On the High Relative Accuracy of the HZ method

Josip Matejaš Faculty of Economics and Business

Vjeran Hari Faculty of Science

University of Zagreb, CROATIA



Sveučilište u Zagrebu Ekonomski fakultet



Positive Definite Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (PGEP)

$$Ax = \lambda Bx$$
, $x \neq 0$,

where *A* and *B* are symmetric matrices of order *n* and *B* is positive definite.

If A is positive definite and B is not, then we consider

$$Bx = \mu Ax$$
, $x \neq 0 \implies \mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

Hari-Zimmermann Method (HZ)

is the normalized version of the Falk-Langemeyer method. It generates the sequence of matrices

 $A^{(k+1)} = Z_k^T A^{(k)} Z_k, \quad B^{(k+1)} = Z_k^T B^{(k)} Z_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ where each $B^{(k)}$ has unit diagonal. It has a preliminary step $A^{(0)} = DAD, \ B^{(0)} = DBD, \ D = diag \left(b_{11}^{-1/2}, b_{22}^{-1/2}, ..., b_{nn}^{-1/2} \right).$ A single HZ-step annihilates the pivot elements at position $(i, j), \ i < j$ by the congruence transformation (*k* is omitted)

$$A' = Z^T A Z$$
, $B' = Z^T B Z$,

and it maintains the unit diagonal of B.

HZ Method

On the level of 2 by 2 pivot submatrices, $\hat{A}' = \hat{Z}^T \hat{A} \hat{Z}, \ \hat{B}' = \hat{Z}^T \hat{B} \hat{Z}$ where



HZ Method

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{1+b_{ij}} + \sqrt{1-b_{ij}}}{2}, \quad \xi = \frac{b_{ij}}{2\rho}, \quad \tau = \sqrt{(1-b_{ij})(1+b_{ij})},$$
$$\tan(2\theta) = \frac{2a_{ij} - (a_{ii} + a_{jj})b_{ij}}{(a_{ii} - a_{jj}) \cdot \tau}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{4} \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

\hat{Z} can be written in the form

$$\hat{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} c1 & -s1 \\ s2 & c2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\cos \phi = \rho \cos \theta - \xi \sin \theta, \qquad \sin \phi = \rho \sin \theta + \xi \cos \theta, \\ \cos \psi = \rho \cos \theta + \xi \sin \theta, \qquad \sin \psi = \rho \sin \theta - \xi \cos \theta.$$

HZ Algorithm (first part)

tol = 4u; select the pivot pair (i, j) with i < j

% *** STOPPING CRITERION ***

if
$$|a_{ij}|/\sqrt{a_{ii} \cdot a_{jj}} \le to/$$
 then $a_{ij} = 0$; $a_{ji} = 0$; endif
if $|b_{ij}| \le to/$ then $b_{ij} = 0$; $b_{jj} = 0$; endif

if
$$a_{ij} \neq 0$$
 or $b_{ij} \neq 0$ then
% *** INITIAL PARAMETERS AND TANGENT OF ROTATION ANGLE ***
 $\rho = (\sqrt{1+b_{ij}} + \sqrt{1-b_{ij}})/2; \quad \xi = b_{ij}/(2\rho); \quad \tau = \sqrt{(1-b_{ij})(1+b_{ij})}$
if $2 \cdot a_{ij} = (a_{ii} + a_{jj}) \cdot b_{ij}$ then $t = 0$; else
if $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$ then $t = 1$; else
 $t2 = (2 \cdot a_{ij} - (a_{ii} + a_{jj}) \cdot b_{ij})/(\tau \cdot (a_{ii} - a_{jj})); \quad t = t2/(1 + \sqrt{1 + t2^2});$
endif
% *** ELEMENTS OF TRANSFORMATION MATRIX ***
 $cs = 1/\sqrt{1+t^2}; \quad sn = t/\sqrt{1+t^2};$
 $c1 = (\rho \cdot cs - \xi \cdot sn)/\tau; \quad s1 = (\rho \cdot sn + \xi \cdot cs)/\tau;$
 $c2 = (\rho \cdot cs + \xi \cdot sn)/\tau; \quad s2 = (\rho \cdot sn - \xi \cdot cs)/\tau;$

Subtle Error Analysis

We have derived error estimates

- without neglecting the terms of higher order (in machine precision) of the errors,
- without neglecting the signs of the errors and variables.
- So, we use exact expressions for the errors.

Using such an approach we can detect:

- suppression of the initial and intermediate errors
- cancellation of the initial and intermediate errors
- weak parts of the algorithm (where the error(s) can explode)

First Assumption

1

Let *u* denote the round-off unit (machine epsilon) according to IEEE standard, i.e.



Note that $U \leq 2^{-23} < 1.1921 \cdot 10^{-7}$.

