# ON ISOTOPY AND UNIMODAL INVERSE LIMIT SPACES

#### H. BRUIN AND S. ŠTIMAC

ABSTRACT. We prove that every self-homeomorphism  $h: K_s \to K_s$  on the inverse limit space  $K_s$  of tent map  $T_s$  with slope  $s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$  is isotopic to a power of the shift-homeomorphism  $\sigma^R: K_s \to K_s$ .

#### 1. Introduction

The solution of Ingram's Conjecture constitutes a major advancement in the classification of unimodal inverse limit spaces and the group of self-homeomorphisms on them. This conjecture was posed by Tom Ingram in 1992 for tent maps  $T_s: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  with slope  $\pm s$ ,  $s \in [1,2]$ , defined as  $T_s(x) = \min\{sx, s(1-x)\}$ . The turning point is  $c = \frac{1}{2}$  and we denote its iterates by  $c_n = T_s^n(c)$ . The inverse limit space  $K_s = \varprojlim([0, s/2], T_s)$  consists of the core  $\varprojlim([c_2, c_1], T_s)$  and the 0-composant  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ , i.e., the composant of the point  $\bar{0} := (\ldots, 0, 0, 0)$ , which compactifies on the core of the inverse limit space. Ingram's Conjecture reads:

If  $1 \le s < s' \le 2$ , then the corresponding inverse limit spaces  $\varprojlim([0, s/2], T_s)$  and  $\varprojlim([0, s'/2], T_{s'})$  are non-homeomorphic.

The first results towards solving this conjecture were obtained for tent maps with a finite critical orbit [9, 12, 3]. Raines and Štimac [11] extended these results to tent maps with a possibly infinite, but non-recurrent critical orbit. Recently Ingram's Conjecture was solved completely (in the affirmative) in [2], but we still know very little of the structure of inverse limit spaces (and their subcontinua) for the case that orb(c) is infinite and recurrent, see [1, 5, 8].

Given a continuum K and  $x \in K$ , the composant A of x is the union of the proper subcontinua of K containing x. For slopes  $s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ , the core is indecomposable (i.e., it cannot be written as the union of two proper subcontinua), and in this case we also proved [2] that any self-homeomorphism  $h: K_s \to K_s$  is pseudo-isotopic to a power  $\sigma^R$  of the shift-homeomorphism

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 54H20,\ 37B45,\ 37E05.$ 

Key words and phrases. isotopy, tent map, inverse limit space.

HB was supported by EPSRC grant EP/F037112/1. SŠ was supported in part by NSF 0604958 and in part by the MZOS Grant 037-0372791-2802 of the Republic of Croatia.

 $\sigma$  on the core. This means that h permutes the composants of the core of  $K_s$  in the same way as  $\sigma^R$  does, and it is a priori a weaker property than isotopy. This is for instance illustrated by the  $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ -continuum, defined as the graph  $\{(x, \sin \frac{1}{x}) : x \in (0, 1]\}$  compactified with a bar  $\{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ . There are homeomorphisms that reverse the orientation of the bar, and these are always pseudo-isotopic, but never isotopic, to the identity. Since such  $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ -continua are precisely the non-trivial subcontinua of Fibonacci-like inverse limit spaces [8], this example is very relevant to our paper.

In this paper we make the step from pseudo-isotopy to isotopy. To this end, we exploit socalled *folding points*, *i.e.*, points in the core of  $K_s$  where the local structure of the core of  $K_s$  is not that of a Cantor set cross an arc. In the next section we prove the following results:

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ , and  $h : K_s \to K_s$  is a homeomorphism, then there is  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $h(x) = \sigma^R(x)$  for every folding point x in  $K_s$ .

Folding points  $x = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  are characterized by the fact that each entry  $x_{-k}$  belongs to the omega-limit set  $\omega(c)$  of the turning point  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ , see [10]. This gives the immediate corollary for those slopes such that the critical orbit orb(c) is dense in  $[c_2, c_1]$ , which according to [7] holds for Lebesgue a.e.  $s \in [\sqrt{2}, 2]$ .

Corollary 1.2. If  $\operatorname{orb}(c)$  is dense in  $[c_2, c_1]$ , then for every homeomorphism  $h: K_s \to K_s$  there is  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $h = \sigma^R$  on the core of  $K_s$ .

The more difficult case, however, is when  $\operatorname{orb}(c)$  is not dense in  $[c_2, c_1]$ . In this case, h can be at best isotopic to a power of the shift, because at non-folding points, where the core of  $K_s$  is a Cantor set cross an arc, h can easily act as a local translation. It is shown in [4] that for tent maps with non-recurrent critical point (or in fact, more generally long-branched tent maps), every homeomorphism  $h: K_s \to K_s$  is indeed isotopic to a power of the shift. The proof exploits the fact that in this case, so-called p-points (indicating folds in the arc-components of  $K_s$ ) are separated from each other, at least in arc-length semi-metric. Here we prove the general result.

