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ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the uniqueness of a weak solution of an evolution dam problem arising in a compressible fluid flow through a two-dimensional, rectangular, and heterogeneous porous medium. Our problem is associated with the equation \( a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi)_{x_2} - (u + \chi)_t = 0 \). The technique we use is based on a transformation of the weak form of this equation into a similar one that enables us to argue as in [12].

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following weak formulation of the evolution dam problem with heterogeneous coefficients which arises in the flow of a compressible fluid:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Find } (u, \chi) & \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \times L^\infty(Q) \text{ such that:} \\
& u \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad u, (1 - \chi) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q, \quad u = \phi \text{ on } \Sigma_2, \\
& \int_Q \left[ a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi)\xi_{x_2} - (u + \chi)\xi_t \right] dx dt \\
& \quad \leq \int_\Omega (\chi_0(x) + u_0(x))\xi(x, 0) dx \\
& \forall \xi \in H^1(Q), \xi = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_3, \xi \geq 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_4, \\
& \xi(x, T) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]
where $\Omega = (0, L) \times (0, H)$ is a bounded rectangular domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$; the generic point in $\Omega$ is denoted by $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and represents a porous medium with a boundary $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ such that $\Gamma_1 = [0, L] \times \{0\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{(0) \times [0, H]\} \cup ([0, L] \times \{H\}) \cup ([L] \times \{0, H\})$. $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$, $T > 0$ is a positive real number, $\phi$ is a nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function defined in $\overline{Q}$, $\Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma_2 = \Gamma_2 \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma_3 = \Sigma_2 \cap \{\phi > 0\}$, and $\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_2 \cap \{\phi = 0\}$.

Note that from a physical point of view, $\Gamma_1$ is the impervious part of $\partial \Omega$, $\Gamma_2$ is the part in contact with either air or fluid reservoirs, and $\phi$ stands for the assigned pressure on $\Sigma_2$. For some constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $a(x_1)$ is a function of the variable $x_1$ that satisfies

$$\lambda \leq a(x_1) \leq \Lambda \quad \text{a.e. } x_1 \in (0, L)$$

and $u_0, \chi_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions satisfying for some positive constant $M$,

$$0 \leq \chi_0(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$n
$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq M \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

The strong formulation of (1.1) associated with the initial data $(u_0, \chi_0)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases}
  u \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad u(1 - \chi) = 0 & \text{in } Q \\
a(x_1)(ux_2 + \chi)x_2 - (u + \chi)t = 0 & \text{in } Q \\
u = \phi & \text{on } \Sigma_2 \\
u(\cdot, 0) + \chi(\cdot, 0) = u_0 + \chi_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
a(x_1)(ux_2 + \chi) \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_1 \\
a(x_1)(ux_2 + \chi) \cdot \nu \leq 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_4
\end{cases}$$

where $\nu$ denotes the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$.

Concerning the question of existence of a solution to problem (1.1), we refer to [2], where an existence theorem was established for a class of non-stationary free boundary problems. Also, a regularity in time result for this class was obtained in [9].

Uniqueness of the solution was proved in [3] for a homogeneous medium in the general geometry by using the method of doubling variables. For a heterogeneous rectangular dam, the uniqueness was obtained in [12] in the case of an incompressible flow. By a different method, uniqueness was obtained in [5] and [10] for a rectangular dam wet at the bottom and dry near to the top, respectively, the homogeneous and heterogeneous cases, in both compressible and incompressible fluids. We also refer to [1], for the uniqueness of the solution of the evolution free boundary problem in theory of lubrication.

In this article, we prove the uniqueness of the solution for problem (1.1) by transforming the weak form of the equation $a(x_1)(ux_2 + \chi)x_2 - (u + \chi)t = 0$ in $Q$ into a form that does not contain the time term in $u$ (see Proposition 2.2) which allows us to apply the proof of uniqueness in the incompressible case.
(see [12]). We can also establish some properties of the solutions as in [[12], Sect. 2], though it is difficult to adapt the proof of the uniqueness obtained in [12] with the same properties.

