

ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF ORDER p OF METACYCLIC p -GROUPS WITHOUT CYCLIC SUBGROUPS OF INDEX p

YAKOV BERKOVICH

University of Haifa, Israel

ABSTRACT. Let L be a metacyclic p -group, $p > 2$, without cyclic subgroups of index p and let $a \in \text{Aut}(L)$ be of order p . We show that either a centralizes $\Omega_1(L)$ or $p = 3$ and the natural semidirect product $\langle a \rangle \cdot L$ is of maximal class so the subgroup L has very specific structure. This improves Lemma 4.9 from [MS].

According to [MS, Lemma 4.9], if $p > 3$ is a prime and a is an automorphism of order p of abelian group L of type (p^2, p^2) , then a centralizes $\Omega_1(L)$ (the proof of this result is also reproduced in [AS, Lemma A.1.30]). The same conclusion is true provided L is abelian of type (p^m, p^n) , $p > 3$ and $m \geq n > 2$ (it suffices to consider the restriction of a on $\Omega_2(L)$). Our aim is to improve this result as follows:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that L is a metacyclic p -group without cyclic subgroup of index p , $p > 2$. An element $a \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order p does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$ if and only if $p = 3$ and the natural semidirect product $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$ is a 3-group of maximal class.*¹

By Theorem 1, if $p > 3$ and L is a metacyclic p -group without cyclic subgroup of index p , then $\Omega_1(L)$ is centralized by A , where A is the subgroup generated by all elements of $\text{Aut}(L)$ of order p . We claim that, if $W = A \cdot L$ is the natural semidirect product, then $|W : C_W(L)|$ is a power of p . Indeed, if b is a p' -element of W , then b , as an element of A , centralizes $\Omega_1(L)$ and so

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D15.

Key words and phrases. Metacyclic, minimal nonmetacyclic and minimal nonabelian p -groups, p -groups of maximal class.

¹The proof of this theorem shows that if L has a cyclic subgroup of index p , then either $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$ is a group of maximal class and order p^4 or a group (b2) of Lemma 3(b). It is known that an outer automorphism of L of order p exists; see, for example, [Hup, Satz III.19.1]

the natural semidirect product $\langle b \rangle \cdot L$ has no minimal nonnilpotent subgroups (see [B2, Theorem 10.8]) so it is nilpotent [I, Theorem 9.18]; in that case b centralizes L .

Our proof of Theorem 1 uses fairly deep results of finite p -group theory and so it is essentially differed from the proof of [MS, Lemma 4.9] which is based on intricate computations with elements of L and the given automorphism a of L of order p .

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that $p > 2$ and L is an abelian group of type (p^m, p^n) , $m > 1, n > 1$. An element $a \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order p does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$ if and only if $|m - n| \leq 1$, $p = 3$ and the natural semidirect product $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$ is a 3-group of maximal class.*

To deduce Corollary 2 from Theorem 1, it suffices to apply Remark 4, below.

We use standard notation of finite p -group theory (see [B1–B5]).

In Lemma 3 we gathered all known results which are used in what follows.

LEMMA 3. *Let $G > \{1\}$ be a p -group.*

- (a) *If G is regular, then $\exp(\Omega_1(G)) = p$ and $|G/\Omega_1(G)| = |\Omega_1(G)|$.*
- (b) *Blackburn; see also [B1, Theorem 6.1]. If $p > 2$ and G has no normal elementary abelian subgroup of order p^3 , then one of the following holds:*
 - (b1) *G is metacyclic.*
 - (b2) *$G = C\Omega_1(G)$, where $\Omega_1(G)$ is nonabelian of order p^3 and exponent p and C is cyclic (in particular, $G/\Omega_1(G)$ is cyclic and $\Omega_1(C) \leq Z(G)$).*
 - (b3) *G is a 3-group of maximal class not isomorphic to a Sylow 3-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree 3^2 .²*
- (c) *Blackburn; see also [B2, Theorems 9.5 and 9.6]. Let a p -group G of maximal class be of order greater than p^p . Then G is irregular, $\Omega_1(\Phi(G))$ is of order p^{p-1} and exponent p and $|G/\Omega_1(G)| = p^p$. If, in addition, $|G| > p^{p+1}$, then there is in G a unique regular maximal subgroup, say G_1 , and it is absolutely regular; all other maximal subgroups of G are of maximal class.³*
- (d) *A p -group of maximal class and order $> p^3$ has no normal cyclic subgroup of order p^2 , unless $p = 2$.*
- (e) *Blackburn; see also [B3, Theorem 7.5]. Suppose that a non-absolutely regular p -group G has an absolutely regular maximal subgroup H . Then*

²A Sylow 3-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree 3^2 is the unique 3-group of maximal class that contains an elementary abelian subgroup of order 3^3 .

³A p -group X is absolutely regular if $|X/\Omega_1(X)| < p^p$; then X is regular, by Hall's regularity criterion [B2, Theorem 9.8(a)]. It follows that, if $p > 2$, then metacyclic p -groups are absolutely regular.

either G is irregular of maximal class or $G = H\Omega_1(G)$, where $\Omega_1(G)$ is of order p^p and exponent p .

