

6A-Algebra and its Representations

Nina Yu

Xiamen University
(Joint with Chongying Dong and Xiangyu Jiao)

June 24, 2019

Outline

Basics/background

6A-Algebra

Main Results

Basics

Let $(V, Y, 1, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. Let $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$ denote the vertex operator of V for $v \in V$, where $v_n \in \text{End}(V)$.

Definition. Let V be a Moonshine type VOA. For $u, v \in V_2$ we can define a product $u \cdot v = u_1 v$ and an inner product $u_3 v = \langle u, v \rangle 1$. The inner product is invariant, that is $\langle u_1 v, w \rangle = \langle v, u_1 w \rangle$ for $u, v, w \in V_2$. With the product and the inner product V_2 becomes an algebra, which is called the ***Griess algebra*** of V .

Basics

Definition. A vector $v \in V_2$ is called a **conformal vector** with central charge c_v if it satisfies $v_1 v = 2v$ and $v_3 v = \frac{c_v}{2} 1$. Then the operator $L_n^v := v_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the Virasoro commutation relation

$$[L_m^v, L_n^v] = (m - n) L_{m+n}^v + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} c_v.$$

A conformal vector $v \in V_2$ with central charge $1/2$ is called **Ising vector** if v generates the Virasoro VOA $L(1/2, 0)$.

Moonshine VOA V^\sharp and the Monster group \mathbb{M}

The Monster simple group \mathbb{M} is realized as the automorphism group of the Moonshine VOA V^\sharp .

\mathbb{M} is a 6-transposition group, i.e., \mathbb{M} is generated by some $2A$ -involutions and it satisfies $|\phi\psi| \leq 6$ for any two $2A$ -involutions ϕ and ψ . Moreover, $\phi\psi$ must lie in one of the nine conjugacy classes $1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3C, 4A, 4B, 5A, 6A$.

Moonshine VOA V^\sharp and the Monster group \mathbb{M}

Theorem. [Conway, 1985] Each $2A$ -involution ϕ of the Monster simple group uniquely defines an idempotent e_ϕ called an **axis** in the Monstrous Griess algebra V_2^\sharp . And $\langle e_\phi, e_\psi \rangle$ is uniquely determined by the conjugacy class of $\phi\psi$: $1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 4B, 2B, 3C$.

Moonshine VOA and the Monster simple group

Study of a VOA generated by two Ising vectors initiated in [Miyamoto, 1996].

Theorem. [Miyamoto, 1996] There exist involutions $\tau_e \in \text{Aut}(V)$ that are in bijection with Ising vectors $e \in V_2$. Moreover, when $V = V^\sharp$, the vectors $\frac{1}{2}e$ are the $2A$ -axes of the Griess algebra V_2^\sharp and the τ_e are the $2A$ -involutions of \mathbb{M} .

Griess subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors

Theorem. [Conway, 1985] The structure of the subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors e and f in the algebra V_2^{\natural} depends on only the conjugacy class of $\tau_e \tau_f$, and the inner product $\langle e, f \rangle$ is given by the following table:

$\langle \tau_e \tau_f \rangle^{\mathbb{M}}$	1A	2A	3A	4A	5A	6A	3C	4B	2B
$\langle e, f \rangle$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2^5}$	$\frac{13}{2^{10}}$	$\frac{1}{2^7}$	$\frac{3}{2^9}$	$\frac{5}{2^{10}}$	$\frac{1}{2^8}$	$\frac{1}{2^8}$	0

Griess subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors

Theorem. [Sakuma, 2007] Let V be an arbitrary simple VOA. The structure of the subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors in the Griess algebra V_2 of V is uniquely determined by the inner product of the two Ising vectors. The inner product of two Ising vectors again has 9 possibilities same as the case of the Moonshine VOA V^\sharp .

Question:

What is the vertex operator subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors ?

Coset subalgebra of $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$

Certain vertex operator subalgebra \mathcal{U}_{nX} of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$ were constructed in [Lam-Yamada-Yamauchi, 2005].

$(nX = 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 4B, 2B, 3C)$

Coset subalgebra of $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$

Theorem. [Lam-Yamada-Yamauchi, 2005, 2007] The coset subalgebra \mathcal{U}_{nX} always contains some conformal vectors e and f of central charge $1/2$ such that the inner product $\langle e, f \rangle$ is exactly those given in the table.

