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## JACOBI METHOD

- Jacobi method for solving the eigenproblem of symmetric matrices is an iterative process of the form

$$
\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}=\mathbf{U}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{(k)} \mathbf{U}_{k}, \quad k \geq 0
$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{k}$ are orthogonal matrices. (Jacobi, 1846)

- Goal of the $k$-th step: make $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}$ "more diagonal" than $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$.
- Off-norm of $X: S^{2}(X)=\frac{\|X-\operatorname{diag}(X)\|_{F}^{2}}{2}$
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- Jacobi method for solving the eigenproblem of symmetric matrices is an iterative process of the form

$$
\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}=\mathbf{U}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{(k)} \mathbf{U}_{k}, \quad k \geq 0
$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{k}$ are orthogonal matrices. (Jacobi, 1846)

- Goal of the $k$-th step: make $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}$ "more diagonal" than $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$.
- Off-norm of $X: S^{2}(X)=\frac{\|X-\operatorname{diag}(X)\|_{F}^{2}}{2}$
- Convergence: $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ diagonal matrix
- $S\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right) \leq \gamma S(\mathbf{A}), \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1$, where $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is the matrix obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ after one full cycle and constant $\gamma$ does not depend on $\mathbf{A}$.


## BLOCK JACOBI METHOD

- $\pi=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ is a partition of $n$,

$$
n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m}=n, \quad n_{i} \geq 1,1 \leq i \leq m
$$

- $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}=\mathbf{A}$ is a symmetric square block matrix of order $n$,

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1 m} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & & A_{2 m} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{m 1} & A_{m 2} & \ldots & A_{m m}
\end{array}\right] \begin{gathered}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
\vdots \\
n_{m}
\end{gathered} .
$$

## BLOCK JACOBI METHOD

- $\mathbf{U}_{k}, k \geq 0$ are orthogonal elementary block matrices,

$$
\mathbf{U}_{k}=\mathbf{U}_{i(k), j(k)}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
l & & & & \\
& U_{i i} & & U_{i j} & \\
& U_{j i} & & U_{j j} & \\
& & & & I
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& n_{i} \\
& n_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Matrices

$$
\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{i i} & U_{i j} \\
U_{j i} & U_{j j}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{i i} & A_{i j} \\
A_{j i} & A_{j j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

are pivot submatrices and $(i, j)=(i(k), j(k))$ is pivot pair.

- $\mathbf{U}_{i j}=\mathcal{E}\left(i, j, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{i j}\right)$
- $k$-th step:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{i i}^{(k+1)} & 0 \\
0 & \Lambda_{i j}^{(k+1)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u_{i( }^{(k)} & U_{i j}^{(k)} \\
U_{j i}^{(k)} & u_{j j}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{i i}^{(k)} & A_{i j}^{(k)} \\
\left(A_{i j}^{(k)}\right)^{T} & A_{j j}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u_{i i}^{(k)} & u_{i j}^{(k)} \\
u_{j i}^{(k)} & u_{i j}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## PREPROCESSING

$A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc|c|cc}x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x\end{array}\right] \mapsto \quad A^{(0)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc|c|cc}x & 0 & 0 & x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & 0 & x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & 0 & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & 0 & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & 0 \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & 0 & x\end{array}\right]$

## UBC MATRICES

- Uniformly bounded cosine (UBC) matrices $\mathbf{U}_{k}=\left(U_{r s}^{(k)}\right)$ (Drmač, 2009)

$$
\min _{1 \leq r \leq m} \sigma_{\min }\left(U_{r r}^{(k)}\right) \geq c>0, \quad k \geq k_{0} \geq 0
$$

- This is generalizetion of the Forsythe-Henrici condition:

The cosine of the rotation angles has to be uniformly bounded by a positive constant.

- Elementary UBC matrices are denoted by UBCE.


## PIVOT STRATEGIES

- Pivot strategy can be identified with a function

$$
\begin{aligned}
I: \mathbb{N}_{0} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{m} \\
\text { where } \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1, \ldots\} & \text { and } \mathbf{P}_{m}=\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq m\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Periodic pivot strategy with period $T$
- Cyclic: if $T=M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ and $\{I(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq M-1\}=\mathbf{P}_{m}$
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- Pivot strategy can be identified with a function

$$
\begin{aligned}
I: \mathbb{N}_{0} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{m} \\
\text { where } \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1, \ldots\} & \text { and } \mathbf{P}_{m}=\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq m\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Periodic pivot strategy with period $T$
- Cyclic: if $T=M \equiv \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ and $\{I(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq M-1\}=\mathbf{P}_{m}$
- For a given cyclic strategy $I$, pivot ordering is the sequence

$$
\mathcal{O}_{I}=(i(0), j(0)), \ldots,(i(M-1), j(M-1)) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)
$$