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ , and  $h : K_s \to K_s$  is a homeomorphism, then there exists  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that h is isotopic to  $\sigma^R$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give basic definitions and prove results on how homeomorphisms act on folding points, *i.e.*, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. These proofs depend largely on the results obtained in [2]. In Section 3 we present the additional arguments needed for the isotopy result and finally prove Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Inverse limit spaces of tent maps and folding points

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  be the set of natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The tent map  $T_s : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$  with slope  $\pm s$  is defined as  $T_s(x) = \min\{sx, s(1 - x)\}$ . The critical or turning point is c = 1/2 and we write  $c_k = T_s^k(c)$ , so in particular  $c_1 = s/2$  and  $c_2 = s(1-s/2)$ . Also let  $\operatorname{orb}(c)$  and  $\omega(c)$  be the orbit and the omega-limit set of c. We will restrict  $T_s$  to the interval I = [0, s/2]; this is larger than the  $\operatorname{core}[c_2, c_1] = [s - s^2/2, s/2]$ , but it contains the fixed point 0 on which the 0-composant  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is based.

The inverse limit space  $K_s = \underline{\lim}([0, s/2], T_s)$  is

$$\{x = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) : T_s(x_{i-1}) = x_i \in [0, s/2] \text{ for all } i \le 0\},\$$

equipped with metric  $d(x,y) = \sum_{n \leq 0} 2^n |x_n - y_n|$  and induced (or shift) homeomorphism

$$\sigma(\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, T_s(x_0)).$$

Let  $\pi_k : \varprojlim([0, s/2], T_s) \to I$ ,  $\pi_k(x) = x_{-k}$  be the k-th projection map. Since  $0 \in I$ , the endpoint  $\bar{0} := (\dots, 0, 0, 0)$  is contained in  $\varprojlim([0, s/2], T_s)$ . The composant of  $\varprojlim([0, s/2], T_s)$  of  $\bar{0}$  will be denoted as  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ ; it is a ray converging to, but disjoint from the core  $\varprojlim([c_2, c_1], T_s)$  of the inverse limit space. We fix  $s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ ; for these parameters  $T_s$  is not renormalizable and  $\varprojlim([c_2, c_1], T_s)$  is indecomposable. Moreover, the arc-component of  $\bar{0}$  coincides with the composant of  $\bar{0}$ , but for points in the core of  $K_s$ , we have to make the distinction between arc-component and composant more carefully.

A point  $x = (..., x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) \in K_s$  is called a p-point if  $x_{-p-l} = c$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The number  $L_p(x) := l$  is the p-level of x. In particular,  $x_0 = T_s^{p+l}(c)$ . By convention, the endpoint  $\bar{0}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is also a p-point and  $L_p(\bar{0}) := \infty$ , for every p. The ordered set of all p-points of the composant  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is denoted by  $E_p$ , and the ordered set of all p-points of p-level l by  $E_{p,l}$ . Given an arc  $A \subset K_s$  with successive p-points  $x^0, \ldots, x^n$ , the sequence of their p-level is denoted as

$$FP_p(A) := L_p(x^0), \dots, L_p(x^n),$$

where FP stands for folding pattern. Note that every arc of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  has only finitely many p-points, but an arc A of the core of  $K_s$  can have infinitely many p-points. In this case, if  $(u^i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$  is the set of p-points of A, then  $FP_p(A)=(L_p(u^i))_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ , for some countable index set  $\mathcal{I}$  (not necessarily of the same ordinal type as  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). The folding pattern of the composant  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ , denoted by  $FP(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ , is the sequence  $L_p(z^1), L_p(z^2), \ldots, L_p(z^n), \ldots$ , where  $E_p=\{z^1, z^2, \ldots, z^n, \ldots\}$  and p is any nonnegative integer. Let  $q\in\mathbb{N}, q>p$ , and  $E_q=\{y^0, y^1, y^2, \ldots\}$ . Since  $\sigma^{q-p}$  is an order-preserving homeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$ , it is easy to see that  $\sigma^{q-p}(z^i)=y^i$  for every  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ , and  $L_p(z^i)=L_q(y^i)$ . Therefore, the folding pattern of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  does not depend on p.

