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## Background

- Let $G$ be a connected real reductive Lie group. A longstanding problem in representation theory of $G$ is to classify $\hat{G}$, the set of all unitarizable irreducible ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-modules.
- In their 1998 Annals paper, Salamanca-Riba and Vogan proposed that one can reduce the study of $\widehat{G}$ to representations $\pi$ with unitarily small lowest $K$-types.
- In order for their reduction argument to work, one needs to prove a (non-)unitarity conjecture involving the infinitesimal character of $\pi$.
- In this talk, we propose a slightly stronger non-unitary conjecture, which immediately implies the SV-conjecture.
- A proof of the generalized conjecture when $G=G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ will be given, where $\widehat{G}$ is known by Vogan. This approach is applicable to other groups such as $U(p, q)$, where $G$ is not yet known.
- If this talk makes any sense at all, it is due to Professor Adams and Vogan for their great efforts to run the weekly atlas seminar and making it available online!


## Vogan's definition of $\lambda_{a}$

- Let $G$ be connected real reductive Lie group with maximal compact $K$. Let $H=T A$ be the fundamental Cartan subgroup ( $T$ is maximal torus of $K$ ).
- Write $\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{k}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}=\mathfrak{t}_{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ be the Lie algebras, and $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{t}+\mathfrak{a}$ be their complexifications.
- Fix root systems $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})=\Delta(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{t}) \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$, and a positive root system $\Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$.
- For all dominant weights $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$, choose $\Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) \supseteq \Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$ making $\mu+2 \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ dominant. Define $\lambda_{a}(\mu):=P\left(\mu+2 \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}-\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)$, where $P$ is the projection onto the dominant $W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$-chamber (see Vogan's green book for more details).


## Theorem (Vogan)

Let $\Pi_{a}^{\lambda_{a}}(G):=\left\{\begin{array}{c|c}\pi \text { adm. irred. } \\ (\mathfrak{g}, K) \text {-module }\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}\text { a lowest } K \text {-type } V_{\mu} \text { of } \pi \\ \text { satisfies } \lambda_{a}(\mu)=\lambda_{a}\end{array}\right.\right\}$. Then there is a bijection

$$
\Phi: \Pi_{a}^{\lambda_{a}-\rho\left(u\left(\lambda_{a}\right)\right)}\left(G\left(\lambda_{a}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \Pi_{a}^{\lambda_{a}}(G),
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}\left(\lambda_{a}\right)=\mathfrak{g}\left(\lambda_{a}\right)+\mathfrak{u}\left(\lambda_{a}\right)$ be the theta-stable parabolic subalgebra defined by $\lambda_{a} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$, and $\Phi$ is given by cohomological induction and picking the appropriate composition factor.

## Salamanca-Riba and Vogan's definition of $\lambda_{u}$

- Unfortunately, the bijection $\Phi$ in the previous page does not preserve unitarity.
- In [SV], Salamanca-Riba and Vogan tried to remedy the problem by 'enlarging the theta-stable Levi' or equivalently, projecting more $\mu$ to 0 .
- For all $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$, define $\lambda_{u}(\mu):=P\left(\mu+2 \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}-2 \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)$.


## Theorem (SV)

Let $\Pi_{h}^{\lambda_{u}}(G):=\left\{\begin{array}{c|c}\pi \text { adm. irred. Hermitian } \\ (\mathfrak{g}, K) \text {-module } & \begin{array}{c}\text { lowest } K \text {-type } V_{\mu} \text { of } \pi \\ \text { satisfies } \lambda_{u}(\mu)=\lambda_{u}\end{array}\end{array}\right\}$. Then there is a bijection $\Pi_{h}^{\lambda_{u}}\left(G\left(\lambda_{u}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \Pi_{h}^{\lambda_{u}}(G)$.

## Conjecture (SV)

The above bijection preserves unitarity.