Second Assumption: Standard Model of Arithmetic

We use the model where the floating point result of the basic operations is given by

$$fl(a \pm b) = (1 + \varepsilon_1)(a \pm b)$$

$$fl(a \cdot b) = (1 + \varepsilon_2)(a \cdot b)$$

$$fl(a / b) = (1 + \varepsilon_3)(a / b)$$

$$fl(\sqrt{a}) = (1 + \varepsilon_4)(\sqrt{a})$$

where $|\mathcal{E}_i| \le U$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Some Examples of Error Expressions

We use the exact expressions for the errors:

$$(1 + \varepsilon_1)(1 + \varepsilon_2) = 1 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$$

$$\frac{1+\varepsilon_1}{1+\varepsilon_2} = 1+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2+\frac{\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1)}{1+\varepsilon_2}$$

$$\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_1} = 1+\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{4+2\varepsilon_1+4\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_1}}$$

Suppression of the Initial Errors

Let us estimate the error in evaluation of the expression $v = 1 + x^2$.

Suppose that we have at disposal only an approximation of X,

$$\mathsf{fl}(X) = (1 + \varepsilon_X)X$$

For the computed value fl(v) we have

$$fl(\mathbf{v}) = (1 + \varepsilon_1) \Big[1 + (1 + \varepsilon_2)(1 + \varepsilon_x)^2 x^2 \Big]$$

$$= \Big[1 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} \Big] + \Big[2\varepsilon_x \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} \Big] + \Big[\eta \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} \Big] \cdot \mathbf{v},$$

$$\eta = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 + 2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_x^2$$

$$+ 2\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_x + (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_x^2 \qquad \text{suppression of } \varepsilon_x$$

$$+ \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_x^2$$

Cancellation of the Initial Errors

$$Z = \frac{X}{1+X}, \quad X > 0 \qquad \dots \qquad \text{fl}(X) = (1 + \varepsilon_X)X$$

$$fl(z) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon_1}{1 + \varepsilon_2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_x)X}{1 + (1 + \varepsilon_x)X} = \frac{1 + \varepsilon_1}{1 + \varepsilon_2} \cdot \frac{1 + \varepsilon_x}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_x X}{1 + x}} \cdot z$$



Cancellation of the Initial Errors

For
$$Z = \frac{x}{1+x}$$
, $x > 0$... $fl(x) = (1 + \varepsilon_x)x$

the final error is

$$\mathsf{fl}(Z) = \left(1 + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{1 + x} + \eta\right) \cdot Z,$$

where $|\varepsilon_1|, |\varepsilon_2| \leq U$, and

$$\eta = \frac{\varepsilon_x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{1 + x} + \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{1 + x}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_2^2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{1 + \varepsilon_2}$$
$$- \left(1 + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_2^2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{1 + \varepsilon_2}\right) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_x^2 x}{(1 + x)(1 + x + \varepsilon_x x)}.$$

HZ Algorithm (first part)

tol = 4u; select the pivot pair (i, j) with i < j

% *** STOPPING CRITERION ***

if
$$|a_{ij}|/\sqrt{a_{ii} \cdot a_{jj}} \le to/$$
 then $a_{ij} = 0$; $a_{ji} = 0$; endif
if $|b_{ij}| \le to/$ then $b_{ij} = 0$; $b_{ji} = 0$; endif

if
$$a_{ij} \neq 0$$
 or $b_{ij} \neq 0$ then
% *** INITIAL PARAMETERS AND TANGENT OF ROTATION ANGLE ***
 $\rho = (\sqrt{1 + b_{ij}} + \sqrt{1 - b_{ij}})/2; \quad \xi = b_{ij}/(2\rho); \quad \tau = \sqrt{(1 - b_{ij})(1 + b_{ij})}$
if $2 \cdot a_{ij} = (a_{ii} + a_{jj}) \cdot b_{ij}$ then $t = 0$; else
if $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$ then $t = 1$; else
 $t2 = (2 \cdot a_{ij} - (a_{ii} + a_{jj}) \cdot b_{ij})/(\tau \cdot (a_{ii} - a_{jj})); \quad t = t2/(1 + \sqrt{1 + t2^2});$
endif
% *** ELEMENTS OF TRANSFORMATION MATRIX ***
 $cs = 1/\sqrt{1 + t^2}; \quad sn = t/\sqrt{1 + t^2};$
 $c1 = (\rho \cdot cs - \xi \cdot sn)/\tau; \quad s1 = (\rho \cdot sn + \xi \cdot cs)/\tau;$
 $c2 = (\rho \cdot cs + \xi \cdot sn)/\tau; \quad s2 = (\rho \cdot sn - \xi \cdot cs)/\tau;$
13

HZ Algorithm (second part)