The structure and representations of these coset subalgebras are studied. \mathcal{U}_{nX} are all generated by two conformal vectors of central charge $1/2$.

1A, 2A, 4A, 4B, 2B: Tensor product of Virasoro VOAs, or extension of them

3A: Studied in *[Sakuma-Yamauchi, 2003]*, denote it by \mathcal{V}

5A, 3C : Irreducible modules for 5A and 3C are classified in *[Lam-Yamada-Yamauchi, 2007]*

6A: not well understood yet.

6A-Algebra \mathcal{U}_{6A}

The 3A-algebra \mathcal{V} : [Sakuma-Yamauchi, 2003] Rationality, C_2 -cofiniteness, classification of irreducible modules, fusions rules were obtained.

6A-algebra:

\mathcal{U}_{6A}

$$\begin{aligned} &\cong \mathcal{V} \otimes L\left(\frac{25}{28}, 0\right) \oplus \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{7}\right) \otimes L\left(\frac{25}{28}, \frac{34}{7}\right) \oplus \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) \otimes L\left(\frac{25}{28}, \frac{9}{7}\right) \\ &= P_1 \otimes Q_1 \oplus P_2 \otimes Q_2 \oplus P_3 \otimes Q_3 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{7}\right)$, $\mathcal{V}\left(\frac{5}{7}\right)$ are irreducible \mathcal{V} -modules and

$$P_1 = \mathcal{V}, \quad P_2 = \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{7}\right), \quad P_3 = \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{5}{7}\right),$$

$$Q_1 = L\left(\frac{25}{28}, 0\right), \quad Q_2 = L\left(\frac{25}{28}, \frac{34}{7}\right), \quad Q_3 = L\left(\frac{25}{28}, \frac{9}{7}\right).$$

6A-Algebra \mathcal{U}_{6A}

Fusion rules of P_i, Q_i 's are as follows:

P_1	P_2	P_3
P_2	$P_1 + P_3$	$P_2 + P_3$
P_3	$P_2 + P_3$	$P_1 + P_2 + P_3$

Q_1	Q_2	Q_3
Q_2	$Q_1 + Q_3$	$Q_2 + Q_3$
Q_3	$Q_2 + Q_3$	$Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3$

Denote

$$U^i = P_i \otimes Q_i, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{U}_{6A} \cong U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus U^3$$

is an extension of a rational, C_2 -cofinite VOA $U^1 = \mathcal{V} \otimes L(\frac{25}{28}, 0)$ by two irreducible U^1 -modules U^2 and U^3 which are not simple current modules.

Main difficulty: Uniqueness of VOA structure on \mathcal{U}_{6A} .

Rough Idea: Let (\mathcal{U}_{6A}, Y) be a vertex operator algebra structure on \mathcal{U}_{6A} . We fix a basis $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{a,b}^c \in I_{Q_1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} Q_c \\ Q_a \ Q_b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ as in [Felder-Fröhlich-Keller, 1989] and choose an arbitrary basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{a,b}^c \in I_{P_1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} P_c \\ P_a \ P_b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{a,b}^c = \mathcal{Y}_{a,b}^c \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{a,b}^c$ is a basis of $I_{U^1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} U^c \\ U^a \ U^b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Then for any $u^k, v^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$Y(u^2, z) u^1 = \mathcal{I}_{2,1}^2(u^2, z) u^1;$$

$$Y(u^3, z) u^1 = \mathcal{I}_{3,1}^3(u^3, z) u^1;$$

$$Y(u^2, z) v^2 = \left(\mathcal{I}_{2,2}^1(u^2, z) + \mathcal{I}_{2,2}^3(u^2, z) \right) v^2;$$

$$Y(u^2, z) v^3 = \left(\mathcal{I}_{2,3}^2(u^2, z) + \mathcal{I}_{2,3}^3(u^2, z) \right) v^3;$$

.....

