

## A NEW PROOF OF A THEOREM CONCERNING DECOMPOSABLE GROUPS

Wojciech Chojnacki, Warszawa, Poland and Adelaide, Australia

*Abstract.* We give an elementary proof of the following result: If  $G$  is a compact non-zero Abelian group with dual isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $U \cup (-U) = G \setminus G_{(2)}$  and  $U \cap (-U) = \emptyset$  for some open subset  $U \subset G$ , where  $G_{(2)} = \{a \in G: 2a = 0\}$ , then  $G$  is topologically isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact Abelian group with dual  $\hat{G}$ . Denote by  $G^{(2)}$  and  $\bar{G}_{(2)}$  the image and kernel of the homomorphism  $G \ni a \mapsto 2a \in G$ , respectively. Given a subset  $X \subset G$ , let

$$-X = \{a \in G: -a \in X\}.$$

In agreement with the terminology introduced in [1],  $G$  will be said to be *decomposable* if there exists an open subset  $U \subset G$  such that  $U \cup (-U) = G \setminus \bar{G}_{(2)}$  and  $U \cap (-U) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the multiplicative group of complex numbers with unit modulus, endowed with the usual topology. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the additive group of rational numbers, equipped with the discrete topology. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$  be the cyclic group with  $n$  elements. Assume that the  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$  are endowed with the discrete topology. Given Abelian groups  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), denote by  $G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$  the direct product of the  $G_i$ . For a cardinal number  $m$  and a compact Abelian group  $H$ , designate by  $H^m$  the direct product of  $m$  copies of  $H$ , enriched with the product topology (under which  $H^m$  is compact).

In [1] the following characterisation of decomposable compact Abelian groups is given:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group. Then  $G$  is decomposable if and only if either  $(\hat{G})^{(2)}$  is a countable torsion group or  $G$  is topologically isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)^m \times F$ , where  $m$  is a cardinal number and  $F$  is a finite Abelian group.*

The above theorem is a consequence of a number of results describing certain subclasses of the class of all decomposable compact Abelian groups. One of these results reads as follows:

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (1991): 22C05, 22B05.

*Key words and phrases:* Compact, connected, decomposable, Abelian group.

**THEOREM 2.** *Any decomposable compact connected Abelian group different from a singleton is topologically isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

The main part of the proof to Theorem 2 is embodied by the following result:

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a decomposable compact Abelian group different from a singleton. Suppose, moreover, that  $\hat{G}$  is isomorphic with a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $G$  is topologically isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3 given in [1] (as part of the proof to Proposition 4.1) is short but quite involved. This note offers a longer but more elementary proof. While the first of these proofs utilises a rather special result concerning compact cancellative semigroups, the second uses only standard tools from general topology. This notwithstanding, both proofs invoke freely a basic lore on locally compact Abelian groups.

## 2. Proof of the main result

This section gives the proof of Theorem 3 alluded to above.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We commence by showing that, for every  $a \in G$ ,  $G \setminus \{a\}$  is connected. Since  $\hat{G}$  is isomorphic with a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is torsion free. Hence, being compact,  $G$  is connected (cf. [2, Thm. 24.25]). We see that  $G$  is a continuum with more than one element. By a theorem of Moore-Wallace [4, 6] (see also [3, §47, Sec. IV, Thm. 5]), any continuum different from a singleton contains at least two elements, each of which has a connected complement. Therefore there exists  $b \in G$  for which  $G \setminus \{b\}$  is connected. Now, to conclude that  $G \setminus \{a\}$  is connected for each  $a \in G$ , it suffices to observe that  $G \setminus \{a\}$  is the image of  $G \setminus \{b\}$  via the translation by  $a - b$  (defined as  $G \ni h \mapsto a - b + h \in G$ ), which is a homeomorphism.