% *** CORRECT THE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX IF NECESSARY *** $d1 = c1^2 + s2^2 + 2 \cdot c1 \cdot s2 \cdot b_{ij};$ $d2 = c2^2 + s1^2 - 2 \cdot c2 \cdot s1 \cdot b_{ij};$ if |1 - d1|/d1 > to/ then $d = \sqrt{d1};$ c1 = c1/d; s2 = s2/d; endif if |1 - d2|/d2 > to/ then $d = \sqrt{d2};$ c2 = c2/d; s1 = s1/d; endif

Note that (A, B), (A', B') and (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) , where $A' = Z^T A Z$, $B' = Z^T B Z$, $\tilde{A} = fl(Z)^T \cdot A \cdot fl(Z)$, $\tilde{B} = fl(Z)^T \cdot B \cdot fl(Z)$, have the same eigenvalues, but we must also maintain the unit diagonal of B.

HZ Algorithm (third part)

% *** UPDATING THE PIVOT SUBMATRICES *** $a_{ij'} = c1^2 \cdot a_{ij} + s2^2 \cdot a_{jj} + 2 \cdot c1 \cdot s2 \cdot a_{ij}; a_{jj'} = s1^2 \cdot a_{ij} + c2^2 \cdot a_{jj} - 2 \cdot c2 \cdot s1 \cdot a_{ij};$ $a_{ij'} = (c1 \cdot c2 - s1 \cdot s2) \cdot a_{ij} + (c2 \cdot s2 \cdot a_{jj} - c1 \cdot s1 \cdot a_{ii}); a_{jj'} = a_{ij'};$ $b_{ij'} = (c1 \cdot c2 - s1 \cdot s2) \cdot b_{ij} + (c2 \cdot s2 - c1 \cdot s1); b_{jj'} = b_{ij'};$

% *** UPDATING THE REST OF PIVOT ROWS AND COLUMNS *** for k = 1, ..., n, $k \neq i, j$ do $a_{ki'} = c1 \cdot a_{ki} + s2 \cdot a_{kj}; b_{ki'} = c1 \cdot b_{ki} + s2 \cdot b_{kj}; a_{ik'} = a_{ki'}; b_{ik'} = b_{ki'};$ $a_{kj'} = c2 \cdot a_{kj} - s1 \cdot a_{ki}; b_{kj'} = c2 \cdot b_{kj} - s1 \cdot b_{ki}; a_{jk'} = a_{kj'}; b_{jk'} = b_{kj'};$ endfor

endif % $(a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ or } b_{ij} \neq 0)$

Well Behaved Matrix Pairs

Let *A* and *B* be positive definite matrices that can be well-scaled symmetrically, i.e. the spectral condition numbers $\kappa_2(A_s)$ and $\kappa_2(B_s)$, where

$$egin{aligned} & A_{S} &= D_{A}^{-1/2} A \, D_{A}^{-1/2} \,, & D_{A} &= diag(A) \,, \ & B_{S} &= D_{B}^{-1/2} B \, D_{B}^{-1/2} \,, & D_{B} &= diag(B) \,, \end{aligned}$$

are small. We have $A_S = (a_{lm}^{(S)}), B_S = (b_{lm}^{(S)}),$

$$a_{lm}^{(S)} = \frac{a_{lm}}{\sqrt{a_{ll}a_{mm}}}, \quad b_{lm}^{(S)} = \frac{b_{lm}}{\sqrt{b_{ll}b_{mm}}} = \frac{b_{lm}}{\sqrt{1\cdot 1}} = b_{lm} \implies B_S = B.$$

We assume that

(A1) $|a_{lm}^{(S)}| \le \alpha_0 \le 0.5, |b_{lm}| \le \beta_0 \le 0.5, l \ne m.$

Perturbation Theorem (Drmač)

Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_n$ $(\lambda'_1 \ge \lambda'_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda'_n)$ be the eigenvalues of the pair (A, B) $((A + \delta A, B + \delta B))$ where A, B are positive definite and $\delta A, \delta B$ are symmetric perturbations.

Let $(\delta A)_{S} = D_{A}^{-1/2} \delta A D_{A}^{-1/2}$, $\varepsilon_{A_{S}} = \| (\delta A)_{S} \|_{2} / \| A_{S} \|_{2}$ and $(\delta B)_{S} = D_{B}^{-1/2} \delta B D_{B}^{-1/2}$, $\varepsilon_{B_{S}} = \| (\delta B)_{S} \|_{2} / \| B_{S} \|_{2}$

If

$$\|(\delta A)_{S}\|_{2} \cdot \|A_{S}^{-1}\|_{2} < 1 \text{ and } \|(\delta B)_{S}\|_{2} \cdot \|B_{S}^{-1}\|_{2} < 1,$$

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{\left|\lambda'_{i} - \lambda_{i}\right|}{\lambda_{i}} \le \frac{\varepsilon_{A_{S}} \kappa_{2}(A_{S}) + \varepsilon_{B_{S}} \kappa_{2}(B_{S})}{1 - \varepsilon_{B_{S}} \kappa_{2}(B_{S})}$$

Application of Perturbation Theorem

Note again that (A, B), (A', B') and (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) , where $A' = Z^T A Z$, $B' = Z^T B Z$, $\tilde{A} = fl(Z)^T \cdot A \cdot fl(Z)$, $\tilde{B} = fl(Z)^T \cdot B \cdot fl(Z)$, have the same eigenvalues.

