



Glasnik
Matematički

SERIJA III

www.math.hr/glasnik

Sanja Rukavina and Vladimir D. Tonchev

On symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with an automorphism of order 6

Manuscript accepted

January 25, 2023.

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. It has not been copyedited, proofread, or finalized by Glasnik Production staff.

ON SYMMETRIC 2-(70, 24, 8) DESIGNS WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER 6

SANJA RUKAVINA AND VLADIMIR D. TONCHEV

University of Rijeka, Croatia, and Michigan Technological University, USA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we analyze possible actions of an automorphism of order six on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design, and give a complete classification for the action of the cyclic group of order six $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_6 \cong Z_2 \times Z_3$, where ρ^3 fixes exactly 14 points (blocks) and ρ^2 fixes 4 points (blocks). Up to isomorphism there are 3718 such designs. This result significantly increases the number of previously known 2-(70, 24, 8) designs.

In memory of Professor Zvonimir Janko

1. INTRODUCTION

We assume familiarity with the basic facts and notions from the theory of combinatorial designs [1], [12], [14].

The first symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) design was constructed by Zvonimir Janko and Tran van Trung in 1984 [11]. The Janko-Trung design is a self-dual design¹ with a full automorphism group isomorphic to the group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ of order 42. Later on, following the same method based on enumeration of cosets in a group (see [10]), A. Golemac proved that, up to isomorphism and duality, there exist five symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs whose automorphism group is isomorphic to the group $E_8 : Frob_{21}$ of order 168 [8]. The five designs that A. Golemac found are all non-self-dual, hence there are ten nonisomorphic designs invariant under the group $E_8 : Frob_{21}$ of order 168. In [3], D. Crnković proved the existence of twenty-two nonisomorphic symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs having a full automorphism group isomorphic to $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$. Since the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05B05, 94B05.

Key words and phrases. symmetric design, automorphism group.

¹A symmetric design is *self-dual* if it is isomorphic to its dual design. The *dual* design D^T of a design D with an incidence matrix A is the design with incidence matrix A^T .

designs constructed by Crnković include the first design found by Janko and Trung, the existence of 32 symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs has been established so far. To the best of our knowledge, there are no other successful attempts to construct 2-(70, 24, 8) designs, and no example of a 2-(70, 24, 8) design with a full automorphism group of order smaller than 42 is known.

In this paper we analyze possible actions of an automorphism of order six on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design, and give a complete classification for the action of the cyclic automorphism group of order six $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_6 \cong Z_2 \times Z_3$ where ρ^3 fixes exactly 14 points (blocks) and ρ^2 fixes 4 points (blocks). For such an action, the existence of 3718 non-isomorphic symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs is proved.

2. A CONSTRUCTION OF 2-(70, 24, 8) DESIGNS WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER SIX

For the construction of 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with an automorphism of order six we use the method for constructing orbit matrices with presumed action of an automorphism group, which are then indexed to construct designs (see, for example, [4], [7], [10]). This method is often used when a presumed automorphism group is of composite order. In particular, we use the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.1. [5, Proposition 2.3] *Let p and q be two distinct prime numbers and $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_{p \cdot q} \cong Z_p \times Z_q$ be a cyclic automorphism group of a symmetric block design \mathcal{D} . Then the G -orbits of points (or blocks) of the design \mathcal{D} having length p or q consist of fixed points (or blocks) of the permutation ρ^p or ρ^q , respectively. Furthermore, the G -orbits of points (or blocks) of the design \mathcal{D} having length $p \cdot q$ consist of p $\langle \rho^p \rangle$ -orbits of length q , and q $\langle \rho^q \rangle$ -orbits of length p .*

Using Proposition 2.1, after constructing orbit matrices for an automorphism group $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_6 \cong Z_2 \times Z_3$, we construct their refinements and obtain orbit matrices for the cyclic group $\langle \rho^3 \rangle \triangleleft G$ of order two, such that the corresponding designs admit ρ^2 as an automorphism. From these orbit matrices we construct symmetric designs. For a detailed explanation of the method of construction, the reader is referred to [4]. In our work we use computers. In addition to our own computer programs, we use computer programs by V. Čepulić for the construction of orbit matrices and the computer algebra system MAGMA [2] when working with codes.

2.1. Possible actions of an automorphism of order six on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design. The first step in the construction is to determine possible orbit lengths distributions. For that we need the following results.

PROPOSITION 2.2. [12, Corollary 3.7] *Suppose that a nonidentity automorphism σ of a symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) design fixes f points. Then*

$$f \leq v - 2(k - \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad f \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{k - \sqrt{k - \lambda}} \right) v.$$

Moreover, if equality holds in either inequality, σ must be an involution and every non-fixed block contains exactly λ fixed points.