**Definition 2.1.** We call a *p*-point  $s \in \mathfrak{C}_0$  salient if  $0 \le L_p(x) < L_p(s)$  for every *p*-point  $x \in (\bar{0}, s)$ . Let  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of all salient *p*-points of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  ordered such that  $s_i \in (\bar{0}, s_{i+1})$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

Since for every slope s > 1 and  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the folding pattern of the 0-composant  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  starts as  $\infty$  0 1 0 2 0 1 ..., and since by definition  $L_p(s_i) > 0$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $L_p(s_1) = 1$ . Also, since  $s_i = \sigma^{i-1}(s_1)$ , we have  $L_p(s_i) = i$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for every p-point x of  $K_s$  with  $L_p(x) \neq 0$ , there exists a unique salient p-point  $s_l$  such that  $L_p(x) = L_p(s_l)$  and  $l = L_p(x)$ . Also, for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , amongst all p-points  $E_{p,l}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  with p-level l there exists precisely one p-point  $s_l$  which is salient and has p-level l. Note that the salient p-points depend on p: if  $p \geq q$ , then the salient p-point  $s_i$  equals the salient q-point  $s_{i+p-q}$ .

A folding point is any point x in the core of  $K_s$  such that no neighborhood of x in core of  $K_s$  is homeomorphic to the product of a Cantor set and an arc. In [10] it was shown that  $x = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  is a folding point if and only if  $x_{-k} \in \omega(c)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . We can characterize folding points in terms of p-points as follows:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let p be arbitrary. A point  $x \in K_s$  is a folding point if and only if there is a sequence of p-points  $(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $x^k \to x$  and  $L_p(x^k) \to \infty$ .

Proof.  $\Rightarrow$  Take  $m \geq p$  arbitrary. Since  $\pi_m(x) \in \omega(c)$  there is a sequence of post-critical points  $c_{n_i} \to \pi_m(x)$ . This means that any point  $y^i = (\dots, c_{n_i}, c_{n_i+1}, \dots, c_{n_i+m})$  is a p-point with p-level  $L_p(y^i) = n_i + m - p$ . Furthermore, for each  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $|\pi_j(y^i) - \pi_j(x)| \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ . Since m is arbitrary, we can construct a diagonal sequence  $(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of p-points, by taking a single element from  $(y^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  for each m, such that  $\sup_{j \leq k} |\pi_j(x^k) - \pi_j(x)| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . This proves that  $x^k \to x$  and  $L_p(x^k) \to \infty$ .

$$\Leftarrow$$
 Take  $m$  arbitrary. Since  $x^k \to x$ , also  $|\pi_m(x^k) - \pi_m(x)| \to 0$  and  $\pi_m(x^k) = c_n$  for  $n = L_p(x^k) + p - m$ . But  $L_p(x^k) \to \infty$ , so  $\pi_m(x) \in \omega(c)$ .

A continuum is *chainable* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a cover  $\{\ell^1, \ldots, \ell^n\}$  of open sets (called *links*) of diameter  $< \varepsilon$  such that  $\ell^i \cap \ell^j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i-j| \leq 1$ . Such a cover is called a *chain*. Clearly the interval [0, s/2] is chainable. Throughout, we will use sequence of chains  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of  $\underline{\lim}([0, s/2], T_s)$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1) there is a chain  $\{I_p^1, I_p^2, \dots, I_p^n\}$  of [0, s/2] such that  $\ell_p^j := \pi_p^{-1}(I_p^j)$  are the links of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ ;
- (2) each point  $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{p} T_s^{-i}(c)$  is the boundary point of some link  $I_p^j$ ;
- (3) for each i there is j such that  $T_s(I_{p+1}^i) \subset I_p^j$ .

If  $\max_{j} |I_{p}^{j}| < \varepsilon s^{-p}/2$  then  $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{C}_{p}) := \max\{\operatorname{diam}(\ell) : \ell \in \mathcal{C}_{p}\} < \varepsilon$ , which shows that  $\varprojlim([0, s/2], T_{s})$  is indeed chainable. Condition (3) ensures that  $\mathcal{C}_{p+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{C}_{p}$  (written  $\mathcal{C}_{p+1} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p}$ ).

Note that all p-point  $E_{p,l}$  of p-level l belong to the same link of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . (This follows by property (1) of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ , because  $L_p(x) = L_p(y)$  implies  $\pi_p(x) = \pi_p(y)$ .) Therefore, every link of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  which contains a p-point of p-level l contains also the salient p-point  $s_l$ .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism. Let  $x, y \in K_s$  be folding points with h(x) = y. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  let  $q_i, p_i \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for sequences of chains  $(\mathcal{C}_{q_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $(\mathcal{C}_{p_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $K_s$  we have

$$\cdots \prec h(\mathcal{C}_{q_{i+1}}) \prec \mathcal{C}_{p_{i+1}} \prec h(\mathcal{C}_{q_i}) \prec \mathcal{C}_{p_i} \prec \cdots \prec h(\mathcal{C}_{q_1}) \prec \mathcal{C}_{p_1} \prec h(\mathcal{C}_q) \prec \mathcal{C}_p$$

