

Cubes of symmetric designs and difference sets*

Kristijan Tabak

(joint work with Mario Osvin Pavčević and Vedran Krčadinac)

Rochester Institute of Technology, Zagreb campus

10th Slovenian Conference on Graph Theory

18 - 24 June 2023, Kranjska Gora, Slovenia

Combinatorial Designs and their Applications

* This work was fully supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 9752.

Motivation and definitions

We start with a known definition

We start with a known definition

Definition.

Let V be a set of v points. A (v, k, λ) *design* over V is a collection \mathcal{D} of k -subsets of V called *blocks*, such that every pair of points is contained in exactly λ blocks.

Motivation and definitions

We start with a known definition

Definition.

Let V be a set of v points. A (v, k, λ) *design* over V is a collection \mathcal{D} of k -subsets of V called *blocks*, such that every pair of points is contained in exactly λ blocks.

Let $V = \{p_1, \dots, p_v\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ then the *incidence matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ of the design is defined by $a_{ij} = [p_i \in B_j]$, where $[]$ is Iverson symbol.

Motivation and definitions

We start with a known definition

Definition.

Let V be a set of v points. A (v, k, λ) *design* over V is a collection \mathcal{D} of k -subsets of V called *blocks*, such that every pair of points is contained in exactly λ blocks.

Let $V = \{p_1, \dots, p_v\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ then the *incidence matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ of the design is defined by $a_{ij} = [p_i \in B_j]$, where $[]$ is Iverson symbol.

$[X] = 0$ iff X is true, otherwise $[X] = 0$.

An incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ design:

An incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ design:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ design:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We make a cyclic shift of rows of A_1

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

first A_1 , then A_2 and end up with A_7 as the top layer.

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

first A_1 , then A_2 and end up with A_7 as the top layer.

Every slice is an incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ symmetric design.

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

first A_1 , then A_2 and end up with A_7 as the top layer.

Every slice is an incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ symmetric design.

Warwick de Launey introduced higher-dimensional combinatorial designs of various types.

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

first A_1 , then A_2 and end up with A_7 as the top layer.

Every slice is an incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ symmetric design.

Warwick de Launey introduced higher-dimensional combinatorial designs of various types.

For example:

Fano plane, cyclic shifts

Now put all of these matrices on top of each other:

first A_1 , then A_2 and end up with A_7 as the top layer.

Every slice is an incidence matrix of a $(7, 3, 1)$ symmetric design.

Warwick de Launey introduced higher-dimensional combinatorial designs of various types.

For example:

block designs, Hadamard matrices, orthogonal designs, weighing matrices.

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

n -dimensional *incidence cube* of order v is a function
 $C : \{1, \dots, v\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

n -dimensional *incidence cube* of order v is a function

$$C : \{1, \dots, v\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}.$$

let $(x, y) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$,

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

n -dimensional *incidence cube* of order v is a function $C : \{1, \dots, v\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

let $(x, y) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$,

a *slice* of the n -cube C is the matrix obtained by varying the coordinates in positions x and y , and taking some fixed values

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

n -dimensional *incidence cube* of order v is a function $C : \{1, \dots, v\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

let $(x, y) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$,

a *slice* of the n -cube C is the matrix obtained by varying the coordinates in positions x and y , and taking some fixed values

it is the restriction of C to the set

$$\{i_1\} \times \cdots \times \{i_{x-1}\} \times V \times \{i_{x+1}\} \times \cdots \times \{i_{y-1}\} \times V \times \{i_{y+1}\} \times \cdots \times \{i_n\}.$$

Towards definition

We are interested in n -dimensional $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices of order v such that all 2-dimensional slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs.

n -dimensional *incidence cube* of order v is a function $C : \{1, \dots, v\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

let $(x, y) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$,

a *slice* of the n -cube C is the matrix obtained by varying the coordinates in positions x and y , and taking some fixed values

it is the restriction of C to the set

$$\{i_1\} \times \cdots \times \{i_{x-1}\} \times V \times \{i_{x+1}\} \times \cdots \times \{i_{y-1}\} \times V \times \{i_{y+1}\} \times \cdots \times \{i_n\}.$$

