On the Global Convergence of the Block Jacobi Method

Vjeran Hari and Erna Begović

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb hari@math.hr

Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Zagreb ebegovic@fkit.hr

GAMM Jahrestagung 2016 Braunschweig, Deutschland

• Block Jacobi method for symmetric matrices

- Block Jacobi method for symmetric matrices
- Convergence

- Block Jacobi method for symmetric matrices
- Convergence
- Pivot strategies
- Generalized serial strategies

- Block Jacobi method for symmetric matrices
- Convergence
- Pivot strategies
- Generalized serial strategies
- Applications

•
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A = A^T$$

•
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
, $A = A^T$

•
$$\pi = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m), \quad n = n_1 + \cdots + n_m, \quad n_i \ge 1$$

•
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A = A^T$$

• $\pi = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m), n = n_1 + \dots + n_m, n_i \ge 1$
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1m} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \dots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_m \end{bmatrix}, A_{ij} = A_{ji}^T$

•
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ A = A^{T}$$

• $\pi = (n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_{m}), \ n = n_{1} + \dots + n_{m}, \ n_{i} \ge 1$
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1m} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \dots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{1} \\ n_{2} \\ n_{m} \end{bmatrix}, \ A_{ij} = A_{ji}^{T}$
 $H_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ U_{ii} & U_{ij} \\ U_{ij} & U_{ij} \\ U_{ji} & U_{jj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i} \\ n_{j} \end{bmatrix}, \ i < j, \ orthogonal$

I

$$A^{(k+1)} = U_k^* A^{(k)} U_k, \quad k \ge 0; \qquad A^{(0)} = A,$$

where U_k , $k \ge 0$, are orthogonal elementary block matrices.

$$A^{(k+1)} = U_k^* A^{(k)} U_k, \quad k \ge 0; \qquad A^{(0)} = A,$$

where U_k , $k \ge 0$, are orthogonal elementary block matrices.

At step k, the pivot submatrix of $A^{(k)}$ is diagonalized:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{ii}^{(k+1)} & 0\\ 0 & \Lambda_{jj}^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii}^{(k)} & A_{ij}^{(k)}\\ (A_{ij}^{(k)})^T & A_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^T \hat{A}_{ij}^{(k)} = \hat{U}_k^* \hat{A}^{(k)} \hat{U}_k, \quad k \ge 0; \quad i = i(k), \ j = j(k)$$

$$A^{(k+1)} = U_k^* A^{(k)} U_k, \quad k \ge 0; \qquad A^{(0)} = A,$$

where U_k , $k \ge 0$, are orthogonal elementary block matrices.

At step k, the pivot submatrix of $A^{(k)}$ is diagonalized:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{ii}^{(k+1)} & 0\\ 0 & \Lambda_{jj}^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii}^{(k)} & A_{ij}^{(k)}\\ (A_{ij}^{(k)})^{T} & A_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{A}_{ij}^{(k+1)} = \hat{U}_{k}^{*} \hat{A}^{(k)} \hat{U}_{k}, \quad k \ge 0; \quad i = i(k), \ j = j(k)$$
$$(i, j), \ i < j \text{ pivot pair pivot pair,} \quad \hat{A}^{(k)}, \ \hat{U}^{(k)} \text{ pivot submatrices}$$

$$A^{(k+1)} = U_k^* A^{(k)} U_k, \quad k \ge 0; \qquad A^{(0)} = A,$$

where U_k , $k \ge 0$, are orthogonal elementary block matrices.

