (1980's)

- Suppose that $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ is in the stable range with $G$ the smaller member, then Howe correspondence gives rise to an injection

$$
\theta:(\widetilde{G})_{g e n}^{\wedge} \hookrightarrow\left(\widetilde{G^{\prime}}\right)_{g e n}^{\wedge}
$$

(The symbol $\wedge$ indicates the unitary dual; the subscript gen indicates the genuine part.)

- There are two messages:
$-(\widetilde{G})_{\text {gen }}^{\wedge} \subset \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G}, \omega)$, namely the whole set $(\widetilde{G})_{\text {gen }}^{\wedge}$ is in the domain of Howe correspondence;
- Stable range theta lifting preserves the unitarity.

A more concrete way to describe the stable range correspondence:

- Fix a totally isotropic subspace $V_{1}^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}(V)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and let $P^{\prime}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ preserving $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$, with the unipotent radical $N^{\prime}$. We have

$$
P^{\prime} \supset H^{\prime}:=\mathrm{GL}\left(\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \cdot N^{\prime} \supset G \cdot N^{\prime} .
$$

Then $\left.\theta(\pi)\right|_{\widetilde{H^{\prime}}}$ is irreducible and

$$
\left.\theta(\pi)\right|_{\widetilde{H^{\prime}}} \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{G} \cdot N^{\prime}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \cdot N^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\vee} \otimes \rho^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $\rho^{\prime}$ is a certain oscillator-Heisenberg representation of $\widetilde{G} \cdot N^{\prime}$.

## Notion of rank: Howe

- This is defined via the $Z N$-spectrum, where $N$ is the unipotent radical of a certain maximal parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$.
- For $G=\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$, we have the decomposition of its unitary dual according to the $N$-rank: ( $P$ : the Siegel parabolic subgroup)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{\wedge} & =\bigcup_{r \leq n}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)_{r}^{\wedge} \\
& =\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)_{n}^{\wedge} \bigcup\left(\bigcup_{r<n}\left(\bigcup_{\operatorname{rank} \beta=r}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)_{\beta}^{\wedge}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$-\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)_{r}^{\wedge}: N$-rank $r$
$-\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})\right)_{\beta}^{\wedge}: N$-spectral type $\beta$ ( $\beta$ : a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix)

- The larger the rank of an irreducible unitary representation, the faster its matrix coefficients tend to decay.
- This is a quantitative version of the Howe-Moore Theorem.
"Classification" of low rank representations: (Li)
- For $G^{\prime}=\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$, a symmetric matrix $\beta$ of rank $r<n$ determines an orthogonal group $G=\mathrm{O}(p, q)$, with $p+q=r$. Representations in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})_{\beta}$ consist precisely of theta lifts from irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$.
- Similar results hold for low rank irreducible unitary representations of other classical groups.


## 4 Concrete models via integration

The following is a general idea to establish unitarity preservation, and it first appeared in Li's Yale thesis.

- Given $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\widetilde{G})_{g e n}$, consider the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega \times \pi^{\vee} \times \bar{\omega} \times \pi & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\left(\phi, v^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, v\right) & \mapsto \int_{G}\left\langle\tilde{g} \cdot \phi, \phi^{\prime}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\tilde{g} \cdot v^{\prime}, v\right\rangle d g
\end{aligned}
$$

- If it is absolutely convergent, it yields a continuous bilinear map

$$
\left(\omega \widehat{\otimes} \pi^{\vee}\right) \times(\bar{\omega} \widehat{\otimes} \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

and (by a slight variant) a $\widetilde{G^{\prime}}$-invariant Hermitian form on $\omega \widehat{\otimes} \pi^{\vee}$, if $\pi$ is unitary.

- Define

$$
\overline{\theta_{\tilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}}(\pi):=\frac{\omega \widehat{\otimes} \pi^{\vee}}{\text { the left kernel of the bilinear map }}
$$

This is a quotient of $\Theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$, and hence in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(\widetilde{G^{\prime}}\right)_{\text {gen }}$.

- The challenge in establishing unitary preservation:
- Prove that $\bar{\theta} \widetilde{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$ is non-zero.
- Prove that the induced Hermitian form on $\overline{\theta_{\widetilde{G}}} \widetilde{G}^{\prime}(\pi)$ is positive definite.
- Success cases (for unitarity preservation):
- Li: for any unitary $\pi$ when $2 G \leq G^{\prime}$, and for (most) $\pi$ in the discrete series when $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}(V) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.
- He: for (most) $\pi$ is in the so-called strongly semistable range.
- Need some additional constraints on $\pi$ to prove nonvanishing.