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

This is a special case of de Launey's proper n -dimensional transposable designs $(v, \Pi_R, \Pi_C, \beta, S)^n$

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

This is a special case of de Launey's proper n -dimensional transposable designs $(v, \Pi_R, \Pi_C, \beta, S)^n$

$(S_v)^n = S_v \times \dots \times S_v$ acts by permuting indices:

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

This is a special case of de Launey's proper n -dimensional transposable designs $(v, \Pi_R, \Pi_C, \beta, S)^n$

$(S_v)^n = S_v \times \dots \times S_v$ acts by permuting indices:

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (S_v)^n$,

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

This is a special case of de Launey's proper n -dimensional transposable designs $(v, \Pi_R, \Pi_C, \beta, S)^n$

$(S_v)^n = S_v \times \dots \times S_v$ acts by permuting indices:

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (S_v)^n$,

$$C^\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(\alpha_1^{-1}(i_1), \dots, \alpha_n^{-1}(i_n)).$$

Definition.

An n -dimensional cube of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs is an n -cube of order v such that all of its slices are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The set of all such n -cubes will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$.

This is a special case of de Launey's proper n -dimensional transposable designs $(v, \Pi_R, \Pi_C, \beta, S)^n$

$(S_v)^n = S_v \times \dots \times S_v$ acts by permuting indices:

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (S_v)^n$,

$$C^\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(\alpha_1^{-1}(i_1), \dots, \alpha_n^{-1}(i_n)).$$

The orbits of this action are the *isotopy classes* of cubes

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

Definition and classes

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

$\gamma \in S_n$ act by *conjugation*, i.e.

Definition and classes

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

$\gamma \in S_n$ act by *conjugation*, i.e.

$$C^\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(i_{\gamma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, i_{\gamma^{-1}(n)}).$$

Definition and classes

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

$\gamma \in S_n$ act by *conjugation*, i.e.

$$C^\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(i_{\gamma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, i_{\gamma^{-1}(n)}).$$

isotopy + conjugation = *paratopy*

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

$\gamma \in S_n$ act by *conjugation*, i.e.

$$C^\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(i_{\gamma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, i_{\gamma^{-1}(n)}).$$

isotopy + conjugation = *paratopy*

cubes *equivalent* if they can be mapped onto each other by paratopy.

Definition and classes

The stabiliser of a cube is its *autotopy group*

$\gamma \in S_n$ act by *conjugation*, i.e.

$$C^\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_n) = C(i_{\gamma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, i_{\gamma^{-1}(n)}).$$

isotopy + conjugation = *paratopy*

cubes *equivalent* if they can be mapped onto each other by paratopy.

Kramer-Mesner approach + our GAP package *Prescribed Automorphism Groups*

Difference cubes

Let G be a group of order v and let $D \subseteq G$ of size k .

Difference cubes

Let G be a group of order v and let $D \subseteq G$ of size k .

If (in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$) $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - 1_G) + k \times 1_G$, then

Difference cubes

Let G be a group of order v and let $D \subseteq G$ of size k .

If (in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$) $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - 1_G) + k \times 1_G$, then

D is a (v, k, λ) difference set and $(G, \{gD \mid g \in G\})$ is a (v, k, λ) symmetric design.

Difference cubes

Let G be a group of order v and let $D \subseteq G$ of size k .

If (in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$) $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - 1_G) + k \times 1_G$, then

D is a (v, k, λ) difference set and $(G, \{gD \mid g \in G\})$ is a (v, k, λ) symmetric design.

Theorem.

Let D be a (v, k, λ) difference set in the group G . Order the group elements as g_1, \dots, g_v . Then the function

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} \in D] \quad (1)$$

is an n -dimensional cube of (v, k, λ) designs.

Difference cubes

Let G be a group of order v and let $D \subseteq G$ of size k .

If (in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$) $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - 1_G) + k \times 1_G$, then

D is a (v, k, λ) difference set and $(G, \{gD \mid g \in G\})$ is a (v, k, λ) symmetric design.