where  $q_0 = q$  and  $p_0 = p$ . Let  $(\ell^x_{q_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence of links such that  $x \in \ell^x_{q_i} \in \mathcal{C}_{q_i}$ , and similarly for  $(\ell^y_{p_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ . Then  $\ell^x_{q_{i+1}} \subset \ell^x_{q_i}$ ,  $\ell^y_{p_{i+1}} \subset \ell^y_{p_i}$  and  $h(\ell^x_{q_i}) \subset \ell^y_{p_i}$ . By Lemma 2.2 and by a remark above this proof, there exists a sequence  $(s'_{d_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of salient q-points with  $s'_{d_i} \to x$  as  $i \to \infty$ . Then for every i there exist  $j_i$  such that  $s'_{d_{j_i}} \in \ell^x_{q_i}$ ,  $h(s'_{d_{j_i}}) \in \ell^y_{p_i}$  and  $h(s'_{d_{j_i}}) \to y$  as  $i \to \infty$ . By [2, Theorem 4.1] the midpoint of the arc component  $A_i$  of  $\ell^y_{p_i}$  which contains  $h(s'_{d_{j_i}})$  is a salient  $p_i$ -point  $s''_{m_i}$ . Since  $s''_{m_i}$ ,  $y \in \ell^y_{p_i}$ , for every i and diam  $\ell^y_{p_i} \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ , we have  $s''_{m_i} \to y$ . Since  $s'_{d_i}$  is a salient q-point and  $s'_{d_i} \in \ell^x_q$ ,  $s''_{m_i}$  can be also considered as a salient p-point and is also the midpoint of the arc component  $p_i \to q_i$  of  $p_i$  which contains  $p_i$ . Therefore,  $p''_{m_i} = p_{i_i} + p_{i_i}$ , where  $p_i$  is as in [2, Theorem 4.1].

Let R = M - q + p. By [2, Corollary 5.3], R does not depend on q, p and M. Since  $\sigma^R: K_s \to K_s$  is a homeomorphism, and since  $s'_{d_i} \to x$  as  $i \to \infty$ , we have  $\sigma^R(s'_{d_i}) \to \sigma^R(x)$  as  $i \to \infty$ . Note that  $\sigma^R(s'_{d_{j_i}}) = s_{d_{j_i}+M}$  and  $s_{d_{j_i}+M} \to y$ . Therefore  $\sigma^R(x) = y$ , i.e.,  $\sigma^R(x) = h(x)$ .

Proof of Corollary 1.2. If orb(c) is dense in  $[c_2, c_1]$ , every point x in the core of  $K_s$  satisfies  $\pi_k(x) \in \omega(c)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By [10], this means that every point is a folding point, and hence the previous theorem implies that  $h \equiv \sigma^R$  on the core of  $K_s$ .

**Remark 2.3.** A point  $x \in K_s$  is an *endpoint* of an atriodic continuum, if for every pair of subcontinua A and B containing x, either  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ . The notion of folding point is more general than that of endpoint. For example, if the critical point of a tent map is preperiodic, then the folding points of the inverse limit space of this tent map are not endpoints.

It is natural to classify arc-components  $\mathfrak{A}$  according to the folding points they may contain. For arc-components  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have the following possibilities:

- $\mathfrak{A}$  contains no folding point.
- $\mathfrak{A}$  contains one folding point x, e.g. if x is an endpoint of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- $\mathfrak{A}$  contains two folding points, e.g. if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the bar of a  $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ -continuum.
- $\mathfrak{A}$  contains countably many folding points. One can construct a tent map such that the folding points of its inverse limit space belong to finitely many arc-components that are periodic under  $\sigma$ , but where there are still countably folding points.<sup>1</sup>
- $\mathfrak{A}$  contains uncountably many folding points, e.g. if  $\omega(c) = [c_2, c_1]$ , because then every point in the core is a folding point.

This is clearly only a first step towards a complete classification.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\ell^0, \ell^1, \ldots, \ell^k$  be those links in  $\mathcal{C}_p$  that are successively visited by an arc  $A \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$  (hence  $\ell^i \neq \ell^{i+1}, \ell^i \cap \ell^{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\ell^i = \ell^{i+2}$  is possible if A turns in  $\ell^{i+1}$ ). Let  $A^i \subset \ell^i$  be the corresponding arc components such that  $\operatorname{Cl} A^i$  are subarcs of A. We call the arc A

- p-link symmetric if  $\ell^i = \ell^{k-i}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ;
- maximal p-link symmetric if it is p-link symmetric and there is no p-link symmetric arc  $B \supset A$  and passing through more links than A.

