

Markovian Extensions of Symmetric Second Order Elliptic Differential Operators

Andrea Posilicano
DiSAT, Università dell'Insubria, Como, Italy

3rd Najman Conference, Biograd, Croatia
september 17, 2013

1. Introduction.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set with a smooth boundary Γ .
Let A_{\min} be the symmetric operator

$$A_{\min} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \quad A_{\min} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j),$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, and

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \underline{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mu_1 \|\underline{\xi}\|^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \mu_2 \|\underline{\xi}\|^2,$$

$$0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < +\infty.$$

All self-adjoint extensions of A_{\min} have been determined, and classified in term of (non-local) boundary conditions, by Grubb in 1968 (building on previous partial results by Višik).

Here we are interested in determine, and classify in terms of Wentzell-type boundary conditions, all Markovian extensions.

A not positive s.a. operator A is said Markovian whenever the semi-group e^{tA} is positivity-preserving and is contraction in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Nice features of Markovian operators:

- characterization in terms of Dirichlet forms;
- connections with Markov processes: $e^{tA}u(x) = E_x(u(X_t))$
(analytical properties of A correlate with path properties of X_t :
recurrence, transience, irreducibility,...);
- equivalence between:
 - i) logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for quadratic forms,
 - ii) ultracontractivity properties of semi-groups,
 - iii) heat kernel estimates for semi-groups integral kernels.

2. Dirichlet Forms

A not negative, symmetric bilinear form (not necessarily densely defined) on the **real** Hilbert space $L^2(X)$, X a “nice” measure space,

$$F : \mathcal{D}(F) \times \mathcal{D}(F) \subseteq L^2(X) \times L^2(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a *Markovian form* if

$$u \in \mathcal{D}(F) \implies \begin{cases} \Phi(u) \in \mathcal{D}(F), \\ F(\Phi(u), \Phi(u)) \leq F(u, u) \end{cases}$$

for any normal contraction Φ , i.e. for any function

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Phi(t) - \Phi(s)| \leq |t - s|.$$

A closed Markovian form F is said to be a *Dirichlet form*.

A not positive s.a. operator A in $L^2(X)$ is said to be *Markovian* if

$$0 \leq u \leq 1, \text{ m-a.e.} \implies \forall t > 0, \quad 0 \leq e^{tA}u \leq 1, \text{ m-a.e..}$$

Let F_A be the not negative bilinear form associated with $-A$:

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(F_A), \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad F_A(u, v) = \langle u, -Av \rangle.$$

Theorem.(Beuling and Deny)

A is Markovian $\iff F_A$ is a Dirichlet form.

Theorem. (Fukushima)

- (i) The closure of a closable Markovian form is a Dirichlet form.
- (ii) A closed, not negative symmetric bilinear form F is a Dirichlet form if and only if

$$u \in \mathcal{D}(F) \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{\#} \in \mathcal{D}(F), \\ F(u_{\#}, u_{\#}) \leq F(u, u), \end{array} \right. \quad u_{\#} := \min\{1, u_+\}.$$

Let α , β and γ be continuous, monotone decreasing functions.

(i) the densely defined Dirichlet form F_A satisfies a *logarithmic Sobolev inequality* with function α (α -LS for short) if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(F_A) \cap L^1(X) \cap L^\infty(X), u \geq 0, \|u\|_{L^2(X)} = 1,$$

$$\int_X u^2 \log u \, dm \leq \epsilon F_A(u) + \alpha(\epsilon),$$

(ii) the semigroup e^{tA} is *ultracontractive* with function β (β -UC for short) if

$$\forall t > 0, \forall u \in L^2(X), \|e^{tA}u\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq e^{\beta(t)} \|u\|_{L^2(X)},$$

(iii) the integral kernel $\kappa_A(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ of e^{tA} satisfies a *heat kernel estimate* with function γ (γ -HK for short) if

$$\forall t > 0, \text{ for } m\text{-a.e. } x \text{ and } y, \quad \kappa_A(t, x, y) \leq e^{\gamma(t)}.$$

Theorem.(Gross, Davies and Simon, Davies,...)