## Jacobi annihilators and operators

## FUNCTION vec

- Column vector of $X$

$$
\operatorname{col}(X)=\left[x_{11}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{p 1}, \ldots, x_{1 q}, \ldots, x_{p q}\right]^{T}
$$

- Let $A$ be a symmetric block matrix with partition $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$. Then
$\operatorname{vec}_{\pi}(A)=\left[\begin{array}{c}c_{2} \\ c_{3} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n}\end{array}\right], \quad$ where $c_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\operatorname{col}\left(A_{1 j}\right) \\ \operatorname{col}\left(A_{2 j}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{col}\left(A_{j-1, j}\right)\end{array}\right], 2 \leq j \leq m$.
- $\operatorname{vec}_{\pi}: \mathbf{S}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{K}, \quad K=N-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i}\left(n_{i}-1\right)}{2}, N=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ is the space of symmetric matrices of order $n$, is a linear operator.


## FUNCTION vec ${ }_{0}$ and OPERATOR $\mathcal{N}_{i j}$

- Let $\mathbf{S}_{0, n}$ be the space of symmetric matrices of order $n$ whose diagonal blocks are zero. Then $\mathrm{vec}_{0}=\left.\mathrm{vec}\right|_{\mathbf{s}_{0, n}}$ is bijection.


## FUNCTION vec ${ }_{0}$ and OPERATOR $\mathcal{N}_{i j}$

- Let $\mathbf{S}_{0, n}$ be the space of symmetric matrices of order $n$ whose diagonal blocks are zero. Then $\mathrm{vec}_{0}=\left.\mathrm{vec}\right|_{\mathbf{s}_{0, n}}$ is bijection.
- Linear operator $\mathcal{N}_{i j}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ diagonalize the pivot submatrix of the argument matrix,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{i j}(\mathbf{X})=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_{11} & & \cdots & & X_{1 m} \\
& \operatorname{diag}\left(X_{i i}\right) & & 0 & \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
X_{m 1} & 0 & \ldots & \operatorname{diag}\left(X_{j j}\right) & \\
X_{m m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## BLOCK JACOBI ANNIHILATORS

## Definition

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n$. Let $\hat{\mathbf{U}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{12}^{T} & U_{22}\end{array}\right]$, be orthogonal matrix of the order $n_{i}+n_{j}$ and let $\mathbf{U}=\mathcal{E}(i, j, \hat{\mathbf{U}})$ be the appropriate elementary block matrix.

The transformation $\Im_{i j}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})$ determined by

$$
\Im_{i j}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{A}))=\operatorname{vec}\left(\mathcal{N}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}\right)\right), \quad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{S}_{n}
$$

is called $i j$-block Jacobi annihilator.
For each pair $1 \leq i<j \leq m$,

$$
\Im_{i j}=\left\{\Im_{i j}(\hat{\mathbf{U}}) \mid \hat{\mathbf{U}} \text { is orthogonal matrix of order } n_{i}+n_{j}\right\}
$$

is the ij-class of block Jacobi annihilators.

## Computing $\Im_{i j}(\hat{U}) a$

$a \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$ an arbitrary vector
$A=\operatorname{vec}_{0}^{-1}(a)$

$$
/ / \mathbf{A}
$$

FOR $r=1, \ldots, m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{r i}^{\prime}=A_{r i} U_{i i}+A_{r j} U_{j i} \\
& A_{r j}^{\prime}=A_{r i} U_{i j}+A_{r j} U_{j j}
\end{aligned}
$$

EndFor

$$
/ / \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{A U}
$$

FOR $r=1, \ldots, m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i r}^{\prime}=U_{i i}^{T} A_{i r}+U_{j i}^{T} A_{j r} \\
& A_{j r}^{\prime}=U_{i j}^{T} A_{i r}+U_{j j}^{T} A_{j r}
\end{aligned}
$$

EndFor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i j}^{\prime}=0, A_{j i}^{\prime}=0 \\
& A_{i j}^{l i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{i j}^{\prime}\right), A_{j j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{j j}^{\prime}\right) \\
& a^{\prime}=\operatorname{vec}\left(A^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
/ / \mathcal{N}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{A U}\right)
$$

## BLOCK JACOBI ANNIHILATORS - Example

 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 8}, \pi=(2,2,2,2), i=1, j=2$. Then $K=24$ and
where $U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{21}, U_{22}$ are the blocks of order 2 of $\hat{\mathbf{U}} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ is orthogonal.