The p-point of  $A^{k/2}$  with the highest p-level is called the *center* of A, and the link  $\ell^{k/2}$  is called the *central link* of A.

# 3. ISOTOPIC HOMEOMORPHISMS OF UNIMODAL INVERSE LIMITS

It is shown in [2] that every salient p-point  $s_l \in \mathfrak{C}_0$  is the center of the maximal p-link symmetric arc  $A_l$ . We denote the central link that  $s_l$  belongs to by  $\ell_p^{s_l}$ . For a better understanding of this section, let us mention that a key idea in [2] is that under a homeomorphism h such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q) \prec \mathcal{C}_p$ , (maximal) q-link symmetric arcs have to map to (maximal) p-link symmetric arcs, and for this reason  $h(s_m) \in \ell_p^{s_l}$  for some appropriate  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [2, Theorem 4.1]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism pseudo-isotopic to  $\sigma^R$ , and let  $q, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q) \leq \mathcal{C}_p$ . Let x be a q-point in the core of  $K_s$  and let  $\ell_p^{s_l} \in \mathcal{C}_p$  be the link

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An example is the tent-map where  $c_1$  has symbolic itinerary (kneading sequence)  $\nu = 100101^201^301^4$  01<sup>5</sup>.... Then the two-sided itineraries of folding points are limits of  $\{\sigma^j(\nu)\}_{j\geq 0}$ . The only such two-sided limit sequences are  $1^{\infty}.1^{\infty}$  and  $\{\sigma^j(1^{\infty}.01^{\infty}): j\in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Since they all have left tail ...1111, these folding points belong to the arc-component of the point  $(\ldots, p, p, p)$  for the fixed point  $p = \frac{s}{1+s}$ . This use of two-sided symbolic itineraries was introduced for inverse limit spaces in [6].

containing both  $\sigma^R(x)$  and salient p-point  $s_l$ , where  $l = L_p(\sigma^R(x))$ . Suppose that the arccomponent  $W_x$  of  $\ell_p^{s_l}$  containing  $\sigma^R(x)$  does not contain any folding point. Then  $h(x) \in W_x$ .

Proof. Since  $W_x$  does not contain any folding point, it contains finitely many p-points. Note that  $W_x$  contains at least one p-point since  $\sigma^R(x) \in W_x$  is a p-point. Since  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is dense in  $K_s$ , there exists a sequence  $(W_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  of arc-components of  $\ell_p^{s_i}$  such that  $W_i \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$ ,  $FP_p(W_i) = FP_p(W_x)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $W_i \to W_x$  in the Hausdorff metric. Let  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of q-points such that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L_q(x_i) = L_q(x)$ ,  $x_i \to x$  and  $\sigma^R(x_i) \in W_i$ . Obviously  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$ ,  $L_p(\sigma^R(x_i)) = L_p(\sigma^R(x))$  and  $\sigma^R(x_i) \to \sigma^R(x)$ . Since h is a homeomorphisms,  $h(x_i) \to h(x)$ . It follows by the construction in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.2] that  $h(x_i) \in W_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $h(x) \in W_x$ .

Corollary 3.2. Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism pseudo-isotopic to  $\sigma^R$ . Then h permutes arc-components of  $K_s$  in the same way as  $\sigma^R$ .

Proof. Since h is a homeomorphism, h maps arc-components to arc-components. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an arc-component of  $K_s$ . Let us suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains a folding point, say x. Then  $h(x) = \sigma^R(x)$  implies  $h(\mathfrak{A}) = \sigma^R(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Let us assume now that  $\mathfrak{A}$  does not contain any folding point; in particular this means that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has no endpoint. There exist  $q, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q) \preceq \mathcal{C}_p$  and that  $h(\mathfrak{A})$  is not contained in a single link of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is not contained in a single link of  $\mathcal{C}_q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  cannot go straight through all the links of  $\mathcal{C}_q$ , we can find a link  $\ell_q \in \mathcal{C}_q$  and arc-component  $V \in \ell_q \cap \mathfrak{A}$  of  $\ell_q$  such that V contains at least one q-point, say x. Let  $\ell_p^{s_l} \in \mathcal{C}_p$  be such that  $l = L_p(\sigma^R(x))$ . Let  $W \subset \ell_p^{s_l}$  be arc-component containing  $\sigma^R(x)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  does not contain any folding point,  $h(\mathfrak{A})$  does not contain any folding point implying W does not contain any folding point. Then, by Lemma 3.1,  $h(x) \in W$  implying  $h(\mathfrak{A}) = \sigma^R(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism that is pseudo-isotopic to the identity. Then h preserves orientation of every arc-component  $\mathfrak{A}$ , *i.e.*, given a parametrization  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{A}$  (or  $\varphi: [0,1] \to \mathfrak{A}$  or  $\varphi: [0,\infty) \to \mathfrak{A}$ ) that induces an order  $\prec$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $x \prec y$  implies  $h(x) \prec h(y)$ .