Theorem.

Let D be a (v, k, λ) difference set in the group G . Order the group elements as g_1, \dots, g_v . Then the function

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} \in D] \quad (1)$$

is an n -dimensional cube of (v, k, λ) designs.

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

The cubes arising from the previous theorem are called *difference cubes*.

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

The cubes arising from the previous theorem are called *difference cubes*.

A *non-difference cube* is not equivalent to any difference cube

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

The cubes arising from the previous theorem are called *difference cubes*.

A *non-difference cube* is not equivalent to any difference cube

We can generalise the construction of difference cubes.

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

The cubes arising from the previous theorem are called *difference cubes*.

A *non-difference cube* is not equivalent to any difference cube

We can generalise the construction of difference cubes.

Theorem.

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_v\}$ be a group and $\mathcal{D} = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ a (v, k, λ) design with all of its blocks being (v, k, λ) difference sets in G . Then

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_n} \in B_{i_1}] \quad (2)$$

is an n -dimensional cube of (v, k, λ) designs.

Difference cubes

This was originally proved by J. Hammer, J. Seberry for group developed n -dimensional proper Hadamard matrices

The cubes arising from the previous theorem are called *difference cubes*.

A *non-difference cube* is not equivalent to any difference cube

We can generalise the construction of difference cubes.

Theorem.

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_v\}$ be a group and $\mathcal{D} = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ a (v, k, λ) design with all of its blocks being (v, k, λ) difference sets in G . Then

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_n} \in B_{i_1}] \quad (2)$$

is an n -dimensional cube of (v, k, λ) designs.

Group cubes

Cubes constructed from previous Thm are called *group cubes*.

Cubes constructed from previous Thm are called *group cubes*.

Can we find designs that are not developments, but all of their blocks are difference sets?

Cubes constructed from previous Thm are called *group cubes*.

Can we find designs that are not developments, but all of their blocks are difference sets?

Then the construction may give non-difference cubes.

Cubes constructed from previous Thm are called *group cubes*.

Can we find designs that are not developments, but all of their blocks are difference sets?

Then the construction may give non-difference cubes.

The first example comes from $(21, 5, 1)$ symmetric design

Cubes constructed from previous Thm are called *group cubes*.

Can we find designs that are not developments, but all of their blocks are difference sets?

Then the construction may give non-difference cubes.

The first example comes from $(21, 5, 1)$ symmetric design

There is a $(21, 5, 1)$ design over F_{21} where all blocks are difference sets, but where they don't belong to a development of one difference set.

Theorem:

For every $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the set $\mathcal{C}^n(4^m, 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1), 2^{m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1))$ contains at least two inequivalent group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Group cubes, constructions

Theorem:

For every $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the set $\mathcal{C}^n(4^m, 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1), 2^{m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1))$ contains at least two inequivalent group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Proposition:

Up to equivalence, the set $\mathcal{C}^3(16, 6, 2)$ contains exactly 27 difference cubes and 946 group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Group cubes, constructions

Theorem:

For every $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the set $\mathcal{C}^n(4^m, 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1), 2^{m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1))$ contains at least two inequivalent group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Proposition:

Up to equivalence, the set $\mathcal{C}^3(16, 6, 2)$ contains exactly 27 difference cubes and 946 group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Proposition:

The set $\mathcal{C}^3(16, 6, 2)$ contains at least 1423 inequivalent non-group cubes.

Group cubes, constructions

Theorem:

For every $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the set $\mathcal{C}^n(4^m, 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1), 2^{m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1))$ contains at least two inequivalent group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Proposition:

Up to equivalence, the set $\mathcal{C}^3(16, 6, 2)$ contains exactly 27 difference cubes and 946 group cubes that are not difference cubes.

Proposition:

The set $\mathcal{C}^3(16, 6, 2)$ contains at least 1423 inequivalent non-group cubes.

Proposition:

The set $\mathcal{C}^3(21, 5, 1)$ contains exactly three inequivalent group cubes, two of which are difference cubes.

Thank you!