Denote by  $0$  the neutral element of  $G$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $G$  such that  $U \cup (-U) = G \setminus G_{(2)}$  and  $U \cap (-U) = \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $G \setminus G_{(2)}$  is disconnected. In view of the assertion established in the preceding paragraph,  $G \setminus \{0\}$  is connected. Therefore  $G_{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$  is non-empty.

Let  $\rho$  be a monomorphism mapping  $\hat{G}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_n$  be the cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  given by

$$K_n = \{k/n! \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and let  $\Gamma_n$  be the subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  given by

$$\Gamma_n = \rho^{-1}(K_n \cap \rho(\hat{G})).$$

It is clear that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Gamma_n$  is cyclic and  $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma_{n+1}$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{G} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$ .

We now prove that  $G_{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$  has precisely one element. Select  $g \in G_{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$  arbitrarily. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\chi_n$  be a generator of  $\Gamma_n$ . Since  $2g = 0$ , we have  $(g, \chi_n) = \pm 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  represents the pairing between elements of  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ . Now either there is a sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  diverging to infinity such

that  $(g, \chi_k) = 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $(g, \chi_n) = -1$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that the first possibility holds. Since  $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of subgroups eventually exhausting all of  $\hat{G}$ , any given  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  can be written as  $\gamma = l\chi_k$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . It then follows that  $(g, \gamma) = 1$ , which, in view of the arbitrariness of  $\gamma$ , implies that  $g = 0$ , a contradiction. The first possibility being excluded, let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $(g, \chi_n) = -1$  for each integer  $n$  greater than  $n_0$ . Given  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , choose  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > n_0$  such that  $\gamma = l\chi_n$ . Then, clearly,  $(g, \gamma) = (-1)^l$ , which shows that  $(g, \gamma)$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $g$ . Consequently,  $g$  is uniquely determined, and so  $G_{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$  is a singleton.

Denote by  $g$  the unique element of  $G_{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$ . We clearly have  $G_{(2)} = \{0, g\}$ . For each subset  $X \subset G$ , denote by  $\partial X$  the boundary of  $X$  relative to  $G$ . We now show that

$$\partial U = \{0, g\}. \tag{1}$$

It is evident that  $\partial U \subset \{0, g\}$ . Since the inversion  $G \ni a \mapsto -a \in G$  is a homeomorphism, we have  $\partial(-U) = -\partial U$ . Taking into account that  $g = -g$ , we see that  $\partial(-U) = \partial U$ . Now  $\partial U \subset \partial(U \cup (-U))$ , since  $U$  is open. Moreover,

$$\partial(U \cup (-U)) \subset \partial U \cup \partial(-U) = \partial U.$$

It follows that  $\partial U = \partial(U \cup (-U))$ . In particular, the set  $U \cup (-U) \cup \partial U$  is closed. Suppose that  $\{0, g\} \setminus \partial U \neq \emptyset$ . Being a finite set,  $\{0, g\} \setminus \partial U$  is closed. Since  $G$  is the union of  $\{0, g\} \setminus \partial U$  and  $U \cup (-U) \cup \partial U$ , we arrive at a contradiction with  $G$  being connected. Thus  $\{0, g\} \setminus \partial U = \emptyset$ , establishing (1).

We contend that  $U \cup \{0\}$  is connected. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $U \cup \{0\} = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty disjoint closed subsets of  $U \cup \{0\}$ . In view of (1),  $U \cup \{0\}$  is closed in  $G \setminus \{g\}$ . Correspondingly,  $A$  and  $B$  are closed in  $G \setminus \{g\}$ . It is now clear that  $A \cup (-A)$  and  $B \cup (-B)$  are non-empty disjoint closed subsets of  $G \setminus \{g\}$ , whose union is the whole of  $G \setminus \{g\}$ . But this contradicts the connectedness of  $G \setminus \{g\}$  (which follows from the assertion from the first paragraph) and establishes the contention.