For any Markovian operator one has $LS \iff UC \iff HK$:

$$\alpha\text{-LS} \implies \beta\text{-UC}, \quad \beta(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \alpha(\epsilon) d\epsilon$$

$$\beta\text{-UC} \implies \gamma\text{-HK}, \quad \gamma(t) = 2\beta(t/2).$$

$$\gamma\text{-HK} \implies \beta\text{-UC}, \quad \beta(t) = \gamma(t)/2$$

$$\beta\text{-UC} \implies \alpha\text{-LS}, \quad \alpha(t) = \beta(t).$$

3. Markovian extensions of elliptic operators

Let $H^s(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\Gamma)$ be the usual Hilbert-Sobolev space of order s on the domain Ω and its boundary Γ . Let

$$\gamma_0 : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), \quad \gamma_1 : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma),$$

the usual trace maps such that, for any $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$\gamma_0 u(x) = u(x), \quad \gamma_1 u(x) = \nu(x) \cdot (a \nabla u)(x), \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

where $\nu(x)$ is the (inward) normal at the boundary point x .

We pose

$$H_0^1(\Omega) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma_0 u = 0\}.$$

Both the bilinear forms F_D and F_N , defined by $\langle \nabla u, a \nabla v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$ on the respective domains $\mathcal{D}(F_D) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(F_N) = H^1(\Omega)$, are Dirichlet forms. Hence the corresponding self-adjoint operators A_D and A_N are Markovian. Both are self-adjoint extensions of A_{\min} : A_D is the Friedrichs extension and corresponds to Dirichlet b.c., A_N corresponds to Neumann b.c..

Given the dual operator $A'_{\min} : (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))' \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$, the Hilbert adjoint $A_{\max} := A_{\min}^*$ acts as A'_{\min} on the domain $\mathcal{D}(A_{\max}) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : A'_{\min} u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$.

By Lions and Magenes both γ_0 and γ_1 have well-defined extensions $\hat{\gamma}_0$ and $\hat{\gamma}_1$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(A_{\max})$. Then for any $\lambda \geq 0$ one defines the Poisson operator $K_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A_{\max})$ which provides the unique solution of the adjoint Dirichlet problem for with boundary data in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$:

$$\begin{cases} A_{\min}^* K_\lambda h = \lambda K_\lambda h, \\ \hat{\gamma}_0 K_\lambda h = h. \end{cases}$$

By K_0 one defines P_0 , be the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator over Γ ,

$$P_0 : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\Gamma), \quad s \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \quad P_0 := \hat{\gamma}_1 K_0.$$

Any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ admits the unique decomposition

$$u = u_0 + K_0 \gamma_0 u, \quad u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and the relation between F_N and F_D is given by

$$F_N(u, v) = F_D(u_0, v_0) - (P_0 \gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover the bilinear form

$$f_\circ : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \subseteq L^2(\Gamma) \times L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$f_\circ(h_1, h_2) := -(P_0 h_1, h_2)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$$

is a Dirichlet form.

Let

$$\text{Ext}_0(A_{\min}) := \{A \supset A_{\min} : A^* = A, -A \geq 0\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_M(A_{\min}) &:= \{A \in \text{Ext}_0(A_{\min}) : A \text{ is Markovian}\} \\ &\equiv \{A \in \text{Ext}_0(A_{\min}) : F_A \text{ is Dirichlet}\} \end{aligned}$$

and define the semi-order

$$A_1 \preceq A_2 \iff \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(F_{A_1}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(F_{A_2}), \\ F_{A_1}(u, u) \geq F_{A_2}(u, u). \end{cases}$$

Kreĭn proved that A_D is the minimum element of $\text{Ext}_0(S)$ (hence of $\text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$ also) and that there is a maximum element $A_K \in \text{Ext}_0(A_{\min})$, the Kreĭn-von Neuman extension. However $A_K \notin \text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$ (not necessarily true when Ω is unbounded) and

Theorem. (Watanabe, Fukushima).

A_N is the maximum element of $\text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$.

Corollary. Let $A \in \text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$. Then

- $\mathcal{D}(F_A) \subseteq H^1(\Omega)$ and $F_N(u, u) \leq F_A(u, u)$;
- F_A satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality;
- the semigroup e^{tA} is ultracontractive;
- $\kappa_D \leq \kappa_A \leq \kappa_N$, where κ_D and κ_N denote the heat kernels of A_D and A_N respectively;
- the semigroup e^{tA} is irreducible (hence the ground state, if any, is unique and a.e. positive) and A is either recurrent or transient.

Theorem. Let F be a closed bilinear form on $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $F = F_A$, $A \in \text{Ext}_M(A_{min})$ if and only if there exists a Markovian form f_b on $L^2(\Gamma)$, such that

$$F_A(u, v) = F_N(u, v) + f_b(\gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(F_A) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma_0 u \in \mathcal{D}(f_b)\}.$$

A is recurrent (equivalently conservative) if and only if $1 \in \mathcal{D}(f_b)$ and $f_b(1, 1) = 0$.