PROPOSITION 2.3. [12, Proposition 4.23] *Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a nontrivial symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) design with an involution σ fixing f points and blocks. If $f \neq 0$ then*

$$f \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{k}{\lambda}, & \text{if } k \text{ and } \lambda \text{ are both even,} \\ 1 + \frac{k-1}{\lambda}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by f_i , $i \in \{2, 3\}$, the number of fixed points for an action of an automorphism of order i on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design. From Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 we have $f_2 \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28\}$ and $f_3 \in \{1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25\}$.

Suppose that an automorphism ρ of order six acts on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design with the orbit lengths distribution $(d_1 \times 1, d_2 \times 2, d_3 \times 3, d_6 \times 6)$, where d_i denotes the number of orbits of length i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. If ρ^3 fixes f_2 points and ρ^2 fixes f_3 points, then $d_1 + 2d_2 = f_3$ and $d_1 + 3d_3 = f_2$. Furthermore, $d_1 + 2d_2 + 3d_3 + 6d_6 = 70$.

We checked all corresponding orbit lengths distributions for an action of an automorphism group of order six $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_6 \cong Z_2 \times Z_3$, applying the method for the construction of symmetric designs from orbit matrices for presumed action of an automorphism group and Proposition 2.1. The results of our analysis are given in Table 1, where “-” means that a corresponding orbit matrix does not exist and “?” means that the construction of the corresponding orbit matrices is out of our reach because of the large number of possibilities. If the corresponding orbit matrices are constructed for some f_2 and f_3 , then “ d/s ” in Table 1 means that there are d corresponding orbit matrices and the meaning of a string “?”/“Y”/“N” is “the construction is out of our reach”/“corresponding designs exist”/“designs do not exist”, respectively.

REMARK 2.4. The construction of 2-(70, 24, 8) designs from orbit matrices for an action of an automorphism of order six for the cases marked with “*” and “**” in Table 1 is out of our reach. However, the existence of 2-(70, 24, 8) designs for these cases has been proved using an action of a group of order 42 and 168, respectively (for more details see [3] for the case marked with “*” and [8] for the case marked with “**”).

The results of our analysis of possible actions of an automorphism of order six on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design are summarized in Theorem 2.5.

TABLE 1. Possible actions of an automorphism of order six on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design

$f_2 \setminus f_3$	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	25
0	-	1491806/?*	-	1871/?	-	5/N	-	-	-
4	5934/?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	141907/?**	-	159/N	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	18850/?	-	15/N	-	-	-	-	-
10	251398/?	-	1546/N	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	-	?	-	239/N	-	-	-	-	-
14	-	65205/Y	-	-	-	4/N	-	-	-
16	?	739/N	87/N	-	2/N	-	-	-	-
18	-	?	37/N	143/N	-	-	-	-	-
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	?	20/N	13/N	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	-	?	-	17/N	-	-	-	-	-
26	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	?	178/N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

THEOREM 2.5. *Let ρ be an automorphism of order six acting on a symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) design \mathcal{D} . Let f_2 and f_3 denote the number of fixed points (blocks) of ρ^3 and ρ^2 , respectively.*

(a) *If ρ^3 acts on \mathcal{D} without fixed points (blocks), then $f_3 \in \{4, 10\}$.*

(b) *If $f_2 > 0$, then $f_3 \in \{1, 4\}$. Especially, if ρ^2 fixes exactly one point (block), then $f_2 \in \{4, 10, 16, 22, 28\}$, and if ρ^2 fixes exactly four points (blocks), then $f_2 \in \{6, 8, 12, 14, 18, 24, 26\}$.*

2.2. *New symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs.* In our analysis described in the previous section, we proved the existence of symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with the cyclic automorphism group of order six $G = \langle \rho \rangle \cong Z_6 \cong Z_2 \times Z_3$ where ρ^3 fixes exactly 14 points (blocks) and ρ^2 fixes 4 points (blocks). The corresponding orbit lengths distribution is $(2 \times 1, 1 \times 2, 4 \times 3, 9 \times 6)$ and there are 65205 orbit matrices for that case. Further analysis of these orbit matrices, which consists in constructing their refinements to obtain the corresponding orbit matrices for the cyclic group Z_2 (see Proposition 2.1), to which the Janko-Trung indexing step is then applied, shows that among them only 66 orbit matrices generate 2-(70, 24, 8) designs admitting an automorphism of order six. After eliminating isomorphic copies we obtain 3718 non-isomorphic 2-(70, 24, 8) designs. Table 2 contains more information on the designs constructed. Note that no example of a 2-(70, 24, 8) design with a full automorphism group of order 6 or 24 has been known previously. All designs from Table 2 are available at