## BLOCK JACOBI ANNIHILATORS - Properties

- $\left\|\Im_{i j}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})\right\|_{2}=1$, except for $m=2$ when $\Im_{12}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})=0$.
- $\Im$ differs from the identity matrix $\mathbb{K}_{K}$ in exactly $m-1$ principal submatrices.
- $\Im \subseteq \Im_{i j} \Rightarrow \Im^{T} \in \Im_{i j}$
- $\Im \in \Im_{i j}^{\mathrm{UBC}} \Rightarrow \Im^{T} \in \Im_{i j}^{\mathrm{UBC}}$
- Henrici, Zimmerman 1968; Hari 2009, 2015; BK 2014


## BLOCK JACOBI ANNIHILATORS - Properties

- $\left\|\Im_{i j}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})\right\|_{2}=1$,
except for $m=2$ when $\Im_{12}(\hat{\mathbf{U}})=0$.
- $\Im$ differs from the identity matrix $\mathbb{I}_{K}$ in exactly $m-1$ principal submatrices.
- $\Im \subseteq \Im_{i j} \Rightarrow \Im^{T} \in \Im_{i j}$
- $\mathfrak{\Im} \in \Im_{i j}^{\mathrm{UBC}} \Rightarrow \Im^{T} \in \Im_{i j}^{\mathrm{UBC}}$
- Henrici, Zimmerman 1968; Hari 2009, 2015; BK 2014
- Transforming a matrix process into a vector process

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)} & =\mathcal{N}_{i j}\left(\mathbf{U}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{(k)} \mathbf{U}_{k}\right) \\
& \downarrow \\
a^{(k+1)} & =\Im_{i(k), j(k)}\left(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{k}\right) a^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## BLOCK JACOBI OPERATORS

## Definition

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n$ and

$$
\mathcal{O}=\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right),\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}=\{\mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{J}= & \Im_{i_{M-1} j_{M-1}} \Im_{i_{M-2} j_{M-2}} \ldots \Im_{i_{0} j_{0}} \\
& \left.\Im_{i_{k j} j_{k}} \in \Im_{i_{k} j_{k}}, 0 \leq k \leq M-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the class of block Jacobi operators associated with ordering $\mathcal{O}$.
The matrices $\mathcal{J}$ of order $K$ from $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}$ are block Jacobi operators.

## Pivot orderings

## PIVOT ORDERINGS

- By $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)$ we denote the set of all finite sequences containing the elements of $\mathbf{P}_{m}$, assuming that each pair from $\mathbf{P}_{m}$ appears at least once in each sequence.
- For a given cyclic strategy $I$, pivot ordering is the sequence

$$
\mathcal{O}_{I}=(i(0), j(0)), \ldots,(i(M-1), j(M-1)) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)
$$

- For a given ordering $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)$, the cyclic strategy $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is defined by

$$
I_{\mathcal{O}}(k)=\left(i_{\tau(k)}, j_{\tau(k)}\right), \quad 0 \leq \tau(k) \leq M-1,
$$

where $k \equiv \tau(k)(\bmod M), k \geq 0$.

## PIVOT ORDERINGS

- Visualization of an ordering $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbf{P}_{m}$ : symmetric matrix of order $m, M_{\mathcal{O}}=\left(m_{r t}\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{m}_{i(k) j(k)}=\mathrm{m}_{j(k) i(k)}=k, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, M-1
$$

We set $\mathrm{m}_{r r}=*, 1 \leq r \leq m$.

- Example: Serial pivot orderings, column-wise and row-wise

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
* & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\
0 & * & 2 & 4 & 7 \\
1 & 2 & * & 5 & 8 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & * & 9 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & *
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{r}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
* & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
0 & * & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 4 & * & 7 & 8 \\
2 & 5 & 7 & * & 9 \\
3 & 6 & 8 & 9 & *
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## EQUIVALENT ORDERINGS

- Admissible transposition on $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{m}$ is a transposition of two adjacent terms

$$
\left(i_{r}, j_{r}\right),\left(i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right),\left(i_{r}, j_{r}\right),
$$

provided that $\left\{i_{r}, j_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right\}$ are disjoint.