At step k, the pivot submatrix of $A^{(k)}$ is diagonalized:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{ii}^{(k+1)} & 0\\ 0 & \Lambda_{jj}^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii}^{(k)} & A_{ij}^{(k)}\\ (A_{ij}^{(k)})^{T} & A_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{ii}^{(k)} & U_{ij}^{(k)}\\ U_{ji}^{(k)} & U_{jj}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{A}_{ij}^{(k+1)} = \hat{U}_{k}^{*} \hat{A}^{(k)} \hat{U}_{k}, \quad k \ge 0; \quad i = i(k), \ j = j(k)$$
$$(i,j), \ i < j \text{ pivot pair pivot pair,} \quad \hat{A}^{(k)}, \ \hat{U}^{(k)} \text{ pivot submatrices}$$
$$n_{1} = n_{2} = \cdots = n_{m} = 1 \longrightarrow \text{ standard (element-wise) Jacobi method}$$

Pivot Strategy

$$I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$$
 pivot strategy
 $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$

Pivot Strategy

$$I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$$
 pivot strategy

$$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$$

I is a periodic function \longrightarrow *I* is periodic pivot strategy.

Pivot Strategy

$$I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$$
 pivot strategy

 $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$

I is a periodic function \longrightarrow *I* is periodic pivot strategy.

I is cyclic pivot strategy if

• the period is
$$M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

• { $(i(0), j(0)), (i(1), j(1)), \ldots, (i(M-1), j(M-1))$ } = P_m .

 $I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$ pivot strategy

 $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$

I is a periodic function \longrightarrow *I* is periodic pivot strategy.

I is cyclic pivot strategy if

• the period is
$$M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

• $\{(i(0), j(0)), (i(1), j(1)), \dots, (i(M-1), j(M-1))\} = P_m$. Each cyclic strategy is defined by some ordering of P_m . $I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$ pivot strategy

 $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$

I is a periodic function \longrightarrow *I* is periodic pivot strategy.

I is cyclic pivot strategy if

• the period is
$$M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

• { $(i(0), j(0)), (i(1), j(1)), \dots, (i(M-1), j(M-1))$ } = P_m .

Each cyclic strategy is defined by some ordering of P_m .

During any M successive steps all off-diagonal blocks are annihilated exactly once. Such block Jacobi method is called cyclic.

 $I: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow P_m$ pivot strategy

 $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}, \qquad P_m = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}$

I is a periodic function \longrightarrow *I* is periodic pivot strategy.

I is cyclic pivot strategy if

• the period is
$$M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

• $\{(i(0), j(0)), (i(1), j(1)), \dots, (i(M-1), j(M-1))\} = P_m$. Each cyclic strategy is defined by some ordering of P_m .

During any M successive steps all off-diagonal blocks are annihilated exactly once. Such block Jacobi method is called cyclic. The transition

•
$$A^{(k)} \longrightarrow A^{(k+1)}$$
 is the *k*th step of the method

• $A^{((r-1)M)} \longrightarrow A^{(rM-1)}$ is the *r*th cycle or sweep of the method.

Typical cyclic strategies are the column and row-cyclic strategies.

$$\mathcal{O}_c = (1,2), (1,3), (2,3), \ldots, (1,m), (2,m), \ldots, (m-1,m)$$

 $\mathcal{O}_r = (1,2), (1,3), \dots, (1,m), \dots, (m-2,m-1), (m-2,m), (m-1,m)$

Typical cyclic strategies are the column and row-cyclic strategies.

$$\mathcal{O}_c = (1,2), (1,3), (2,3), \dots, (1,m), (2,m), \dots, (m-1,m)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_r = (1,2), (1,3), \dots, (1,m), \dots, (m-2,m-1), (m-2,m), (m-1,m)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{c} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & * & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & * & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & * & 9 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & * \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{r} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & * & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & * & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 7 & * & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 8 & 9 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Typical cyclic strategies are the column and row-cyclic strategies.

$$\mathcal{O}_c = (1,2), (1,3), (2,3), \ldots, (1,m), (2,m), \ldots, (m-1,m)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_r = (1,2), (1,3), \dots, (1,m), \dots, (m-2,m-1), (m-2,m), (m-1,m)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{c} \longleftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} * & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & * & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & * & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & * & 9 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & * \end{array} \right], \qquad \mathcal{O}_{r} \longleftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} * & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & * & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & * & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 7 & * & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 8 & 9 & * \end{array} \right].$$

 $\mathcal{O}_c, \mathcal{O}_r \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$ — the set of all orderings of the set P_m .

$$S^2(A) = \sum_{r < s} \|A_{rs}\|_F^2, \qquad A = A^T$$

 $S(A) \ge 0$ is departure from the diagonal form or the block off-norm of A.

$$S^2(A) = \sum_{r < s} \|A_{rs}\|_F^2, \qquad A = A^T$$

 $S(A) \ge 0$ is departure from the diagonal form or the block off-norm of A.