2. Uniqueness of the solution

We assume throughout this section that
\[ a \in C^1([0, L]). \]

First, we have the following regularity result of solutions of problem (1.1) ([9]).

**Proposition 2.1.** We have
\[ \chi \in C^0([0, T]; L^p(\Omega)), \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty), \]
\[ u \in C^0([0, T]; L^p(\Omega)), \quad \forall p \in [1, 2]. \]

The following proposition plays a key role in the proof of the uniqueness result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \((u, \chi)\) be a solution of problem (1.1). Then
\[ \forall \xi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \forall t \in [0, T] : \int_\Omega a(x_1)(u x_2 + \chi) \xi_{x_2} \, dx = 0. \]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, assume that \(\xi \in D(\Omega).\) Then we obtain the result by approximation for \(\xi \in H^1_0(\Omega).\) Let \(\kappa\) be a fixed element in \((0, T].\) We define the following function \(\eta\) on \([0, T]\) by
\[
\eta(t) = \begin{cases}
2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{\kappa}{2}]
\end{cases}
\[
1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 & \text{if } t \in \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}, \delta\right]
\end{cases}
\[
1 & \text{if } t \in (\delta, \kappa - \delta]
\end{cases}
\[
1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{\kappa - t}{\delta}\right)^2 & \text{if } t \in (\kappa - \delta, \kappa - \frac{\kappa}{2}]
\end{cases}
\[
2\left(\frac{\kappa - t}{\delta}\right)^2 & \text{if } t \in (\kappa - \frac{\kappa}{2}, \kappa]
\end{cases}
\[
0 & \text{if } t \in (\kappa, T],
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\delta\) is a positive real number. We can see that \(\eta \in C^1([0, T]), \eta(0) = \eta(T) = 0\) and
\[
\eta'(t) = \begin{cases}
4\frac{t}{\delta^2} & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{\delta}{2}]
\end{cases}
\[
\frac{4}{\delta}(1 - \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } t \in \left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta\right]
\end{cases}
\[
0 & \text{if } t \in (\delta, \kappa - \delta]
\end{cases}
\[
-\frac{4}{\delta}(1 - \frac{\kappa - t}{\delta}) & \text{if } t \in (\kappa - \delta, \kappa - \frac{\kappa}{2}]
\end{cases}
\[
-\frac{4}{\delta}\left(\frac{\kappa - t}{\delta}\right) & \text{if } t \in (\kappa - \frac{\kappa}{2}, \kappa]
\end{cases}
\[
0 & \text{if } t \in (\kappa, T].
\end{cases}
\]
For all $\xi \in D(\Omega)$, the function $\xi \eta^2$ belongs to $C^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\xi \eta^2 = 0$ on $\partial \Omega \times (0, T)$ and $(\xi \eta^2)(., 0) = (\xi \eta^2)(., T) = 0$ in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\pm \xi \eta^2$ are test functions for (1.1) and we have

\begin{equation}
0 = \int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} [a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi)\xi_{x_2} \eta^2] \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} 2(u + \chi)\eta \xi \eta^2 \, dx \, dt \\
:= I^1_{\delta} - I^2_{\delta}.
\end{equation}

Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to $I^1_{\delta}$, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0} I^1_{\delta} = \int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi)\xi_{x_2} \, dx \, dt.
\end{equation}

Moreover, we can use the definition of $\eta'$ to observe that the quantity $|I^2_{\delta}|$ can be estimated as follows:

\begin{equation}
|I^2_{\delta}| \leq 2 \left[ \int_{0}^{\delta} (u + \chi)\eta \eta' \xi \, dx \, dt + \int_{\kappa - \delta}^{\kappa} (u + \chi)\eta \eta' \xi \, dx \, dt \right]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq 2C \left\{ \int_{0}^{\delta} |u + \chi|_{1, \Omega} \eta \eta' \, dt + \int_{\kappa - \delta}^{\kappa} |u + \chi|_{1, \Omega} \eta \eta' \, dt \right\}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
:= 2C(I^2_{\delta, 1} + I^2_{\delta, 2}),
\end{equation}

where $C = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\xi(x)|$. Let $f(t) = |u + \chi|_{1, \Omega} \eta$. Notice that $f$ is right-continuous and vanishes at $0$ since $u, \chi \in C^0([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ (see Proposition 2.1), $\eta \in C^0([0, \kappa])$ and $\eta(0) = 0$. So, by using $|\eta'| \sim \frac{1}{\delta}$, we get