## EQUIVALENT ORDERINGS

- Admissible transposition on $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{m}$ is a transposition of two adjacent terms

$$
\left(i_{r}, j_{r}\right),\left(i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right),\left(i_{r}, j_{r}\right),
$$

provided that $\left\{i_{r}, j_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{r+1}, j_{r+1}\right\}$ are disjoint.
Two sequences $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)$ are:
(i) equivalent (we write $\mathcal{O} \sim \mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ ) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite set of admissible transpositions,
(ii) shift-equivalent $\left(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{\mathrm{s}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ if $\mathcal{O}=\left[\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}\right]$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}=\left[\mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{1}\right]$, where $[$,$] stands for concatenation,$
(iii) weak equivalent $\left(\mathcal{O} \stackrel{\mathbb{w}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ if there exist $\mathcal{O}_{i} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)$, $0 \leq i \leq r$, such that in the sequence $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{r}=\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ every two adjacent terms are equivalent or shift-equivalent.

## CONVERGENT ORDERINGS

## Theorem (Hansen 1963, Shroff and Schreiber 1989)

If a block Jacobi method converges for some cyclic ordering, then it converges for all orderings that are weak equivalent to it.

The block methods defined by equivalent cyclic orderings generate the same matrices after each full cycle and within the same cycle they produce the same sets of orthogonal elementary matrices.

## CONVERGENT ORDERINGS

## Theorem (Hansen 1963, Shroff and Schreiber 1989)

If a block Jacobi method converges for some cyclic ordering, then it converges for all orderings that are weak equivalent to it.

The block methods defined by equivalent cyclic orderings generate the same matrices after each full cycle and within the same cycle they produce the same sets of orthogonal elementary matrices.

What do we do?
Enlarge the set of "convergent orderings".

## INVERSE AND PERMUTATION EQUIVALENT

- Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right), \mathcal{O}=\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right),\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow}=\left(i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)
$$

is inverse ordering to $\mathcal{O}$.

## INVERSE AND PERMUTATION EQUIVALENT

- Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right), \mathcal{O}=\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right),\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\leftarrow}=\left(i_{M-1}, j_{M-1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)
$$

is inverse ordering to $\mathcal{O}$.

- Two pivot orderings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)$ are permutation equivalent if

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{PM}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{P}^{T}
$$

holds for some permutation matrix P . We write $\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$.

## PROPERTIES OF BLOCK JACOBI OPERATORS

If two sequences $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}(S)$ are

- equivalent $\Rightarrow \mathcal{J O}_{\mathcal{O}}=\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}$,
- shift-equivalent $\Rightarrow \operatorname{spr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}\right)=\operatorname{spr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}\right)$,
- weak equivalent $\Rightarrow \operatorname{spr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}\right)=\operatorname{spr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}\right)$,
- permutation equivalent $\Rightarrow\left\|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}\right\|_{2}=\left\|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}\right\|_{2}$,
- reverse to each other $\Rightarrow\left\|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}\right\|_{2}=\left\|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}\right\|_{2}$.

Application

## SERIAL STRATEGIES WITH PERMUTATIONS

Examples:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & 0 & 2 & 4 & 9 & 12 \\
0 & * & 1 & 5 & 8 & 10 \\
2 & 1 & * & 3 & 7 & 13 \\
4 & 5 & 3 & * & 6 & 11 \\
9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & * & 14 \\
12 & 10 & 13 & 11 & 14 & *
\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_{c}^{(6)}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & 11 & 13 & 12 & 10 & 14 \\
10 & * & 9 & 7 & 6 & 8 \\
11 & 9 & * & 5 & 3 & 4 \\
12 & 6 & 5 & * & 1 & 2 \\
13 & 7 & 3 & 1 & * & 0 \\
14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(6)}
$$

## SERIAL STRATEGIES WITH PERMUTATIONS

Formal definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)}=\left\{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)\right. & \mid \mathcal{O}=(1,2),\left(\pi_{3}(1), 3\right),\left(\pi_{3}(2), 3\right), \ldots, \\
& \left.\left(\pi_{m}(1), m\right), \ldots,\left(\pi_{m}(m-1), m\right), \quad \pi_{j} \in \Pi^{(1, j-1)}, 3 \leq j \leq m\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)}=\left\{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right)\right. & \mid \mathcal{O}=(m-1, m),\left(m-2, \tau_{m-2}(m)\right),\left(m-2, \tau_{m-2}(m-1)\right), \ldots, \\
& \left.\left(1, \tau_{1}(m)\right), \ldots,\left(1, \tau_{1}(2)\right), \quad \tau_{i} \in \Pi^{(i+1, m)}, 1 \leq i \leq m-2\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Pi^{\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}$ stands for the set of all permutations of $\left\{l_{1}, l_{1}+1, \ldots, l_{2}\right\}$.