Convergence Definition

A block Jacobi method is convergent on A if the obtained sequence of matrices $(A^{(k)})$ converges to some diagonal matrix Λ . The method is globally convergent if it is convergent on every symmetric matrix A.

$$S^2(A) = \sum_{r < s} \|A_{rs}\|_F^2, \qquad A = A^T$$

 $S(A) \ge 0$ is departure from the diagonal form or the block off-norm of A.

Convergence Definition

A block Jacobi method is convergent on A if the obtained sequence of matrices $(A^{(k)})$ converges to some diagonal matrix Λ . The method is globally convergent if it is convergent on every symmetric matrix A.

Obviously, the global convergence implies $S(A^{(k)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{k o\infty}S(A^{(k)})=0$$
 for any initial A

is a necessary condition for the global convergence.

$$S^2(A) = \sum_{r < s} \|A_{rs}\|_F^2, \qquad A = A^T$$

 $S(A) \ge 0$ is departure from the diagonal form or the block off-norm of A.

Convergence Definition

A block Jacobi method is convergent on A if the obtained sequence of matrices $(A^{(k)})$ converges to some diagonal matrix Λ . The method is globally convergent if it is convergent on every symmetric matrix A.

Obviously, the global convergence implies $S(A^{(k)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{k o \infty} S(A^{(k)}) = 0$$
 for any initial A

is a necessary condition for the global convergence.

Is it also a sufficient condition for the global convergence?

Theorem

Let A be a symmetric matrix and $A^{(k)}$, $k \ge 0$ be the sequence obtained by applying the block Jacobi method to A. Let the pivot strategy be cyclic and let $\lim_{k\to\infty} S(A^{(k)}) = 0$.

- (i) If the algorithm which diagonalizes the pivot submatrix always delivers diag($\Lambda_{ii}^{(k+1)}, \Lambda_{jj}^{(k+1)}$) with non-increasingly (non-decreasingly) ordered diagonal elements, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} A^{(k)} = \Lambda$, where Λ is diagonal with diagonal elements of Λ non-increasingly (non-decreasingly) ordered.
- (ii) If the algorithm which diagonalizes the pivot submatrix is any standard (i.e. element-wise) globally convergent Jacobi method, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} A^{(k)} = \Lambda$ is diagonal.

Thus, we can focus on proving $S(A^{(k)}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

UBC Orthogonal Transformations

What kind of transformation matrices to use? If $\pi = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ the transformations are plane rotations and satisfy

$$\cos \phi_k \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \ k \ge 0$$
 (recall the Forsythe-Henrici condition!)

UBC Orthogonal Transformations

What kind of transformation matrices to use? If $\pi = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ the transformations are plane rotations and satisfy

$$\cos \phi_k \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \ k \ge 0$$
 (recall the Forsythe-Henrici condition!)

The analog condition for the orthogonal elementary block matrices reads: they are UBCE (Uniformly Bounded Cosine Elementary) matrices.

UBC Orthogonal Transformations

What kind of transformation matrices to use? If $\pi = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ the transformations are plane rotations and satisfy

$$\cos \phi_k \geq rac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \ k \geq 0$$
 (recall the Forsythe-Henrici condition!)