*Proof.* Let us first suppose that  $h: K_s \to K_s$  is any homeomorphism. Then, by [2, Theorem 1.2] there is an  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that h, restricted to the core, is pseudo-isotopic to  $\sigma^R$ , *i.e.*, h permutes the composants of the core of the inverse limit in the same way as  $\sigma^R$ . Therefore, by Corollary 3.2, it permutes the arc-components of the inverse limit in the same way as  $\sigma^R$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}'$  be arc-components of the core such that  $h, \sigma^R : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ , and let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}, x \prec y$ . We want to prove that  $h(x) \prec h(y)$  if and only if  $\sigma^R(x) \prec \sigma^R(y)$ . Since h and  $\sigma^R$  are homeomorphisms on arc-components, each of them could be either order preserving or order reversing. Therefore, to prove the claim we only need to pick two convenient points  $u, v \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $u \prec v$ , and check if we have either  $h(u) \prec h(v)$  and  $\sigma^R(u) \prec \sigma^R(v)$ , or  $h(v) \prec h(u)$  and  $\sigma^R(v) \prec \sigma^R(u)$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains at least two folding points, we can choose u, v to be folding points. Then  $h(u) = \sigma^R(u)$  and  $h(v) = \sigma^R(v)$  and the claim follows.

Let us suppose now that  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains at most one folding point. Then there exist  $q, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q) \leq \mathcal{C}_p$  and q-points  $u, v \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $u \prec v$  (on the same side of the folding point if there exists one) such that  $\sigma^R(u)$  and  $\sigma^R(v)$  are contained in disjoint links of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  each of which does not contain the folding point of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , if there exists one.

Let  $\ell_p^{s_j}, \ell_p^{s_k} \in \mathcal{C}_p$  with  $j = L_p(\sigma^R(u))$  and  $k = L_p(\sigma^R(v))$  be links containing  $\sigma^R(u)$  and  $\sigma^R(v)$  respectively. Let  $W_u \subset \ell_p^{s_j}$  and  $W_v \subset \ell_p^{s_k}$  be arc-components containing  $\sigma^R(u)$  and  $\sigma^R(v)$  respectively. Then  $W_u$  and  $W_v$  do not contain any folding point and by Lemma 3.1  $h(u) \in W_u$  and  $h(v) \in W_v$ . Therefore obviously  $h(u) \prec h(v)$  if and only if  $\sigma^R(u) \prec \sigma^R(v)$ .

If h is a homeomorphism that is pseudo-isotopic to the identity, then R=0 and the claim of lemma follows.

Corollary 3.4. If h is pseudo-isotopic to the identity, then the arc A connecting x and h(x) is a single point, or A contains no folding point.

*Proof.* Since h is pseudo-isotopic to the identity, x and h(x) belong to the same composant, and in fact the same arc-component. So let A be the arc connecting x and h(x). If x = h(x), then there is nothing to prove. If  $h(x) \neq x$ , say  $x \prec h(x)$ , and A contains a folding point y, then  $x \prec y = h(y) \prec h(x)$ , contradicting Lemma 3.3.

In particular, any homeomorphism h that is pseudo-isotopic to the identity cannot reverse the bar of a  $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ -continuum. The next lemma strengthens Lemma 3.1 to the case that  $W_x$  is allowed to contain folding points.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism that is pseudo-isotopic to the identity. Let  $q, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q) \leq \mathcal{C}_p$ . Let x be a q-point in the core of  $K_s$  and let  $\ell_p^{s_l} \in \mathcal{C}_p$  be such that  $l = L_p(x)$ . Let  $W_x \subset \ell_p^{s_l}$  be an arc-component of  $\ell_p^{s_l}$  containing x. Then  $h(x) \in W_x$ .

*Proof.* If  $W_x$  does not contain any folding point the proof follows by Lemma 3.1 for R=0.

Let  $W_x$  contain at least one folding point. If x is a folding point, then  $h(x) = x \in W_x$  by Theorem 1.1. If  $W_x$  contains at least two folding points, say y and z, such that  $x \in [y, z] \subset W_x$ , then  $h(x) \in [y, z] \subset W_x$  by Corollary 3.4.