Let

$$V_1 = (U + g) \cap (-U) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = (U + g) \cap U.$$

We claim that  $V_1$  is not empty. Since  $G$  is compact and connected, it is also divisible (cf. [2, Thm. 24.25]). In particular,  $g = 2h$  for some  $h \in G$ . Since  $g$  is non-zero, we see that  $h$  is a member of  $G \setminus G_{(2)}$ , and so either  $h$  or  $-h$  falls into  $U$ . If  $h \in U$ , then, taking into account that  $2g = 0$ , we have  $-h = h + g$ , whence  $h \in V_1$ . If  $-h \in U$ , then, in view of  $h = -h + g$ , we have  $-h \in V_1$ . In either case,  $V_1$  is non-empty, as claimed.

We shall now focus our attention on the set  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$ . We first show that it is closed in  $G$ .

Given a subset  $X \subset G$  and  $a \in G$ , let

$$X + a = \{b \in G: b - a \in X\}.$$

Clearly, since  $G$  is connected,  $\partial V_1$  is non-void. We have

$$\partial V_1 \subset \partial(U + g) \cup \partial(-U) = \{0, g\}.$$

Since  $V_1$  is invariant under the composition of the inversion and the translation by  $g$ , so too is  $\partial V_1$ . It is easily seen that any non-empty subset of  $\partial V_1$  invariant under the same composition coincides with  $\partial V_1$ . Therefore  $\partial V_1 = \{0, g\}$ , implying that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is closed.

We now show that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is connected. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\} = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty disjoint closed subsets of  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$ . Since  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is closed in  $G$ , it follows that  $A$  and  $B$  are closed in  $G$  too. With no loss of generality, we may assume that  $0 \in A$ . Then, necessarily,  $g \in B$ . For otherwise  $B$  would be an open subset of  $V_1$  and, since  $V_1$  is open in  $G$ ,  $B$  would be open in  $G$ ; as  $B$  is also closed in  $G$ , we would thus arrive at a contradiction with  $G$  being connected. Now  $A \setminus \{0\}$  is non-empty for otherwise  $\{0\}$  would be an open subset of  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  contrary to the fact that  $0 \in \partial V_1 \setminus V_1$ . Since

$$A \setminus \{0\} \subset (U + g) \cup \{g\} \subset G \setminus \{0\}$$

and since  $A$  is closed in  $G$ , it follows that  $A \setminus \{0\}$  is a closed subset of  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$ . On the other hand, since  $\partial V_2$  is contained in  $\partial(U + g) \cup \partial U = \{0, g\}$ , we find that  $V_2 \cup \{g\}$  is a closed subset of  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$ . As  $B$  is closed in  $G$ ,  $B \cup V_2 = B \cup V_2 \cup \{g\}$  is closed in  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$ . We thus see that  $A \setminus \{0\}$  and  $B \cup V_2$  are closed non-empty subsets of  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$ . Clearly,  $A \setminus \{0\}$  and  $B \cup V_2$  are disjoint and their union is all of  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$ . This is, however, incompatible with the fact that, being the translate by  $g$  of the connected set  $U \cup \{0\}$  (recall that the connectedness of  $U \cup \{0\}$  was already shown earlier),  $(U + g) \cup \{g\}$  is connected. The connectedness of  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is thus established.

In preparation for the next step, we show now that if  $V_2$  is non-void, then both  $V_2 \cup \{0, g\}$  and  $(-V_2) \cup \{0, g\}$  are connected. Assume then that  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $G$  is connected,  $\partial V_2$  is not empty.  $V_2$  is invariant under the translation by  $g$ , and so too is  $\partial V_2$ . Since  $\partial V_2 \subset \{0, g\}$  and since any non-empty subset of  $\{0, g\}$  invariant under the translation by  $g$  coincides with  $\{0, g\}$ , it follows that  $\partial V_2$  is all of  $\{0, g\}$ . Repeating the argument employed in the proof of the connectedness of  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$ , we conclude that  $V_2 \cup \{0, g\}$  is connected. Now  $(-V_2) \cup \{0, g\}$  is connected too for it is the inverse of  $V_2 \cup \{0, g\}$ .