Barbasch-Ma-Sun-Z: a general result on unitarity preservation

- Notion of convergent range, based on a suitable bound of matrix coefficients (the benchmark function is defined in terms of the standard module $V$ ).
- Assume a mild condition on sizes (on $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{D}}(V)$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ ), and a mild condition on $\pi$ ("overconvergent"):

$$
\pi \text { is unitary } \Longrightarrow \bar{\theta}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi) \text { is unitary. }
$$

## Remark:

- Given that $\bar{\theta} \overline{\tilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$ is unitary, it is a semisimple quotient of $\Theta_{\tilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$. Thus if $\pi$ is irreducible and $\bar{\theta} \widetilde{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi) \neq\{0\}$, then Howe Duality Theorem implies that $\theta_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)=\bar{\theta} \frac{\widetilde{G}_{\tilde{G}}^{\prime}}{\widetilde{G}^{\prime}}(\pi)$ and is irreducible.

Harris-Li-Sun: (source of unitary structure)
Let $G$ be a real reductive group with a maximal compact subgroup $K$.

- $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ : two unitary representations of $G$ such that $\pi_{2}$ is weakly contained in the regular representation.
- $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}$ : vectors in $\pi_{1}$ such that the integral $\int_{G}\left\langle g u_{i}, u_{j}\right\rangle \Xi_{G}(g) \mathrm{d} g$ is absolutely convergent.
- $v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{r}: K$-finite vectors in $\pi_{2}$.

Then the integral $\int_{G}\langle g u, u\rangle \mathrm{d} g$ absolutely converges to a nonnegative real number, where $u:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} \otimes v_{i} \in \pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{2}$.

Remark: Applied to the Howe duality setting, it implies convergence will ensure unitarity presevation after passing the point of $G$-temperedness.

## 5 Theta lifting and invariants of representations

- To have good control of the lifting process, a basic technique is to understand how fundamental invariants such as infinitesimal characters and $K$-types (joint harmonics) behalf under theta lifting.
- Other fundamental invariants such as (Vogan's) associated cycles and generalized Whittaker models should also be utilized.
- The key lies in the moment maps.
- $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant version:

where $\phi(T)=T^{*} T$ and $\phi^{\prime}(T)=T T^{*}$.
- The associated cycles in the theta lifting setting have an upper bound via geometric theta lift (for nilpotent $K_{\mathbb{C} \text {-orbits) }}$.

Barbasch-Ma-Sun-Z: If $\mathcal{O}=\nabla\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ is regular descent, then

$$
\operatorname{AC}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}\left(\Theta\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)\right) \preceq \check{\vartheta}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{AC}_{\mathcal{O}}(\pi)\right) .
$$

(This generalizes earlier work of Nishiyama-Ochiai-Taniguchi, Nishiyama-Zhu and Loke-Ma.)

- The generalized Whittaker models in the theta lifting setting have an equality via geometric theta lift (for nilpotent $G$-orbits).

Gomez-Z: If $\mathcal{O}=\nabla\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ is regular descent, then

$$
\mathrm{Wh}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}}\left(\Theta\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)\right) \simeq \mathrm{Wh}_{\mathcal{O}, \Theta\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)}{ }^{\vee}(\pi)
$$

(This is an effective tool for showing nonvanishing.)

## 6 Application: special unipotent representations

- Work of Barbasch-Ma-Sun-Z, stated informally: by starting from unitary characters and applying iterated theta lifting (in a controlled fashion), one can obtain all special unipotent representations of a real classical group $G$ (attached to a nilpotent orbit $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ satisfying some parity condition).
- This also holds for the real metaplectic group, where we replace the term "special" by a notion called "metaplectic special".
- We have an associated notion of metaplectic Barbasch-Vogan duality, similar to the Barbasch-Vogan duality for reductive linear groups.

| Label $\star$ | Classical Lie Group $G$ | Langlands dual group $\check{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A^{\mathbb{R}}$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $A^{\mathbb{H}}$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{H})(n$ even $)$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $A$ | $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $\widetilde{A}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}(p, q)$ | $\mathrm{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $B$ | $\mathrm{O}(p, q)(p+q$ odd $)$ | $\mathrm{Sp}_{p+q-1}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $D$ | $\mathrm{O}(p, q)(p+q$ even $)$ | $\mathrm{O}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $C$ | $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $\widetilde{C}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $D^{*}$ | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2 n)$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $C^{*}$ | $\mathrm{Sp}\left(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2}\right)(p, q$ even $)$ | $\mathrm{O}_{p+q+1}(\mathbb{C})$ |

- Given a $\check{G}$-orbit $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ in $\operatorname{Nil}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$, one attaches an infinitesimal character $\chi_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ (via an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple containing $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ ).
- By a theorem of Dixmier, there exists a unique maximal $G$-stable ideal of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ that contains the kernel of $\chi_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$. Write $I_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ for this ideal.
- The associated variety of $I_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ is the closure of a nilpotent $G_{\mathbb{C} \text {-orbit }}$ $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.
$-\mathcal{O}$ is called the Barbasch-Vogan dual of $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ and is special in the sense of Lusztig.
- Everything works for the metaplectic group (replaced with metaplectic Barbasch-Vogan duality and metaplectic special).