4. Wentzell-type boundary conditions

Theorem. Let $A \in \text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$. Then $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\max}) \cap H^1(\Omega)$ and there exist a Markovian form f_b on $L^2(\Gamma)$ such that $\gamma_0 u \in \mathcal{D}(f_b)$ and

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{D}(f_b) \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), \quad f_b(\gamma_0 u, h) = (\hat{\gamma}_1 u, h)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice that f_b is not necessarily densely defined: $\overline{\mathcal{D}(f_b)}$ can be a strict subspace of $L^2(\Gamma)$.

When f_b is a densely defined, closed (i.e. Dirichlet) and regular (i.e. $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(f_b)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ w.r.t. the L^∞ norm and is a form-core), by the famed Buerling-Deny decomposition one gets Wentzell-type b.c.:

$$f_b^{(c)}(\gamma_0 u, h) + \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} (\gamma_0 u(x) - \gamma_0 u(y))(h(x) - h(y)) dJ(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma_0 u(x) h(x) d\kappa(x) = (\hat{\gamma}_1 u, h)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$f_b^{(c)}(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \nabla_i h_1(x) \nabla_j h_2(x) d\nu_{ij}(x).$$

When B , $f_B = f_b$, is bounded on $H^s(\Gamma)$ to $H^{s-\alpha}(\Gamma)$ for all $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and for some $\alpha \in [0, 2]$, and, in case $\alpha \geq 1$, is elliptic pseudo-differential, one has

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\max}) \cap H^{2 \wedge \alpha}(\Omega) : B\gamma_0 u = \hat{\gamma}_1 u\}.$$

Thus, when $\alpha = 2$,

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) : B\gamma_0 u = \gamma_1 u\}.$$

Theorem.

i) (variation on Grubb's theory) $A \in \text{Ext}(A_{\min})$ if and only if there exist an orthogonal projector Π on $L^2(\Gamma)$ and a self-adjoint operator Θ on the subspace associated with Π such that

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\max}) : \Sigma \hat{\gamma}_0 u \in \mathcal{D}(\Theta), \Pi \Lambda (\hat{\gamma}_1 u - P_0 \hat{\gamma}_0 u) = \Theta \Sigma \hat{\gamma}_0 u\}.$$

Here $\Lambda := (-\Delta_{LB} + 1)^{\frac{1}{4}} : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, $\Sigma := \Lambda^{-1}$.

ii) (Kreĭn-type formula)

$$R_\lambda^A = R_\lambda^D + K_\lambda \Lambda \Pi (\Theta + \lambda \Pi \Lambda \gamma_1 R_\lambda^D K_\lambda \Lambda \Pi)^{-1} \Pi (K_\lambda \Lambda)^*.$$

iii) Let f_Θ^\wedge be the closed, not negative bilinear form on $\overline{\Lambda \mathcal{D}(f_\Theta)}$ defined by

$$f_\Theta^\wedge(h_1, h_2) := f_\Theta(\Sigma h_1, \Sigma h_2).$$

Then $A \in \text{Ext}_M(A_{\min})$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(f_\Theta) \subseteq H^1(\Gamma)$ and f_Θ^\wedge is a Dirichlet form such that

$$f_b := f_\Theta^\wedge - f_\circ \quad \text{is Markovian.}$$

5. Some examples

Example 1. One gets a Markovian extension by taking

$$B = -b_1 \Delta_{LB} + b_s (-\Delta_{LB})^s + b_0, \quad 0 < s < 1, \quad b_1, b_s, b_0 \geq 0.$$

To such an extension corresponds the Wentzell-type b.c.

$$b_1 \Delta_{LB} \gamma_0 u - b_s (-\Delta_{LB})^s \gamma_0 u - b_0 \gamma_0 u + \gamma_1 u = 0.$$

For the corresponding Markovian extension A_B one has

$$\mathcal{D}(A_B) \subseteq H^2(\Omega) \quad \text{whenever } b_1 \neq 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A_B) \subseteq H^{2s}(\Omega) \quad \text{whenever } b_1 = 0, b_s \neq 0 \text{ and } 1/2 \leq s < 1,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A_B) \subseteq H^{\frac{3}{2}-s}(\Omega) \quad \text{whenever } b_1 = 0, b_s \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 < s \leq 1/2.$$

F_{A_B} is a regular Dirichlet form with Beurling-Deny decomposition

$$F_{A_B} = F_{A_B}^{(c)} + F_{A_B}^{(j)} + F_{A_B}^{(k)},$$

$$F_{A_B}^{(c)}(u, v) = F_N(u, v) + b_1(-\Delta_{LB}\gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v)_{-1,1},$$

$$F_{A_B}^{(j)}(u, v) = b_s((-\Delta_{LB})^s \gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v)_{-s,s},$$

$$F_{A_B}^{(k)}(u, v) = b_0 \langle \gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Hence F_{A_B} has the local property (and so the corresponding Markov process is a Diffusion) if and only if $b_s = 0$ and is strongly local if and only if $b_s = b_0 = 0$. The corresponding Markovian extension A_B is recurrent if $b_0 = 0$ and transient otherwise.

