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The eigenpairs of $(A, B)$ are: $\quad\left(\alpha_{i} / \beta_{i}, F e_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n ; \quad I_{n}=\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$.
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- or derive a method which works with the initial pair $(A, B)$.

We follow the second path.

## Jacobi methods for PGEP

If $A$ and $B$ are real symmetric, we have two diagonalization methods for PGEP:

- Falk-Langemeyer method (shorter: FL method)
(Elektronische Datenverarbeitung, 1960)
- Hari-Zimmermann variant of the FL method (shorter: HZ method) (Hari Ph.D. 1984)
The two methods are connected: the FL method can be viewed as the HZ method with "fast scaled" transformations. So, the FL method seems to be somewhat faster and the HZ method seems to be more robust.


## Jacobi methods for PGEP

If $A$ and $B$ are real symmetric, we have two diagonalization methods for PGEP:

- Falk-Langemeyer method (shorter: FL method)
(Elektronische Datenverarbeitung, 1960)
- Hari-Zimmermann variant of the FL method (shorter: HZ method) (Hari Ph.D. 1984)
The two methods are connected: the FL method can be viewed as the HZ method with "fast scaled" transformations. So, the FL method seems to be somewhat faster and the HZ method seems to be more robust.
V. Novaković, S. Singer, S. Singer (Parallel Comput., 2015):


## Jacobi methods for PGEP

If $A$ and $B$ are real symmetric, we have two diagonalization methods for PGEP:

- Falk-Langemeyer method (shorter: FL method)
(Elektronische Datenverarbeitung, 1960)
- Hari-Zimmermann variant of the FL method (shorter: HZ method) (Hari Ph.D. 1984)
The two methods are connected: the FL method can be viewed as the HZ method with "fast scaled" transformations. So, the FL method seems to be somewhat faster and the HZ method seems to be more robust.
V. Novaković, S. Singer, S. Singer (Parallel Comput., 2015):
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## Complex HZ Method

Our aim has been to derive and analyze complex FL and HZ methods.

## Complex HZ Method

Our aim has been to derive and analyze complex FL and HZ methods.
Since the latter has been derived and considered in Hari Ph. D. 1984, and actually not yet published, we have lately revisited it.

## Complex HZ Method

Our aim has been to derive and analyze complex FL and HZ methods.
Since the latter has been derived and considered in Hari Ph. D. 1984, and actually not yet published, we have lately revisited it.

Incentive: the real HZ method is more accurate and many times faster than the referent LAPACK DTGSJA algorithm.

## Complex HZ Method

Our aim has been to derive and analyze complex FL and HZ methods.
Since the latter has been derived and considered in Hari Ph. D. 1984, and actually not yet published, we have lately revisited it.

Incentive: the real HZ method is more accurate and many times faster than the referent LAPACK DTGSJA algorithm.

So, let us improve that method and take it to public.
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$$
Z_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
I & & & & \\
& c_{k} & & s_{k} & \\
& -\tilde{s}_{k} & I & \tilde{c}_{k} & \\
& & & & I
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i(k) \\
j(k)
\end{gathered}, \quad i(k)<j(k) \text { are pivot indices at step } k,
$$

$\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|s_{k}\right|^{2}=\left|\tilde{c}_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{s}_{k}\right|^{2}=1 / \sqrt{1-\left|b_{i(k) j(k)}\right|^{2}} \quad$ (Hari 1985).
The selection of pivot pairs $(i(k), j(k))$ defines pivot strategy.
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$Z$ is chosen to annihilate the pivot elements $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$.
$\hat{Z}$ is sought in the form of a product of two complex Jacobi rotations and two diagonal matrices.

## $\hat{Z}$ is sought in the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{B} \rightarrow \operatorname{diag} \\
\uparrow \\
\hat{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{B} \rightarrow I_{2} \\
\uparrow \\
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{-\imath \arg \left(b_{i j}\right)} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mid b_{i j}}} e^{\imath \arg \left(b_{i j}\right)} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left|b_{i j}\right|}}
\end{array}\right] \\
\left.-\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) & e^{\imath \alpha} \sin \left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\
-e^{-\imath \alpha} \sin \left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) & \cos \left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\imath \omega_{i}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\imath \omega_{j}}
\end{array}\right] \\
\downarrow \\
\hat{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{diag}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Then

$$
\hat{Z}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-b^{2}}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & e^{\imath \alpha} \sin \phi \\
-e^{-\imath \beta} \sin \psi & \cos \psi
\end{array}\right]
$$
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holds for any initial pair of Hermitian matrices $(A, B)$ with $B \succ O$.
Actually, it is sufficient to show that $S\left(A^{(k)}, B^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
We have proved the global convergence for the serial pivot strategies.
We are adapting the proof to hold for a new larger class of generalized serial strategies which includes the known weak wavefront strategies.

## Asymptotic Convergence
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In the case of multiple eigenvalues, the method is not quadratically convergent, but can be modified to be such.
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