The last possibility is that  $x \in (y, z) \subset W_x$ , where  $z \in W_x$  is a folding point,  $y \notin W_x$ , i.e., y is a boundary point of  $W_x$ , and (y, z) does not contain any folding point. Since  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is dense in  $K_s$ , there exists a sequence  $(W_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of arc-components of  $\ell_p^{s_i}$  such that  $W_i \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$  and  $W_i \to (y, z]$  in the Hausdorff metric. Note that in this case there exists the sequence of p-points  $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $m_i$  is the midpoint of  $W_i$ , and for this sequence we have  $m_i \to z$  and  $L_p(m_i) \to \infty$ . Also, for every i large enough, every  $W_i$  contains a q-point  $x_i$  with  $L_q(x_i) = L_q(x)$ , and for the sequence of q-points  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have  $x_i \to x$ . Obviously  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$  and  $L_p(x_i) = L_p(x)$ . By the proof of [2, Proposition 4.2] applied for R = 0 we have  $h(x_i) \in W_i$  for every i. Since h is a homeomorphisms,  $h(x_i) \to h(x)$ . Therefore,  $h(x) \in (y, z) \subset W_x$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $h: K_s \to K_s$  be a homeomorphism. If  $z^n \to z$  and  $A^n = [z^n, h(z^n)]$ , then  $A^n \to A := [z, h(z)]$  in Hausdorff metric.

*Proof.* We know that h is pseudo-isotopic to  $\sigma^R$  for some  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; by composing h with  $\sigma^{-R}$  we can assume that R = 0. By Corollary 3.2, h preserves the arc-components, and by Lemma 3.3, preserves the orientation of each arc-component as well.

Take a subsequence such that  $A^{n_k}$  converges in Hausdorff metric, say to B. Since  $x, h(x) \in B$ , we have  $B \supseteq A$ . Assume by contradiction that  $B \neq A$ . Fix q, p arbitrary such that  $h(\mathcal{C}_q)$  refines  $\mathcal{C}_p$ , and such that  $\pi_p(B) \neq \pi_p(A)$  and a fortiori, that there is a link  $\ell \in \mathcal{C}_p$  such that  $\ell \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $\pi_p(\ell)$  contains a boundary point of  $\pi_p(B)$ .

Let  $d_n = \max\{L_p(y) : y \text{ is } p\text{-point in } A^n\}$ . If  $D := \sup d_n < \infty$  (if  $A^n$  contains no p-point, then we set D = 0 be default), then we can pass to the chain  $\mathcal{C}_{p+D}$  and find that all  $A^{n_k}$ 's go straight through  $\mathcal{C}_{p+D}$ , hence the limit is a straight arc as well, stretching from x to h(x), so B = A. Therefore  $D = \infty$ , and we can assume without loss of generality that  $d_{n_k} \to \infty$ .

Since the link in  $\ell$  is disjoint from A but  $\pi_p(\ell)$  contains a boundary point of  $\pi_p(B)$ , the arcs  $A^{n_k}$  intersects  $\ell$  for all k sufficiently large. Therefore  $A^{n_k} \cap \ell$  separates  $x^{n_k}$  from  $h(x^{n_k})$ ; let  $W^{n_k}$  be a component of  $A^{n_k} \cap \ell$  between  $x^{n_k}$  and  $h(x^{n_k})$ . Since  $\pi_p(\ell)$  contains a boundary point of  $\pi_p(B)$ ,  $W^{n_k}$  contains at least one p-point for each k. Lemma 3.5 states that there is  $y^{n_k} \in W^{n_k}$  such that  $h(y^{n_k}) \in W^{n_k}$  as well, and therefore  $x^{n_k} \prec y^{n_k}, h(y^{n_k}) \prec h(x^{n_k})$  (or  $y^{n_k} \prec x^{n_k}, h(x^{n_k}) \prec h(y^{n_k})$ ), contradicting that h preserves orientation.

Let us finally prove Theorem 1.3:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix R such that h is pseudo-isotopic to  $\sigma^R$ . Then  $\sigma^{-R} \circ h$  is pseudo-isotopic to the identity. So renaming  $\sigma^{-R} \circ h$  to h again, we need to show that h is isotopic to the identity.

If x is a folding point of  $K_s$ , then h(x) = x by Theorem 1.1. In this case, and in fact for any point such that h(x) = x, we let H(x,t) = x for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . If  $h(x) \neq x$ , then x and h(x) belong to the same arc-component, and the arc A = [x, h(x)] contains no folding point by Corollary 3.4. By Lemma 2.2, A contains only finitely many p-points, so there is m such that  $\pi_m : A \to \pi_m(A)$  is one-to-one. In this case,