We apply the perturbation theorem to the matrix pairs (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) and $(\mathrm{fl}(\tilde{A}), \mathrm{fl}(\tilde{B}))$, where $\mathrm{fl}(\tilde{A}) = \tilde{A} + \delta \tilde{A}$, $\mathrm{fl}(\tilde{B}) = \tilde{B} + \delta \tilde{B}$. We need to estimate $\|(\delta \tilde{A})_{S}\|_{2}$ and $\|(\delta \tilde{B})_{S}\|_{2}$.

Bounds for the Perturbation Matrices

Let $\delta \tilde{A}$, $\delta \tilde{B}$ be the perturbations caused by finite arithmetic computation in HZ algorithm. If (A1) holds then

$$\begin{split} \left\| (\delta \tilde{A})_{s} \right\|_{2} &\leq \left(8\alpha_{ij} + 4\sqrt{2} \alpha'_{ij'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u + \left(12.002\alpha_{ij} + 2\sqrt{2} \alpha'_{ij'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u^{2} \\ &\leq \left(8.001\alpha_{ij} + 5.657\alpha'_{ij'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u , \\ \left\| (\delta \tilde{B})_{s} \right\|_{2} &\leq \left(11\beta_{ij} + 4\sqrt{2} \beta'_{ij'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u + \left(227.033\beta_{ij} + 2\sqrt{2} \beta'_{jj'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u^{2} \\ &\leq \left(11.001\beta_{ij} + 5.657\beta'_{ij'} \sqrt{n-2} \right) u , \\ \text{where} &\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1+|a_{ij}^{(S)}|}{1-|a_{ij}^{(S)}|} \leq 3, \quad \alpha'_{ij'} = \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\sqrt{1-|a_{ij'}^{(S)}|}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} , \\ &\beta_{ij} = \frac{1+|b_{ij}|}{1-|b_{ij}|} \leq 3, \quad \beta'_{ij'} = \frac{\beta_{0}}{\sqrt{1-|b_{ij}|}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} . \\ \text{Note that} &\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \to 1, \ \alpha'_{ij'} \beta'_{ij'} \to 0 \quad \text{as the process advances.} \end{split}$$

Numerical experiments

Numerical experiments are made using

- MATLAB R2019b on a 64-bit PC
- symbolic toolbox and variable precision arithmetic to compute the actual relative errors of the main variables
- sample of 1186 well-scaled matrix pairs of order 100
- MATLAB eig function for comparison

Numerical experiments

Two versions of HZ method, with two different pairs of formulas for computing diagonal elements of *A*, were tested:

HZ

$$a'_{ii'} = c1^{2} \cdot a_{ij} + s2^{2} \cdot a_{jj} + 2 \cdot c1 \cdot s2 \cdot a_{ij}$$

$$a'_{jj'} = s1^{2} \cdot a_{ij} + c2^{2} \cdot a_{jj} - 2 \cdot c2 \cdot s1 \cdot a_{ij}$$
HZO

$$a'_{ii'} = a_{ii} + \left[(b_{ij} / \tau - s1)(b_{ij} / \tau + s1) \cdot a_{ii} + (2 \cdot c1 \cdot a_{ij} + s2 \cdot a_{jj}) \cdot s2 \right]$$

$$a'_{jj'} = a_{jj} - \left[(s2 - b_{ij} / \tau)(s2 + b_{ij} / \tau) \cdot a_{jj} + (2 \cdot c2 \cdot a_{ij} - s1 \cdot a_{ii}) \cdot s1 \right]$$

Numerical experiments – results



References

V. Hari: *Globally convergent Jacobi methods for positive definite matrix pairs*, Numer. Alg. 79 (1) (2018), pp. 221-249.

V. Hari: On the global convergence of the complex HZ method, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 40 (4) (2019), pp. 1291-1310.

V. Hari: On the global convergence of the block Jacobi method for the positive definite generalized eigenvalue problem, Calcolo 58 (24) (2021).

V. Hari: On the quadratic convergence of the complex HZ method for the positive definite generalized eigenvalue problem, Linear Algebra and Appl. 632 (1) (2022), pp.153-192.

J. Matejaš, V. Hari: On the high relative accuracy of the HZ method, under second round of revision, Applied Mathematics and Comp., pp. 1-25.

Thanks for your attention.