## SERIAL STRATEGIES WITH PERMUTATIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(m)}=\left\{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right) \mid \mathcal{O}^{+} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}\right\}, \\
& \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(m)}=\left\{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right) \mid \mathcal{O}^{\circ} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & 14 & 12 & 10 & 5 & 2 \\
14 & * & 13 & 9 & 6 & 4 \\
12 & 13 & * & 11 & 7 & 1 \\
10 & 9 & 11 & * & 8 & 3 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & * & 0 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right] \in \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{c}^{(6)}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & * & 5 & 8 & 7 & 6 \\
3 & 5 & * & 9 & 11 & 10 \\
2 & 8 & 9 & * & 13 & 12 \\
1 & 7 & 11 & 13 & * & 14 \\
0 & 6 & 10 & 12 & 14 & *
\end{array}\right] \in \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}_{r}^{(6)}
$$

## SERIAL STRATEGIES WITH PERMUTATIONS

Set

$$
\mathcal{B}_{s p}^{(m)}=\mathcal{B}_{c}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_{c}}{ }^{(m)} \cup \mathcal{B}_{r}^{(m)} \cup \overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_{r}}{ }^{(m)}
$$

## Theorem (BK, Hari)

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s p}^{(m)}$ and let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}{ }^{\text {UBC }}$ be a block Jacobi operator.

Then there are constants $\gamma_{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n}$ depending only on $\pi$ and $n$, respectively, such that

$$
\|\mathcal{J}\|_{2} \leq \gamma_{\pi}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_{\pi}<\tilde{\gamma}_{n}<1
$$

## SERIAL STRATEGIES WITH PERMUTATIONS

## Theorem (BK, Hari)

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s p}^{(m)}$ and let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$ be a block matrix. Let $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by applying one sweep of the cyclic block Jacobi method defined by the strategy 10 .

If all transformation matrices are from the class $U B C E$, then there are constants $\gamma_{\pi}$ (depending only on $\pi$ ) and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n}$ (depending only on $n$ ) such that

$$
S^{2}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right) \leq \gamma_{\pi} S^{2}(\mathbf{A}), \quad 0 \leq \gamma_{\pi}<\tilde{\gamma}_{n}<1
$$

## GENERALIZED SERIAL STRATEGIES

Set

$$
\mathcal{B}_{s g}^{(m)}=\left\{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{P}_{m}\right) \mid \mathcal{O} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \stackrel{\mathrm{w}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime} \text { or } \mathcal{O} \stackrel{\mathrm{w}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{s p}^{(m)}\right\}
$$

## Theorem (BK, Hari)

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s g}^{(m)}$ and let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}{ }^{\text {UBC }}$ be a block Jacobi operator. Suppose the chain connecting $\mathcal{O}$ to $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{s p}^{(m)}$ is in canonical form and contains $d$ shift equivalences.

Then there are constants $\gamma_{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n}$ depending only on $\pi$ and $n$, respectively, such that for any $d+1$ Jacobi operators $\mathcal{J}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{J}_{d+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text {UBC }}$ it holds

$$
\left\|\mathcal{J}_{1} \mathcal{J}_{2} \cdots \mathcal{J}_{d+1}\right\|_{2} \leq \gamma_{\pi}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_{\pi}<\tilde{\gamma}_{n}<1
$$

## GENERALIZED SERIAL STRATEGIES

## Theorem (BK, Hari)

Let $\pi=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $n, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}_{s g}^{(m)}$ and let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$ be a block matrix. Suppose the chain connecting $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ is in canonical form and contains $d$ shift equivalences. Let $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by applying $d+1$ sweeps of the cyclic block Jacobi method defined by the strategy $I_{\mathcal{O}}$.

If all transformation matrices are from the class $U B C E$, then there are constants $\gamma_{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n}$ depending only on $\pi$ and $n$, respectively, such that

$$
S^{2}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right) \leq \gamma_{\pi} S^{2}(\mathbf{A}), \quad 0 \leq \gamma_{\pi}<\tilde{\gamma}_{n}<1
$$

## SUMMARY

- We defined a class of Jacobi annihilators and operators designed for the block Jacobi method for symmetric matrices.
- This brings a more general view at block Jacobi method which can be used in the convergence considerations.
- Using reverse orderings and permutations, the class of "convergent" pivot strategies is further enlarged.
- The convergence results are given in a stronger form

$$
S\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right) \leq \gamma S(\mathbf{A}), \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1
$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is the matrix obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ after one full cycle and constant $\gamma$ does not depend on $\mathbf{A}$.

- This approach can be used for more general block Jacobi-type methods.
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