Assume that there is another VOA structure $(\mathcal{U}_{6A}, \overline{Y})$, then for any $u^i, v^i \in U^i, i = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$\overline{Y}(u^2, z) u^1 = \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,1}^2(u^2, z) u^1,$$

$$\overline{Y}(u^3, z) u^1 = \lambda_{3,1}^3 \cdot \mathcal{I}_{3,1}^3(u^3, z) u^1,$$

$$\overline{Y}(u^2, z) v^2 = \left(\lambda_{2,2}^1 \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,2}^1(u^2, z) + \lambda_{2,2}^3 \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,2}^3(u^2, z) \right) v^2,$$

.....

for some $\lambda_{a,b}^c, a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We use results in [Huang, 1995, 1996, 2000], [Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov, 1984], [Tsuchiya-Kanie, 1988] and [Felder-Fröhlich-Keller, 1989] to prove certain entries in the braiding matrices for $L(\frac{25}{28}, 0)$ -modules are nonzero and hence obtain relations among these coefficients $\lambda_{a,b}^c$ and then prove the uniqueness of the VOA structure.

Main Results-Uniqueness of the VOA structure

Theorem. *[Dong-Jiao-Y., 2019]* The VOA structure on \mathcal{U}_{6A} over \mathbb{C} is unique.

Main Results-Classification of irreducible modules

First we construct 14 irreducible \mathcal{U}_{6A} -modules M^0, M^1, \dots, M^{13} from decomposition of an even lattice VOA

Use $[h_1, h_2]$ to denote the module $\mathcal{V}(h_1) \otimes L(\frac{25}{28}, h_2)$.

$$M^0 = [0, 0] \oplus \left[\frac{1}{7}, \frac{34}{7} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{5}{7}, \frac{9}{7} \right],$$

$$M^1 = \left[0, \frac{3}{4} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{1}{7}, \frac{45}{28} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{5}{7}, \frac{1}{28} \right],$$

\vdots

$$M^{13} = \left[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{165}{32} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{19}{35}, \frac{3}{224} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{39}{35}, \frac{323}{224} \right].$$

Main Results-Classification of irreducible modules

Recall: Let V be a vertex operator algebra with finitely many inequivalent irreducible modules M^0, \dots, M^d . The *global dimension* of V is defined as

$$\text{glob}(V) = \sum_{i=0}^d \left(q \dim_V M^i \right)^2.$$

Main Results-Classification of irreducible modules

We say a vertex operator algebra V is “good” if V is a rational and C_2 -cofinite simple vertex operator algebra of CFT type with $V \cong V'$. Let M^0, M^1, \dots, M^d be all the inequivalent irreducible V -modules with $M^0 \cong V$. The corresponding conformal weights λ_i satisfy $\lambda_i > 0$ for $0 < i \leq d$.

Theorem. [Abe-Buhl-Dong, 2004; Huang-Kirillov-Lepowsky, 2015, Ai-Dong-Jiao-Ren, 2018] Let V be a “good” vertex operator algebra. Let U be a simple vertex operator algebra which is an extension of V . Then U is also “good” and

$$\text{glob}(V) = \text{glob}(U) \cdot (q \dim_V(U))^2.$$

Main Results-Classification of irreducible modules

In particular,

$$\text{glob} \left(\mathcal{U}_{3A} \otimes L \left(\frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \right) = \left(q \dim_{\mathcal{V}_{3A} \otimes L(\frac{25}{28}, 0)} \mathcal{U}_{6A} \right)^2 \cdot \text{glob } \mathcal{U}_{6A},$$

It turns out

$$\text{glob } \mathcal{U}_{6A} = \sum_{i=0}^{13} \left(q \dim_V M^i \right)^2$$

Main Results-Classification of irreducible modules

Theorem. [Dong-Jiao-Y., 2019] \mathcal{U} has exactly 14 inequivalent irreducible modules M^0, M^1, \dots, M^{13} .

Main Results-Fusion Rules

For modules M^i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, 13$, we denote the summands of each M^i by M_1^i, M_2^i, M_3^i from left to right.

Theorem. [Dong-Jiao-Y., 2019] All fusion rules for irreducible \mathcal{U} -modules are given by

$$\dim_{\mathcal{U}} \left(\begin{matrix} M^k \\ M^i, M^j \end{matrix} \right) = \dim_{U^1} \left(\begin{matrix} M_1^k \\ M_1^i, M_1^j \end{matrix} \right)$$

where $i, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, 13$.

Thank you !