At this stage, we are in position to show that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is an arc with endpoints  $0$  and  $g$ . Note first that, since  $G$  is compact and  $\hat{G}$  is countable,  $G$  is metrisable (cf. [2, Thm. 24.15]). In particular,  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is a metrisable continuum. By a theorem of Moore [4] (see also [3, §47, Sec. V, Thm. 1]), if every point in a metrisable continuum with the exception of two points  $a$  and  $b$  has a disconnected complement, then the continuum is an arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus to prove that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is an arc with endpoints  $0$  and  $g$ , it suffices to show that, for each  $a \in V_1$ ,  $(V_1 \cup \{0, g\}) \setminus \{a\}$  is disconnected. Suppose that  $(V_1 \cup \{0, g\}) \setminus \{a\}$  is connected for some  $a \in V_1$ . Noting that  $(V_1 \cup \{0, g\}) \setminus \{a\}$  coincides with

$(V_1 \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{0, g\}$  and that the translate of  $(V_1 \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{0, g\}$  by  $g$  coincides with  $((-V_1) \setminus \{a + g\}) \cup \{0, g\}$ , we see that  $((-V_1) \setminus \{a + g\}) \cup \{0, g\}$  is connected. Now both  $(V_1 \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{0, g\}$  and  $((-V_1) \setminus \{a + g\}) \cup \{0, g\}$  are connected and contain 0 and  $g$ , so their union  $C$  is connected. If  $V_2$  is empty, then, as is easily seen,  $C$  coincides with  $G \setminus \{a, a + g\}$ , and in particular  $G \setminus \{a, a + g\}$  is connected. If  $V_2$  is not empty, then both  $V_2 \cup \{0, g\}$  and  $(-V_2) \cup \{0, g\}$  are connected and contain 0 and  $g$ , and so  $(V_2 \cup \{0, g\}) \cup ((-V_2) \cup \{0, g\}) \cup C$  is connected. It is straightforwardly verified that the latter set coincides with  $G \setminus \{a, a + g\}$ . Thus, independently of whether or not  $V_2$  is empty,  $G \setminus \{a, a + g\}$  is connected. But  $G \setminus \{a, a + g\}$  is disconnected, since it is the translate by  $a$  of the disconnected set  $G \setminus \{0, g\}$  ( $= G \setminus G_{(2)}$ ). This contradiction proves that  $V_1 \cup \{0, g\}$  is an arc with endpoints 0 and  $g$ .

Now that  $V_2$  is an open subset of  $G$  homeomorphic with the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $G$  is locally connected. According to a theorem of Pontryagin [5, Thm. 42], any compact, metrisable, connected and locally connected group is the direct product of a finite or countable number of subgroups, each isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T}$ . Applying this theorem and taking into account that  $G$  contains an open subset homeomorphic with  $\mathbb{R}$  (namely  $V_2$ ), we find that  $G$  is topologically isomorphic with  $\mathbb{T}$ . □

*Acknowledgement.* The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Polish Research Council.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Chojnacki, *Group representations of bounded cosine functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **478** (1996), 61–84.
- [2] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [3] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 2, Academic Press, PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, New York, Warszawa, 1968.
- [4] R. L. Moore, *Concerning simple continuous curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1920), 333–347.
- [5] L. S. Pontryagin, *Topological groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1958.
- [6] A. D. Wallace, *Monotone functions*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 487–506.

(Received August 21, 1997)

(Revised November 25, 1997)

*Instytut Matematyki Stosowanej i Mechaniki  
Uniwersytet Warszawski  
ul. Banacha 2  
02–097 Warszawa  
Poland  
e-mail: wojtekch@apli.mimuw.edu.pl*

*Department of Computer Science  
University of Adelaide  
Adelaide, SA 5005  
Australia  
e-mail: wojtek@cs.adelaide.edu.au*