Definition: (Barbasch-Vogan) An irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation $\pi$ of $G$ is said to be special unipotent attached to $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ if $I_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ annihilates $\pi$.

Remark: The notion was motivated by Arthur's conjecture on unipotent automorphic forms.

Notation: $\operatorname{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$, the set of equivalent classes of irreducible Casselman-Wallach representations of $G$ that are special unipotent attached to $\check{\mathcal{O}}$.

- In [BMSZ1] and [BMSZ2], we parameterize and explicitly construct all special unipotent representations of the real classical groups $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{H}), \mathrm{U}(p, q), \mathrm{O}(p, q), \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}^{*}(2 n)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q), \mathrm{O}_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$, as well as all metaplectic special unipotent representations of $\widetilde{S p}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$.
- BMSZ1: Special unipotent representations of real classical groups: counting and reduction to good parity, arXiv:2205.05266.
- BMSZ2: Special unipotent representations of real classical groups: construction and unitarity, arXiv:1712.05552.

For groups of type $B, C$ or $D$, the steps involved are as follows:

-     * Count the set $\operatorname{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$ via combinatorial objects
- Tools: coherent continuation representations, theory of primitive ideals, double cells and Harish-Chandra cells, branching laws of Weyl group representations, ... (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Lusztig, Joseph, Vogan, Barbasch-Vogan, Casian, ...)
- Reduce the problem of construction to the case when $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ has good parity (via irreducible parabolic induction)
- Tools: Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan, Renard-Trapa
- Construct representations in $\operatorname{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$ by iterated theta lifting when $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ has good parity
- Tool: combinatorial descent (chasing combinatorial parameters)
- *Distinguish representations via associated cycles
- Tools: moment maps, geometric theta lifting, doubling method, degenerate principal series, ...
- This establishes the exhaustion.

Example: $G=\mathrm{Sp}(28, \mathbb{R}), \check{G}=\mathrm{O}(29, \mathbb{C})$.


$$
\mathrm{PP}_{\star}(\check{\mathcal{O}})=\{(1,2),(5,6)\}
$$

Painted bipartition (with symbols $\bullet, s, r, c, d$ ) and descent:


Corresponding Lie groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Sp}(28, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(10,10) \\
\rightarrow \quad & \mathrm{Sp}(14, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(5,5) \\
\rightarrow \quad & \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(2,0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(0, \mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

A themetic diagram: combinatorics, analysis and geometry


Barbasch-Ma-Sun-Z: (confirming the Arthur-Barbasch-Vogan conjecture for real classical groups)

- All special unipotent representations of the real classical groups are unitarizable;
- all metaplectic special unipotent representations of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ are also unitarizable.


## Remarks:

- The unitarizability of special unipotent representations for quasisplit classical groups is independently due to Adams, Arancibia Robert and Mezo, as a consequence of their result

$$
\text { Arthur packet }=\text { ABV packet. }
$$

- The result is also true for the real Spin groups.

Other findings: (besides construction and unitarity)

- We determine the associated cycle of any special unipotent representation. (This is actually very difficult.)
- If $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ is quasi-distinguished, then the associated cycle map induces a bijection

$$
\mathrm{AC}_{\mathcal{O}}: \operatorname{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{AOD}(\mathcal{O})
$$

$-\check{\mathcal{O}}$ is called quasi-distinguished if there is no odd $i$ if $\star \in\left\{C, \widetilde{C}, C^{*}\right\}$, and no even $i$ if $\star \in\left\{B, D, D^{*}\right\}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{r}_{i}(\check{\mathcal{O}})=\mathbf{r}_{i+1}(\check{\mathcal{O}})>0
$$

- 

$$
\operatorname{AOD}(\mathcal{O}):=\bigsqcup_{\mathscr{O} \text { is a } K_{\mathbb{C}} \text {-orbit in } \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}^{*}} \operatorname{AOD}(\mathscr{O})
$$

where $\operatorname{AOD}(\mathscr{O})$ is the set of isomorphism classes of admissible orbit data over $\mathscr{O}$.

## Thank you!