The analog condition for the orthogonal elementary block matrices reads: they are UBCE (Uniformly Bounded Cosine Elementary) matrices. If **U**_{ij} is UBCE, then its diagonal blocks satisfy

$$\sigma_{\min}(U_{ii}) = \sigma_{\min}(U_{jj}) \geq \gamma_{ij} > \tilde{\gamma}_{n_i+n_j} \geq \tilde{\gamma}_n,$$

where

$$\gamma_{ij} = rac{3}{\sqrt{(4^{n_i} + 6n_j - 1)(n_j + 1)}}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_r = rac{3\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4^r + 26}}.$$

Drmač: SIAM J. Mat. Anal. Appl. 31, 2009

Convergence proof for the serial block Jacobi methods:

$$S(A') \leq c_n S(A), \qquad 0 \leq c_n < 1,$$

 $A' \leftarrow A$ after one sweep, c_n is a constant depending just on n.

Drmač: SIAM J. Mat. Anal. Appl. 31, 2009

Convergence proof for the serial block Jacobi methods:

$$S(A') \leq c_n S(A), \qquad 0 \leq c_n < 1,$$

 $A' \leftarrow A$ after one sweep, c_n is a constant depending just on n.

Begović: Ph.D. thesis, University of Zagreb, 2014

Several new global convergence results for more general cyclic and quasi-cyclic strategies (Jacobi for symmetric and Hermitian matrices).

Drmač: SIAM J. Mat. Anal. Appl. 31, 2009

Convergence proof for the serial block Jacobi methods:

$$S(A') \leq c_n S(A), \qquad 0 \leq c_n < 1,$$

 $A' \leftarrow A$ after one sweep, c_n is a constant depending just on n.

Begović: Ph.D. thesis, University of Zagreb, 2014

Several new global convergence results for more general cyclic and quasi-cyclic strategies (Jacobi for symmetric and Hermitian matrices).

Hari: Numer. Math. 129, 2015

More general setting, global convergence results for general block Jacobi-type methods under the serial and weak-wavefront strategies. So far we know the following:

- it is sufficient to consider the problem $S(A^{(k)}) o 0$ as $k o \infty$
- The transformation matrices must be UBCE
- The remaining problems include:
 - to find some larger class of usable pivot strategies for which the proof can be made
 - to try to obtain the result in the form:

$$S(A') \leq c_n S(A), \qquad 0 \leq <1,$$

 $A' \leftarrow A$ after one sweep, c_n is a constant depending just on n.

Cyclic Pivot Strategies

$\mathcal{O}(P_m) \quad \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \text{set of cyclic strategies}$

Cyclic Pivot Strategies

 $\mathcal{O}(P_m) \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow}$ set of cyclic strategies I cyclic iff $I = I_{\mathcal{O}}$ for some $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$

 \mathcal{O} is also called pivot ordering. It generally has the form:

$$\mathcal{O} = (i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}), \qquad M = m(m-1)/2.$$

 $\mathcal{O}(P_m) \stackrel{l-1}{\longleftrightarrow}$ set of cyclic strategies l cyclic iff $l = l_{\mathcal{O}}$ for some $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$

 \mathcal{O} is also called pivot ordering. It generally has the form:

$$\mathcal{O} = (i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}), \qquad M = m(m-1)/2.$$

To visually depict \mathcal{O} , we use the symmetric matrix $M_{\mathcal{O}} = (m_{rt})$, defined by

$$m_{i(k)j(k)} = m_{j(k)i(k)} = k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1.$$

We set $m_{ss} = -1$, $1 \le s \le m$. Since $(s, s) \notin O$ we depict them by *.

Inverse Ordering, Inverse Cyclic Strategy

With

$$\mathcal{O} = (i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1})$$

is associated its inverse or reverse ordering

$$\mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow} = (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}), \ldots, (i_1, j_1), (i_0, j_0)$$

 $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the inverse (reverse) strategy of $I_{\mathcal{O}}$. Note: $\mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow\leftarrow} = \mathcal{O}$.

$$\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_c^{\leftarrow}} = \begin{bmatrix} * & 9 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 9 & * & 7 & 5 & 2 \\ 8 & 7 & * & 4 & 1 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 & * & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_r^{\leftarrow}} = \begin{bmatrix} * & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 \\ 9 & * & 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 8 & 5 & * & 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 4 & 2 & * & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & 1 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Permutation Equivalent Strategies