<https://www.math.uniri.hr/~sanjar/structures/>

TABLE 2. 2-(70, 24, 8) designs on which an automorphism of order six acts with orbit lengths distribution $(2 \times 1, 1 \times 2, 4 \times 3, 9 \times 6)$

No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})_{3510}$	No. of self-dual designs	No. of dually isomorphic pairs
3510	6	Z_6	10	1750
184	24	$A_4 \times Z_2$	0	92
16	42	$Frob_{21} \times Z_2$	2	7
8	168	$E_8 : Frob_{21}$	0	4

The results of our classification of 2-(70, 24, 8) designs on which an automorphism of order six acts with orbit lengths distribution $(2 \times 1, 1 \times 2, 4 \times 3, 9 \times 6)$ are summarized in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let ρ be an automorphism of order six acting on a symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) design. Up to isomorphism, there are exactly 3718 symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs on which the group $\langle \rho \rangle$ acts so that ρ^3 fixes fourteen points (blocks) and ρ^2 fixes four points (blocks). Among these designs, there are 12 self-dual designs and 1853 pairs of dually nonisomorphic designs. Exactly 3510 designs have a full automorphism group isomorphic to the cyclic group of order six. Furthermore, 184 designs have a full automorphism group of order 24 isomorphic to the group $A_4 \times Z_2$, 16 designs have a full automorphism group of order 42 isomorphic to the group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ and 8 designs have a full automorphism group of order 168 isomorphic to the group $E_8 : Frob_{21}$.*

As we have already mentioned, the classification of symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with a full automorphism group isomorphic to $E_8 : Frob_{21}$ was completed by A. Golemac [8]. Our designs with a full automorphism group of order 168 coincide with the eight designs with three orbits given in [8]. The remaining two 2-(70, 24, 8) designs from [8] have two orbits and they were not obtained in our construction, since in that case an involution acts with six fixed points.

Furthermore, symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with a full automorphism group isomorphic to the group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ were previously constructed by D. Crnković [3]. Nine designs from [3, Theorem 7] did not occur in our construction, since in that case an involution acts without fixed points (blocks). In [3, Theorem 8], the existence of eight symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs (up to isomorphism and duality) with the automorphism group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ acting with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$ was proved. An analysis of designs given as a part of the proof of that theorem in [3] shows that among these designs there are two self-dual designs, which means that 14 designs with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$ were constructed in [3], and that the total number of designs constructed in [3] is 23. In our construction of designs on which an automorphism of order six acts with the orbit lengths

distribution $(2 \times 1, 1 \times 2, 4 \times 3, 9 \times 6)$, we obtained 16 designs with a full automorphism group isomorphic to the group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$, and on all of them the full automorphism group acts with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$, while the subgroup isomorphic to $Frob_{21}$ acts with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 7, 7, 21, 21)$. As given in Table 2, among these designs there are two self-dual designs and seven pairs of dually isomorphic designs. Furthermore, 14 designs are isomorphic to those given in [3] and there is one additional pair of dually isomorphic designs not given in [3]. Note that in the case of an action of $G \cong Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ on a 2-(70, 24, 8) design with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$ the subgroup of G isomorphic to Z_2 always fixes 14 points (bloks) and Z_3 as a subgroup of G in that case fixes 4 points (blocks). Because of that, our construction gives a complete classification of 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with the automorphism group $G \cong Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ acting with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$. The next theorem fixes an error in [3, Theorem 8].

THEOREM 2.7. *Up to isomorphism and duality there are nine symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with an automorphism group $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ acting with the orbit lengths distribution $(7, 7, 14, 42)$. Two of these designs are self-dual. The full automorphism groups of these designs are isomorphic to $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$.*

As a consequence of our observations, we give a correction of [3, Theorem 9].

THEOREM 2.8. *Up to isomorphism, there are 25 symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs with an automorphism group isomorphic to $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$. Among them there are three self-dual designs and eleven pairs of dually isomorphic designs. The full automorphism groups of these designs are isomorphic to $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$.*

Our analysis shows that there are 11 known symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs which are not covered by our construction. Hence, the total number of known nonisomorphic designs with parameters 2-(70, 24, 8) is 3729. Table 3 contains information about these designs.

TABLE 3. Known symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs

No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of self-dual designs	No. of dually isomorphic pairs
3510	6	Z_6	10	1750
184	24	$A_4 \times Z_2$	0	92
25	42	$Frob_{21} \times Z_2$	3	11
10	168	$E_8 : Frob_{21}$	0	5

3. ON THE BINARY CODES OF 2-(70, 24, 8) DESIGNS

For basic facts and notions from coding theory we refer the reader to [9].