Example 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be open and bounded and such that Γ is a smooth, compact, $n - 1$ dimensional Lie group (for example this is true if Ω is a solid torus, or a plane disc with $N \geq 0$ circular holes, or a four-dimensional ball). Let L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} be a basis of left-invariant vector field in the corresponding Lie algebra. By Hunt's theorem any symmetric convolution semigroup of measures in Γ has a generators given by a Markovian self-adjoint operator B on $L^2(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathcal{C}^2(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{D}(B)$ and, for any $h \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Gamma)$,

$$Bh(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} c_{ij} L_i L_j h(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (h(xy) - 2h(x) + h(xy^{-1})) d\nu(y),$$

where $c \equiv (c_{ij})$ is a constant, real-valued, not-negative-definite matrix and ν is a symmetric Lévy measure.

Hence the boundary conditions $B\gamma_0 u = \hat{\gamma}_1 u$ produce a Markovian extension A_B which, since $f_B(1) = 0$, is recurrent.

By the known Beurling-Deny decomposition of f_B the Beurling-Deny decomposition of the Dirichlet form F_{A_B} is

$$F_{A_B}^{(c)}(u, v) = F_N(u, v) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} c_{ij} \int_{\Gamma} L_i \gamma_0 u(x) L_j \gamma_0 v(x) d\sigma(x),$$

$$F_{A_B}^{(j)}(u, v) = \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} (\gamma_0 u(x) - \gamma_0 u(y)) (\gamma_0 v(x) - \gamma_0 v(y)) dJ(x, y),$$

$$F_{A_B}^{(k)}(u, v) = 0,$$

where the measure J is defined by

$$J(E_1 \times E_2) := \int_{E_2} \nu(y^{-1} E_1) d\sigma(y).$$

Example 3. Given the decomposition $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$, Γ_0 open, let $\Pi : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ be the orthogonal projector onto $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. Then one gets the self-adjoint extension A_{DN} with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A_{DN}) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\max}) \cap H^1(\Omega) : \text{supp}(\gamma_0 u) \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_0, \text{supp}(\hat{\gamma}_1 u) \subseteq \Gamma_1\}.$$

The conditions appearing in $\mathcal{D}(A_{DN})$ are a weak form of the mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions

$$\gamma_0 u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \quad \gamma_1 u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0.$$

Such boundary conditions are Wentzell-type and define a (transient) Markovian extension with associated Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{D}(F_{DN}) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \text{supp}(\gamma_0 u) \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_0\}, \quad F_{DN}(u, v) = F_N(u, v).$$

Example 4. Let $\Pi : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ be the rank-one orthogonal projection $\Pi = (|\Gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}) \otimes (|\Gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1})$, where $|\Gamma|$ denotes the volume of the boundary Γ , and let $b \geq 0$. Then, denoting by $\langle h \rangle$ the mean of h over Γ , i.e. $\langle h \rangle := |\Gamma|^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} h d\sigma$, one gets the Markovian extension A with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) : b\gamma_0 u = \langle \gamma_1 u \rangle\}.$$

with corresponding Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{D}(F_A) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma_0 u = \text{const.}\}.$$

$$F_A(u, v) = F_N(u, v) + b \langle \gamma_0 u \rangle \langle \gamma_0 v \rangle.$$

Some references

- W. Feller: Generalized second order differential operators and their lateral conditions, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 459-504.
- A.D. Wentzell: On boundary conditions for multi-dimensional diffusion processes. *Theor. Probability Appl.* **4** (1959), 164-177.
- A. Beurling, J. Deny: Dirichlet Spaces. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **45** (1959), 208-215.
- G. Grubb: A Characterization of the Non-Local Boundary Value Problems Associated with Elliptic Operators. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **22** (1968), 425-513.
- M. Fukushima: On boundary conditions for multi-dimensional Brownian motions with symmetric resolvent densities. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **21** (1969), 58-93.
- A. Posilicano: Markovian Extensions of Symmetric Second Order Elliptic Differential Operators. [arXiv:1211.2415](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.2415)