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$ are permutation equivalent if

$$\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}'}=\mathsf{P}^{\,\mathcal{T}}\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathsf{P}$$

holds for some permutation matrix P. Then we write $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Permutation Equivalent Strategies

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$ are permutation equivalent if

$$\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}'} = \mathsf{P}^T \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathsf{P}$$

holds for some permutation matrix P. Then we write $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{p}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{p}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let p be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$\mathsf{P}e_i = e_{\mathsf{p}(i)}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m; \qquad I_m = [e_1, \ldots, e_m].$$

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$ are permutation equivalent if $M_{\mathcal{O}'} = P^T M_{\mathcal{O}} P$

holds for some permutation matrix P. Then we write $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{p}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{p}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let p be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$\mathsf{P}e_i = e_{\mathsf{p}(i)}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m; \qquad I_m = [e_1, \ldots, e_m].$$

If
$$\mathcal{O} = (i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1})$$
 and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{p}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, then
 $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = (p(i_0), p(j_0)), (p(i_1), p(j_1)), \dots, (p(i_{M-1}), p(j_{M-1})).$

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$ are permutation equivalent if $M_{\mathcal{O}'} = P^T M_{\mathcal{O}} P$

holds for some permutation matrix P. Then we write $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{p}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{p}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let p be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$\mathsf{P}e_i = e_{\mathsf{p}(i)}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m; \qquad I_m = [e_1, \ldots, e_m].$$

If $\mathcal{O} = (i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{M-1}, j_{M-1})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{p}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = (p(i_0), p(j_0)), (p(i_1), p(j_1)), \dots, (p(i_{M-1}), p(j_{M-1})).$

 $\stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ is equivalence relation on $\mathcal{O}(P_m)$.

An admissible transposition on \mathcal{O} is any transposition of two adjacent terms $(i_r, j_r), (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}) \rightarrow (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}), (i_r, j_r)$, provided that $\{i_r, j_r\}$ and $\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\}$ are disjoint (we say that such pairs commute).

An admissible transposition on \mathcal{O} is any transposition of two adjacent terms $(i_r, j_r), (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}) \rightarrow (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}), (i_r, j_r)$, provided that $\{i_r, j_r\}$ and $\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\}$ are disjoint (we say that such pairs commute).

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are equivalent (we write $\mathcal{O} \sim \mathcal{O}'$) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite set of admissible transpositions.

An admissible transposition on \mathcal{O} is any transposition of two adjacent terms $(i_r, j_r), (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}) \rightarrow (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}), (i_r, j_r)$, provided that $\{i_r, j_r\}$ and $\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\}$ are disjoint (we say that such pairs commute).

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are equivalent (we write $\mathcal{O} \sim \mathcal{O}'$) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite set of admissible transpositions.

Cyclic pivot strategy $I_{\mathcal{O}'}$ is equivalent to $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ (we write $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \sim I_{\mathcal{O}}$) if $\mathcal{O}' \sim \mathcal{O}$.

An admissible transposition on \mathcal{O} is any transposition of two adjacent terms $(i_r, j_r), (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}) \rightarrow (i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}), (i_r, j_r)$, provided that $\{i_r, j_r\}$ and $\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\}$ are disjoint (we say that such pairs commute).

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are equivalent (we write $\mathcal{O} \sim \mathcal{O}'$) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite set of admissible transpositions.

Cyclic pivot strategy $I_{\mathcal{O}'}$ is equivalent to $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ (we write $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \sim I_{\mathcal{O}}$) if $\mathcal{O}' \sim \mathcal{O}$. ~ is equivalence relation on $\mathcal{O}(P_m)$. Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are

(ii) shift-equivalent $(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \mathcal{O}')$ if $\mathcal{O} = [\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2]$ and $\mathcal{O}' = [\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_1]$, where [,] stands for concatenation.

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are

- (ii) shift-equivalent $(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \mathcal{O}')$ if $\mathcal{O} = [\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2]$ and $\mathcal{O}' = [\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_1]$, where [,] stands for concatenation.
- (iii) weak equivalent $(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle W}{\sim} \mathcal{O}')$ if there are orderings $\mathcal{O}_i \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, such that in the sequence $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_r = \mathcal{O}'$ each two neighboring terms are equivalent or shift-equivalent.

Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, are

- (ii) shift-equivalent $(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \mathcal{O}')$ if $\mathcal{O} = [\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2]$ and $\mathcal{O}' = [\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_1]$, where [,] stands for concatenation.
- (iii) weak equivalent $(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle w}{\sim} \mathcal{O}')$ if there are orderings $\mathcal{O}_i \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$, such that in the sequence $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_r = \mathcal{O}'$ each two neighboring terms are equivalent or shift-equivalent.

 $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$ and $\stackrel{w}{\sim}$ are equivalence relations on $\mathcal{O}(P_m)$.

 $I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{s}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{s}{\sim} \mathcal{O}, \qquad \qquad I_{\mathcal{O}'} \stackrel{w}{\sim} I_{\mathcal{O}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{w}{\sim} \mathcal{O}.$

The Main Theorem

Let $A = A^T$ of order $n, \pi = (n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ and $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$. Apply to A the cyclic block Jacobi method, defined by π , $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and let the transformation matrices be UBCE. Let A' be obtained from A after one sweep. Suppose that

$$S(A') \le c_n S(A), \qquad 0 \le c_n < 1. \tag{1}$$

Let $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^T$ be of order $n, \tilde{\pi} = (\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_m)$ partition of n and $\tilde{O} \in \mathcal{O}(P_m)$. Apply to \tilde{A} the cyclic block Jacobi method, defined by $\tilde{\pi}, I_{\tilde{O}}$ and let the transformation matrices be UBCE. Let \tilde{A}' be obtained from \tilde{A} after one sweep. If

•
$$ilde{\mathcal{O}} \sim \mathcal{O}$$
 then $S(ilde{\mathcal{A}}') \leq c_n S(ilde{\mathcal{A}})$

•
$$ilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$$
 then $S(ilde{\mathcal{A}}') \leq c_n S(ilde{\mathcal{A}})$

•
$$ilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow}$$
 then $S(ilde{\mathcal{A}}') \leq c_n S(ilde{\mathcal{A}})$

• $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{w}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ then the block Jacobi method defined by $I_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}$ is globally convergent.

Serial strategies with permutations

 $\Pi^{(k_1,k_2)}$ the group of permutations of the set $\{k_1, k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_2\}$.

Column-wise orderings with permutations of the set \mathbf{P}_m

$$\mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} = \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O} = (1,2), (\tau_{3}(1),3), (\tau_{3}(2),3), \dots \\ , (\tau_{m}(1),m), \dots, (\tau_{m}(m-1),m), \ \tau_{j} \in \Pi^{(1,j-1)}, \ 3 \leq j \leq m \right\}.$$

	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}\overset{w}{\sim}\mathcal{O}$														
$M_\mathcal{O} =$	<pre> * 0 2 4 9 12 </pre>	0 * 1 5 8 10	2 1 * 3 7 13	4 5 3 * 6 11	9 8 7 6 * 14	12 10 13 11 14 *	,	$M_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} =$	「 * 7 9 0 2 5	7 * 10 13 14 6	9 10 * 11 12 8	0 13 11 * 1 4	2 14 12 1 * 3	5 6 8 4 3 *].

The same theorem holds for the class of orderings

$$\mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} = \{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O} = (m-1,m), \dots, (1,\tau_{1}(2)), \dots, (1,\tau_{1}(m)), \\ \tau_{i} \in \Pi^{(i+1,m)}, \ 1 \leq i \leq m-2 \}.$$

			$\mathcal{O}\in$	$\mathcal{B}_r^{(n)}$	n)		$ ilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{W}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$							
Μ	× 10 11			12	10 6 3	14 8 4		× 14 1	14 * 13	13		11 7 6	2 12 8	
$M_{\mathcal{O}} =$	12 13 14	6 7 8	5 3 4	* 1 2	1 * 0	2 0 *	$M_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} =$	0 11 2	10 7 12	9 6 8	* 4	4 * 3	8 5 3 *	

Generalized Serial Strategies

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(m)} &= \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow} \in \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \right\}, \\ &\overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(m)} &= \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow} \in \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{s}^{(m)} = \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(m)}$$

 $\{I_{\mathcal{O}} \mid \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_s^{(m)}\}$ is the set of generalized serial strategies.