In the previous section, we presented a construction of new symmetric 2-(70, 24, 8) designs using orbit matrices for presumed action of an automorphism of order six. However, some of the new designs can also be obtained by applying a different method, namely by analyzing the codes of known designs [6], [13], which gives an interesting insight on how these designs are related.

In Table 4, we give information about the dimensions of the binary codes spanned by the incidence matrices of designs constructed in Section 2.2. The lowest 2-rank of the incidence matrix of any known 2-(70, 24, 8) design is 22, and there are 14 designs with 2-rank 22: six designs with a group of order 6, six designs with a group of order 24, and two designs with a group of order 168 which are isomorphic to the Golemac Design D_1 [8, page 57] and its dual design D_1^\perp .

The binary linear code spanned by the incidence vectors of the blocks of D_1^\perp contains 49427 codewords of weight 24. We computed the orbits of codewords of weight 24 under the action of a subgroup H_3 of order 3 of the automorphism group of D_1^\perp and did a complete search for 2-(70, 24, 8) designs invariant under H_3 (without the assumption of an automorphism of order 3 or higher the search process would have been too large to be completed). As a result of this search exactly four distinct designs were found: D_1^\perp and three pairwise nonisomorphic designs D'_1, D'_2, D'_3 , all having a full automorphism group of order 24 and 2-rank 22. The designs D'_1, D'_2, D'_3 are not self-dual. Thus, D'_1, D'_2, D'_3 and their dual designs are the six designs with 2-rank 22 in Table 4.

A similar search for designs invariant under a subgroup H_7 of order 7 in the code of the dual design D_2^\perp of Golemac's Design D_2 [8, page 57] which is of dimension 23, shows that this code contains exactly two designs invariant under H_7 : D_2^\perp and a design isomorphic to Golemac's design D_1^\perp .

TABLE 4. 2-ranks of constructed designs

2-rank of design	No. of designs $ Aut(\mathcal{D}) = 6$	No. of designs $ Aut(\mathcal{D}) = 24$	No. of designs $ Aut(\mathcal{D}) = 42$	No. of designs $ Aut(\mathcal{D}) = 168$
22	6	6	0	2
23	20	14	0	2
24	308	24	2	0
25	604	54	2	2
26	1402	86	0	2
27	198	0	0	0
28	364	0	10	0
29	138	0	0	0
30	385	0	1	0
31	69	0	1	0

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The first author is supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project 6732. The authors are very grateful to the reviewers for their careful reading and thorough evaluation of the paper, and for pointing out a few possibilities for improvement.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [2] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, *Handbook of Magma Functions*, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, 1994. <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma>
- [3] D. Crnković, *Symmetric (70,24,8) designs having $Frob_{21} \times Z_2$ as automorphism group*, Glas. Mat., **34**, no. 2 (1999), 109–121.
- [4] D. Crnković and S. Rukavina, *Construction of block designs admitting an abelian automorphism group*, Metrika, **62**, no. 2-3 (2005), 175–183.
- [5] D. Crnković, S. Rukavina and M. Schmidt, *A Classification of all Symmetric Block Designs of Order Nine with an Automorphism of Order Six*, J. Combin. Des., **14**, no. 4 (2006), 301–312.
- [6] D. Crnković, S. Rukavina and V. D. Tonchev, *New symmetric (61,16,4) designs obtained from codes*, in: *Algebraic Design Theory and Hadamard Matrices*, C. J. Colbourn (Ed.), Springer, 2015, pp. 61 - 69.
- [7] V. Čepulić, *On symmetric block designs (45,12,3) with automorphisms of order 5*, Ars Combin. **37** (1994), 33–48.
- [8] A. Golemac, *Construction of new symmetric designs with parameters (70,24,8)*, Discrete Math., **120** (1993), 51–58.
- [9] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [10] Z. Janko, *Coset enumeration in groups and constructions of symmetric designs*, Combinatorics '90 (Gaeta, 1990), Ann. Discrete Math. **52** (1992), 275–277.
- [11] Z. Janko and T. van Trung, *The existence of a symmetric block design for (70,24,8)*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, **165** (1984). 17–18.
- [12] E. Lander, *Symmetric Designs: An Algebraic Approach*, Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [13] A. Munemasa and V. D. Tonchev, *A new quasi-symmetric 2-(56,16,6) design obtained from codes*, Discrete Math., **284** (2004), 231–234.
- [14] V. D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial Configurations*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1988.

S. Rukavina
 Faculty of Mathematics
 University of Rijeka
 51 000 Rijeka
 Croatia
 E-mail: sanjar@math.uniri.hr

V. D. Tonchev, corresponding author
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Michigan Technological University
Houghton, MI 49931
USA
E-mail: tonchev@mtu.edu