- Assuming the conjecture holds, then one can reduce the study of $\widehat{G}$ to the representations $\pi$ whose lowest $K$-types $V_{\mu}$ satisfies $G\left(\lambda_{u}(\mu)\right)=G$.
- Such $K$-types are called unitarily small.


## The conjecture

It was proved in [SV] that the following conjecture implies the reduction argument:

## Conjecture (SV, Conjecture 5.7)

Let $\pi$ be an admissible, Hermitian, irreducible representation with a unitarily small lowest $K$-type $\mu$ and real infinitesimal character $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. If $\Lambda$ does not lie in

$$
\lambda_{u}(\mu)+\left(\text { convex hull of } W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cdot \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\right),
$$

then the Hermitian form of $\pi$ has opposite signatures on the level of unitarily small K-types.

## Conjecture (Dong, Vogan)

Under the same hypothesis, suppose $\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle>1$ for some simple coroot $\alpha_{i}^{\vee}$ of the root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, then the same conclusion of the above conjecture holds.

- In the special case when $G$ is split and $\mu=0$ is the trivial representation, the above statements are precisely what Vogan conjectured on Tuesday!
- We will prove this refined conjecture for $G=G L(n, \mathbb{C})$.


## Unitarily small K-types for complex groups

- When $G$ is a complex group treated as a real group, one has the complexifications $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{0} \times \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{0} \times \mathfrak{h}_{0}$, and the identifications:

$$
\mathfrak{k}=\left\{\left(X,-X^{t}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}: X \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right\} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{0} ; \quad \mathfrak{t}=\left\{(H,-H): x \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right\} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{0} .
$$

- Using the above dictionary, we 'translate' information of the positive roots $\Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$ into our more familiar coordinates $\Delta^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$ :
$\begin{array}{ccll} & \frac{\Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})}{} & & \frac{\Delta^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)}{\mu} \\ \text { Positive compact root } \Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}): & (\mu / 2,-\mu / 2) & \longleftrightarrow & 2 \\ \text { Sum of positive compact roots } 2 \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}: & (\rho,-\rho) & \longleftrightarrow & 2 \rho \\ \text { Half sum of all positive roots } \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}: & (\rho,-\rho) & \longleftrightarrow & 2 \rho\end{array}$
(here $\mu \in \Delta^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$, and $\rho:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)} \alpha$ )
- Therefore, $\lambda_{a}(\mu)=\mu$, and $\lambda_{u}(\mu)=P(\mu-2 \rho)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{*}$-coordinates.
- In other words, the $K$-type $\mu$ is unitarily small iff it lies inside the convex hull with vertices equal to the $W\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$-orbit of $2 \rho$.


## Hermitian modules for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$

By the classification of irreducible representations of complex groups, all irreducible, Hermitian representations of $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ with real infinitesimal characters are characterized by the Zhelobenko parameters $\pi=J\left(\lambda_{L} ; \lambda_{R}\right)$, with

$$
\left.\left.\binom{\lambda_{L}}{\lambda_{R}}=\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
\cdots\left|\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{m_{p}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{m_{p}}{2}\right)+\underline{\nu}_{p} \\
-\left(\frac{m_{p}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{m_{p}}{2}\right)+\underline{\nu}_{p}
\end{array}\right|-\left(\frac{m_{p+1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{m_{p+1}}{2}\right)+\underline{\nu}_{p+1} \\
2
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \ldots, \frac{m_{p+1}}{2}\right)+\underline{\nu}_{p+1} \right\rvert\, \ldots\right),
$$

where:

- $\cdots>m_{p}>m_{p+1}>\cdots$ are integers;
- $\underline{\nu}_{p}=\left(\nu_{p, 1}, \nu_{p, 2}, \ldots,-\nu_{p, 2},-\nu_{p, 1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(\# \text { of } \frac{m_{p}}{2}{ }^{\prime} s\right)}$ is symmetric.
- The lowest $K$-type of $\pi$ is $\mu=\left(\cdots ; m_{p}, \ldots, m_{p} ; m_{p+1}, \ldots, m_{p+1} ; \cdots\right)$.
- By earlier discussions, $\mu$ is unitarily small $\Leftrightarrow P(\mu-2 \rho)=0 \Leftrightarrow$ $m_{p}-m_{p+1} \leq 2$ for all $p$.