$$H(x,t) = \pi_m^{-1}|_A[(1-t)\pi_m(x) + t\pi_m(h(x))].$$

Clearly  $t \mapsto H(\cdot, t)$  is a family of maps connecting h to the identity in a single path as  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We need to show that H is continuous both in x and t, and that  $H(\cdot, t)$  is a bijection for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $z \in K_s$  and  $(z^n, t^n) \to (z, t)$ . If h(z) = z, then  $H(z, t) \equiv z$ , and Proposition 3.6 implies that  $H(z^n, t^n) \to z = H(z, t)$ . So let us assume that  $h(z) \neq z$ . The arc A = [z, h(z)] contains no folding point, so by Lemma 2.2, for all  $x \in A$ , there is  $\varepsilon(x) > 0$  and  $W(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon(x)}(x)$  contains no p-point of p-level  $\geq W(x)$ . By compactness of A,  $\varepsilon := \inf_{x \in A} \varepsilon(x) > 0$  and  $\sup_{x \in A} W(x) < \infty$ , whence there is m > p + W such that  $V := \pi_m^{-1} \circ \pi_m(A)$  is contained in an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of A that contains no p-point.

By Proposition 3.6, there is N such that  $A^n \subset V$  for all  $n \geq N$ , and in fact  $\pi_m(A^n) \to \pi_m(A)$ . It follows that  $H(z^n, t^n) \to H(z, t)$ .

To see that  $x \mapsto H(\cdot,t)$  is injective for all  $t \in [0,1]$ , assume by contradiction that there is  $t_0 \in [0,1]$  and  $x \neq y$  such that  $H(x,t_0) = H(y,t_0)$ . Then x and y belong to the same arc-component  $\mathfrak{A}$ , which is the same as the arc-component containing h(x) and h(y). The smallest arc J containing all four point contains no folding point by Corollary 3.4. Therefore there is m such that  $\pi_m : J \to \pi_m(J)$  is injective, and we can choose an orientation on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that x < y on J, and  $\pi_m(x) < \pi_m(y)$ . Since  $t \mapsto \pi_m \circ H(x,t)$  is monotone with constant speed depending only on x, we find

$$\pi_m(x) < \pi_m(y) < \pi_m \circ H(x, t_0) = \pi_m \circ H(y, t_0) < \pi_m \circ h(y) < \pi_m \circ h(x)$$

This contradicts that h preserves orientation on arc-components, see Lemma 3.3.

To prove surjectivity, choose  $x \in K_s$  arbitrary. If h(x) = x, then H(x,t) = x for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . Otherwise, say if h(x) > x, there is y < x in the same arc-component as x such that h(y) = x. The map  $t \mapsto H(\cdot,t)$  moves the arc [y,x] continuously and monotonically to [h(y),h(x)] = x.

[x, h(x)]. Therefore, for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there is  $y_t \in [y, x]$  such that  $H(y_t, t) = x$ . This proves surjectivity.

We conclude that H(x,t) is the required isotopy between h and the identity.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barge, K. Brucks, B. Diamond, Self-similarity in inverse limit spaces of the tent family, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996) 3563–3570.
- [2] M. Barge, H. Bruin, S. Štimac, The Ingram Conjecture, Preprint 2009, arXiv:0912.4645v1.
- [3] L. Block, S. Jakimovik, J. Keesling, L. Kailhofer, On the classification of inverse limits of tent maps, Fund. Math. 187 (2005), no. 2, 171–192.
- [4] L. Block, J. Keesling, B. Raines, S. Štimac, Homeomorphisms of unimodal inverse limit spaces with non-recurrent postcritical point, Topology Appl. 156 (2009), 2417–2425.
- [5] K. Brucks, H. Bruin, Subcontinua of inverse limit spaces of unimodal maps, Fund. Math. 160 (1999) 219–246.
- [6] K. Brucks, B. Diamond, A symbolic representation of inverse limit spaces for a class of unimodal maps, in Continuum Theory and Dynamical Systems, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 149 (1995) 207–226.
- [7] K. Brucks, M. Misiurewicz, The trajectory of the turning point is dense for almost all tent maps, Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 16 (1996), 1173–1188.
- [8] H. Bruin, Subcontinua of Fibonacci-like unimodal inverse limit spaces, Topology Proceedings 31 (2007), 37-50.
- [9] L. Kailhofer, A classification of inverse limit spaces of tent maps with periodic critical points, Fund. Math. 177 (2003), 95–120.
- [10] B. Raines, Inhomogeneities in non-hyperbolic one-dimensional invariant sets, Fund. Math. 182 (2004), 241–268.
- [11] B. Raines, S. Štimac, A classification of inverse limit spacers of tent maps with non-recurrent critical point, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 9 (2009), 1049–1088.
- [12] S. Štimac, A classification of inverse limit spaces of tent maps with finite critical orbit, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 2265–2281.

Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey

Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

h.bruin@surrey.ac.uk

http://personal.maths.surrey.ac.uk/st/H.Bruin/

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb

Bijenička 30, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia

sonja@math.hr

http://www.math.hr/~sonja

University of Surrey and University of Zagreb