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0} I^2_{\delta, 1} = 0.
\end{equation}

Similarly, the function $f$ is left-continuous and vanishes at $\kappa$ and $|\eta'| \sim \frac{1}{\delta}$; then

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0} I^2_{\delta, 2} = 0.
\end{equation}

Hence, by letting $\delta \to 0$ in (2.4) and using (2.5)-(2.6), we get

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\delta \to 0} I^2_{\delta} = 0.
\end{equation}

Using (2.3) and (2.7) we obtain by taking $\delta \to 0$ in (2.2):

\begin{equation}
\forall \kappa \in (0, T] : \quad \int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi)\xi_{x_2} \, dx \, dt = 0
\end{equation}

which leads, using integration by parts, to

\begin{equation}
\forall \kappa \in (0, T] : \quad 0 = \int_{0}^{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} a(x_1)(\chi \xi_{x_2} - u \xi_{x_x}) \, dx \, dt := F(\kappa).
\end{equation}

Hence

\begin{equation}
\forall t \in [0, T] : \quad F'(t) = \int_{\Omega} a(x_1)(\chi \xi_{x_2} - u \xi_{x_x}) \, dx = 0
\end{equation}
The uniqueness theorem is now presented.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \((u_1, \chi_1), \) and \((u_2, \chi_2)\) be two solutions of problem (1.1) such that \(u_1(.,0) + \chi_1(.,0) = u_2(.,0) + \chi_2(.,0) = u_0 + \chi_0 \) a.e. in \(\Omega\). Then
\[
(u_1, \chi_1) = (u_2, \chi_2) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q.
\]

We first need to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** If \((u_1, \chi_1)\) and \((u_2, \chi_2)\) are two solutions of (1.1), we have
\[
\int_Q a(x_1)(u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t))^+ dx dt \leq 0
\]
\[
\forall \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \quad \xi \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,T), \quad \eta \geq 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\) and \(\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)\) such that \(\xi \geq 0\) and \(\eta \geq 0\). For a positive real number \(\delta\), consider the following functions \(\rho_{1,\delta}(r) = \frac{1}{\delta} \rho_1(\frac{r}{\delta})\), \(\rho_{2,\delta}(r) = \frac{1}{\delta} \rho_2(\frac{r}{\delta})\), \(\rho_{3,\delta}(r) = \frac{1}{\delta} \rho_3(\frac{r}{\delta})\) with \(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\), \(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \geq 0\), \(\text{supp}(\rho_1), \text{supp}(\rho_2), \text{supp}(\rho_3) \subset (-1,1)\). Let
\[
\forall (x,t,y,s) \in Q \times Q:\n
\zeta(x,t,y,s) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
x_1 + y_1 & \frac{x_2 + y_2}{2} \\
\frac{t + s}{2} & \rho_{1,\delta}(\frac{x_1 - y_1}{2}) \rho_{2,\delta}(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{2}) \rho_{3,\delta}(\frac{t - s}{2}).
\end{array} \right.
\]

By choosing \(\delta\) small enough, we obtain
\[
\forall (t,y,s) \in (0,T) \times Q:\n
\zeta(.,t,y,s) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\]
\[
\forall (x,t,s) \in Q \times (0,T):\n
\zeta(x,t,.,s) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\]

With this notation of doubling variables, we consider that the solution \((u_1, \chi_1)\) has the variable \((x,t)\) and \((u_2, \chi_2)\) has the variable \((y,s)\). So, for a positive real number \(\epsilon\), we set
\[
\partial(x,t,y,s) = \min \left( \frac{(u_1(x,t) - u_2(y,s))^+}{\epsilon}, \zeta(x,t,y,s) \right).
\]