## SV-Conjecture for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$

Consequently, if $\pi=J\left(\lambda_{L} ; \lambda_{R}\right)$ is irreducible, Hermitian with real infinitesimal character and unitarily small lowest $K$-type, $\lambda_{L}$ must look like:

or $\ldots{ }^{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{2}+\nu_{p, 1} \cdots \cdots \frac{m_{p}}{2}-\nu_{p, 1}\right)}$

$$
\left(\frac{m_{p+1}^{-}}{2}+\nu_{p+1,1} \cdots \frac{m_{p+1}}{2}-\nu_{p+1,1}\right)
$$

with $\frac{m_{p}}{2}-\frac{m_{p+1}}{2} \leq 1$, and our refined conjecture says the following:

## Conjecture

Let $\pi=J\left(\lambda_{L} ; \lambda_{R}\right)$ be as given above, so that the lowest $K$-type of $\pi$ is unitarily small. Reorder the coordinates of $\lambda_{L} \sim\left(\ell_{1} \geq \ell_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \ell_{n}\right)$ in descending order. Suppose there exists $i$ such that $\ell_{i}-\ell_{i+1}>1$, then the Hermitian form on $\pi$ is indefinite on some unitarily small $K$-types of $\pi$.

## Proof of SV-Conjecture for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ - Spherical Case

We begin by proving the conjecture in the spherical case $\pi_{s p}=J(\lambda ; \lambda)$, i.e. there
is only one block $\lambda=\nu=$


We apply the following algorithm:
(1) Starting from the largest coordinate $L$ of $\lambda$, find the longest string of descending integers $\mathcal{S}=(L, L-1, \ldots, L-k)$ in $\lambda$.
(2) Remove a copy of the elements in $\mathcal{S}$ from $\lambda$, and repeat Step (1) until there are no coordinates left.

## Theorem

Let $\pi_{s p}=J(\lambda ; \lambda)$, and $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ are the strings obtained from $\lambda$ in the above algorithm. Then the induced module

$$
I(\lambda):=\operatorname{Ind} \prod_{\prod_{i} G L\left(p_{i}\right)}^{G L(n)}\left(\otimes_{i}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{i}}\right)
$$

(here $s_{i}:=2$ (mean of the entries of $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ )) has the same trivial and adjoint K-type multiplicities and signatures as $\pi_{\text {sp }}$. Consequently, if $I(\lambda)$ has opposite signatures on these two $K$-types, then so does $\pi_{s p}$ !

## Proof of SV-Conjecture for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ - Spherical Case

Here is a sketch proof of the refined SV-conjecture for $\pi_{s p}=J(\lambda ; \lambda)$ :

- Suppose $\lambda \sim\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$ has a gap $\ell_{j}-\ell_{j+1}>1$ for some $j$, then $\ell_{n-j}-\ell_{n-j+1}>1$ by symmetry of $\lambda$.
- So the strings of $\lambda$ must 'break' at both $\ell_{j}, \ell_{j+1}$ and $\ell_{n-j}, \ell_{n-j+1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1} \\
& \left(\ell_{1} \cdots\right) \cdots \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\cdots & \ell_{j}
\end{array}\right)^{\ell_{j+1}, \cdots, \ell_{n-j}}\left(\ell_{n-j+1} \cdots\right)^{\cdots}\left(\cdots \ell_{n}\right) \\
& \mathcal{S}_{q} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{q}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Deform $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{q}$, to $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\prime}$ to $t \rightarrow-\infty$ simultaneously.
- Then we get a family of induced modules $I(\lambda(t))$ corresponding to the deformed strings for all $t \geq 0$, with $I(\lambda(0))=I(\lambda)$.
- Since $\ell_{j}-\ell_{j+1}=\ell_{n-j}-\ell_{n-j+1}>1$, the multiplicity and signature of $I(\lambda(t))$ remain the same on the level of adjoint $K$-type for all $t \geq 0$.
- When $t$ is big, $I(\lambda(t))$ has 'big' infinitesimal character, so one can apply Dirac inequality to $I(\lambda(t))$ to conclude that $I(\lambda(0))=I(\lambda)$, and hence $\pi_{\text {sp }}$ have indefinite forms on the trivial and adjoint $K$-type.