Generalized Serial Strategies

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(m)} &= \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow} \in \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \right\}, \\ &\overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(m)} &= \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{P}_{m}) \mid \mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow} \in \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{s}^{(m)} = \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(m)}$$

 $\{I_{\mathcal{O}} \mid \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s}^{(m)}\}$ is the set of generalized serial strategies.

The main theorem holds if $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_s^{(m)}$.

Recall how weak equivalence $\stackrel{w}{\sim}$ is obtained from \sim and $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$. We say that $\stackrel{w}{\sim}$ is the link of \sim and $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$. Recall how weak equivalence $\stackrel{\scriptstyle{W}}{\sim}$ is obtained from \sim and $\stackrel{\scriptstyle{s}}{\sim}$. We say that $\stackrel{\scriptstyle{W}}{\sim}$ is the link of \sim and $\stackrel{\scriptstyle{s}}{\sim}$.

In the same way we can make link of \sim and $\stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\sim}$ and denote it by \approx .

Recall how weak equivalence $\stackrel{w}{\sim}$ is obtained from \sim and $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$. We say that $\stackrel{w}{\sim}$ is the link of \sim and $\stackrel{s}{\sim}$.

In the same way we can make link of \sim and $\stackrel{p}{\sim}$ and denote it by $\approx.$ Let

$$\mathcal{B}^{(m)} = \{ \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \approx \mathcal{O}, \ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s}^{(m)} \}.$$

For all pivot orderings from $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ the relation $S(A') \leq c_n S(A)$ holds.

Recall how weak equivalence $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle W}{\sim}$ is obtained from \sim and $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle S}{\sim}$. We say that $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle W}{\sim}$ is the link of \sim and $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle S}{\sim}$.

In the same way we can make link of \sim and $\stackrel{p}{\sim}$ and denote it by $\approx.$ Let

$$\mathcal{B}^{(m)} = \{ \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \approx \mathcal{O}, \ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s}^{(m)} \}.$$

For all pivot orderings from $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ the relation $S(A') \leq c_n S(A)$ holds.

Further more, we can consider all cyclic pivot ordering that are weakly equivalent to those in $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$. The block Jacobi method is globally convergent for any cyclic strategy defined by the orderings from that set

$$ilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)} = \{ ilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid ilde{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{W}}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}, \ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \}.$$

• For the standard Jacobi method, there are exactly 720 cyclic strategies if n = 4, $\pi = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Now, we can prove that any cyclic Jacobi method for symmetric matrix of order 4 is globally convergent.

- For the standard Jacobi method, there are exactly 720 cyclic strategies if n = 4, $\pi = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Now, we can prove that any cyclic Jacobi method for symmetric matrix of order 4 is globally convergent.
- As part of these considerations, we are able to show that for given n and ε > 0, there is a symmetric matrix A(ε) and a cyclic pivot strategy, such that for the standard Jacobi method holds

 $S(A') > (1 - \varepsilon)S(A).$

- For the standard Jacobi method, there are exactly 720 cyclic strategies if n = 4, $\pi = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Now, we can prove that any cyclic Jacobi method for symmetric matrix of order 4 is globally convergent.
- As part of these considerations, we are able to show that for given n and ε > 0, there is a symmetric matrix A(ε) and a cyclic pivot strategy, such that for the standard Jacobi method holds

$$S(A') > (1 - \varepsilon)S(A).$$

• We have developed tools, such as block Jacobi annihilators and operators, which enable us to prove the global convergence of block Jacobi-type methods for other eigenvalue problems (e.g. the generalized eigenvalue problem).

THANK YOU. Time to go home.



Hari, Begović (University of Zagreb)