From (2.9), the function \(\xi(.,t,y,s) = \partial(.,t,y,s) \in H^1_{\Omega}(\Omega)\) for a.e. \((t,y,s) \in (0,T) \times Q\). Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.2 to \((u_1, \chi_1)\) with \(\xi(.,t,y,s) = \partial(.,t,y,s)\) to get
\[
\int_Q a(x_1)(u_{1z_2} + \chi_1) \partial_{x_2} dx dt dy ds = 0.
\]

By integrating over \((0,T) \times Q\), we obtain
\[
\int_Q a(x_1)(u_{1z_2} + \chi_1) \partial_{x_2} dx dt dy ds = 0.
\]
In addition, if we use \( u_1(1 - \chi_1) = 0 \) a.e. in \( Q \), one can easily verify that for a.e. \((x, t, s) \in Q \times Q:\)

\[
\chi_1 a(x_1) \left( \min \left( \frac{(u_1 - u_2)^+}{\epsilon}, \zeta \right) \right) x_2 = a(x_1) \left( \min \left( \frac{(u_1 - u_2)^+}{\epsilon}, \zeta \right) \right) x_2.
\]

Therefore, (2.11) takes the form

\[
\int_{Q \times Q} a(x_1) (u_1 x_2 + 1) v x_2 dx dt ds = 0.
\]

Similarly, with (2.10), we can see that for all \((x, t, s) \in Q \times (0, T)\), applying Proposition 2.2 to \((u_2, \chi_2)\) with \( \xi(\cdot) = \vartheta(x, t, \cdot, s) \), we get

\[
\int_{Q} a(y_1) (u_2 y_2 + \chi_2) \vartheta y_2 dy = 0.
\]

Integrating over \( Q \times (0, T) \), we find

\[
\int_{Q \times Q} a(y_1) (u_2 y_2 + \chi_2) \vartheta y_2 dx dt ds = 0.
\]

By subtracting (2.13) from (2.12), we obtain

\[
\int_{Q \times Q} \left[ a(x_1) u_1 x_2 \vartheta x_2 - a(y_1) u_2 y_2 \vartheta y_2 \\
+ a(x_1) \vartheta x_2 - \chi_2 a(y_1) \vartheta y_2 \right] dx dt ds = 0.
\]

To derive (2.8), we continue the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [12] (after the relation (3.9)) with the use of (2.14) and the method of doubling variables.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** If \((u_1, \chi_1)\) and \((u_2, \chi_2)\) are two solutions of (1.1), let

\[
v = (u_1 - u_2)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = (1 - \chi_2) \chi_{\{u_1 > u_2\}}.
\]

Insert \( v \) and \( \gamma \) in (2.8) yields

\[
\int_{Q} \eta a(x_1) (v x_2 + \gamma) \xi x_2 dx dt \leq 0,
\]

\[
\forall \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \ \xi \geq 0, \ \eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, T), \ \eta \geq 0.
\]

Using (2.15) and applying a similar argument to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.4 of [12] (after the relation (3.38)), we get

\[
(2.16) \quad u_1 = u_2 := u \quad \text{a.e. in} \ Q.
\]

Moreover, let \( \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \) and let \( \eta \) be the function defined in Proposition 2.2. For both \((u, \chi_1)\) and \((u, \chi_1)\), we can choose \( \pm \xi \eta^2 \) as test functions in (1.1) to
obtain that for all $\kappa \in (0, T]$,
\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} \left\{ a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi_1)\xi_2 \eta^2 - 2(u + \chi_1)\eta \eta' \xi \right\} \, dx \, dt = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} \left\{ a(x_1)(u_{x_2} + \chi_2)\xi_2 \eta^2 - 2(u + \chi_2)\eta \eta' \xi \right\} \, dx \, dt = 0.
\end{equation}

By subtracting (2.18) from (2.17) and using (2.16), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} a(x_1)(\chi_1 - \chi_2)\xi_2 \eta^2 \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Omega \times (0, \kappa)} 2(\chi_1 - \chi_2)\eta \eta' \xi \, dx \, dt = 0.
\end{equation}

Finally, using (2.19), we obtain by an argument similar to that in the second part of the proof of [[12], Theorem 3.4],
\[ \chi_1 = \chi_2 \quad \text{a.e. in } Q, \]
which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.
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