Side note: Arguments of this kind appeared in Bang-Jensen's work in the early 90 s!

## Proof of SV-Conjecture for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ - General Case

Now go to the general case, i.e. $\pi=J\left(\lambda_{L} ; \lambda_{R}\right)$ with


- By Vogan's $\lambda_{a}$-bijection $\Phi: \Pi_{a}^{\lambda_{a}^{\prime}}(L) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \Pi_{a}^{\lambda_{a}}(G), L=\prod_{p} G L\left(\#\right.$ of $\left.\frac{m_{p}}{2}\right)$ and

$$
\Phi\left(\wedge^{t o p_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}} \otimes\left(\boxtimes_{p} \pi_{p}\right)\right)=\pi, \quad \text { where } \pi_{p}=\operatorname{det}^{m_{p}} \otimes J\left(\nu_{p} ; \nu_{p}\right) .
$$

- Consider $\pi_{p}$. If there is a ' $>1$ gap' in the coordinates $\nu_{p}$, then $\pi_{p}$ has opposite signatures on the lowest $K$-type ( $m_{p}, \ldots, m_{p}$ ) and the adjoint $K$-type ( $m_{p}+\mathbf{1}, m_{p}, \ldots, m_{p}, m_{p}-\mathbf{1}$ ).
- These $K$-types are $L$-bottom layer, so $\Phi$ preserves their signatures.
- Therefore, $\pi$ has indefinite forms on the lowest $K$-type and $\left(\cdots, m_{p-1}, m_{p}+\mathbf{1}, m_{p}, \ldots, m_{p}, m_{p}-\mathbf{1}, m_{p+1}, \cdots\right)$.
Consequently, the coordinates of $\nu_{p}$ has gap $\leq 1$ for all $p$. So all $>1$ gaps in $\lambda_{L}$ (if any) must occur between two different blocks. However, by unitarily small condition, the values of $\frac{m_{p}}{2}, \frac{m_{p+1}}{2}$ blocks differ by $\leq 1$ !


## Other groups?

- We expect similar techniques can be applied to other groups. For instance, all complex reductive groups have Levi subgroups consisting of Type $A$ factors.
- The same goes for $U(p, q)$ : Any real Levi subgroup of $U(p, q)$ is a product of $G L(k, \mathbb{C})$ 's with $U\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$.
- By Barbasch, Salamanca-Riba (which I learnt from Vogan's talks), the Langlands parameters for representations of $U(p, q)$ can be represented by 'blocks' such as:

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N}$.
- These blocks just behaves like the
 blocks in $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$.
- There are several theoretical obstacles to overcome, such as bottom layer arguments may not work nicely, composition factors of induced modules and so on...


## Fundamental blocks for $U(p, q)$

- But these difficulties can be overcome!
- Recall that in $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$, the fundamental case to study is the


## (pseudo)-spherical block

$$
\stackrel{\stackrel{m_{p}}{2}}{\nu_{p}} \stackrel{\frac{\pi m_{1}}{2}}{\nu_{p}}
$$

- Once the above case is understood, the general case for $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ follows from bottom layer $K$-type arguments.
- In $U(p, q)$, the fundamental cases to study are the semi-spherical blocks, for example:



## Thank you!