- (f) Blackburn; see also [J, Theorem 7.1] and [BJ, Theorem 7.1]. If a 2-group G is minimal nonmetacyclic, then G is one of the following groups: (i) E_8 , (ii) $Q_8 \times C_2$, (iii) $D_8 * C_4$ (central product) of order 16, (iv) a special group of order 2^5 with $|Z(G)| = 2^2$.
- (g) [B4, Proposition 19(a)]. If B is a nonabelian subgroup of order p^3 of a p -group G such that $C_G(B) < B$, then G is of maximal class.
- (h) If a metacyclic p -group G has a nonabelian subgroup B of order p^3 , then either G is a 2-group of maximal class or $G = B$.
- (i) [BJ, Lemma 3.2(a)] If G is a nonabelian two-generator p -group and $G' \leq \Omega_1(Z(G))$, then G is minimal nonabelian.
- (j) Blackburn; see also [B3, Theorem 7.6]. If a p -group G has no normal subgroup of order p^p and exponent p , then it is either absolutely regular or of maximal class.
- (k) Huppert; see also [B5, Corollary 13]. If $p > 2$ and G is such that $|G/\Omega_1(G)| \leq p^2$, then G is metacyclic.
- (l) Redei ([R]); see also [B2, Exercise 1.8a]. If G is a metacyclic minimal nonabelian p -group of order p^m , then either $G \cong Q_8$ or $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^{m-1}} \rangle$. If G is nonmetacyclic minimal nonabelian of order $> p^3$, then $\Omega_1(G) \cong E_{p^3}$.

Let us prove Lemma 3(d). Let $p > 2$ and X a p -group of maximal class and order $> p^3$. Then X has only one normal subgroup of order p^2 ; since this subgroup is abelian of type (p, p) [B2, Lemma 1.4], we are done.

Let us prove Lemma 3(h). Assume that $|G| > p^3$ and $C_G(B) \not\leq B$, where B is nonabelian of order p^3 and G is metacyclic. If $F \leq C_G(B)$ is of order p^2 , then $d(BF) > 2$ so BF is a nonmetacyclic subgroup of a metacyclic group G , a contradiction. Thus, $C_G(B) < B$. Then, by Lemma 3(g), G is of maximal class so $|G : G'| = p^2$ which is impossible for metacyclic p -groups of order $> p^3$ with $p > 2$; in case $p = 2$, our G is of maximal class (Taussky).

REMARK 4 (Blackburn). Suppose that G is a 3-group of maximal class and order $> 3^4$ and $G_1 < G$ is absolutely regular; then G_1 is noncyclic (Lemma 3(c)) and metacyclic (Lemmas (c,k)). Assume that G_1 has a cyclic subgroup of index 3. In that case, $\Omega_2(\Omega_1(G_1))$ is cyclic of order 3^2 , contrary to Lemma 3(d). Suppose that G_1 is abelian of type $(3^m, 3^n)$ with $m \geq n$. Then $\Omega_n(G_1)$ is G -invariant and cyclic of order 3^{m-n} so $m - n \leq 1$ (Lemma 3(d)). Now suppose that G_1 is nonabelian. Then G'_1 is cyclic and G -invariant so $|G'_1| = 3$ (Lemma 3(d)). In that case, G_1 is minimal nonabelian and $G_1 = \langle a, b \mid a^{3^m} = b^{3^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+3^{m-1}} \rangle$ (Lemma 3(i,l)). The center $Z(G_1)$ is abelian of type $(3^{m-1}, 3^{n-1})$ and G -invariant. Let $k = \min\{m-1, n-1\}$. Then $\Omega_k(Z(G_1))$ is G -invariant and cyclic of order $3^{|m-n|}$ so $|m-n| \leq 1$ (Lemma 3(d)).

Let G be a 3-group of maximal class and order $> 3^4$ and let $L < G$ be absolutely regular maximal subgroup of G (Lemma 3(c)). By Remark 4, L is either abelian or minimal nonabelian; in addition, L has no cyclic subgroup of index 3. In any case, the abelian subgroup $\Omega_1(L)$ of type $(3, 3)$ is contained in $Z(L)$ (see Lemma 3(l)) so $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$ since $|Z(G)| = 3$. Therefore, if $x \in G - L$ is of order 3 (note that, in general, such x need not exist), then x does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$, and then such pair $\{x, L\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Remark 4 and the paragraph following the remark, it suffices to prove that the natural semidirect product $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$ is a 3-group of maximal class (obviously, this semidirect product is not metacyclic). We have $|L| \geq p^4$ since the metacyclic subgroup L has no cyclic subgroup of index p .

Suppose that an element $a \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order p does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$. Let G be defined as in the previous paragraph. By Lemma 3(a), $\Omega_1(L)$ and $L/\Omega_1(L)$ are abelian of type (p, p) , and $\Omega_1(L) \triangleleft G$. Since $p > 2$, the subgroup $H = \langle a, \Omega_1(L) \rangle$ is nonabelian of order p^3 and exponent p , by assumption. We have $G = LH$ since $H \not\leq L$ and L is maximal in G . Clearly, G has no subgroup of order p^4 and exponent p (otherwise, the intersection of that subgroup with L will be of order $> p^2$ and exponent p , which is impossible).

Assume that G is regular. Then $\exp(\Omega_1(G)) = p$ (Lemma 3(a)) so, by the previous paragraph, $|\Omega_1(G)| = p^3 = |H|$ hence $\Omega_1(G) = H$. It follows that G has no elementary abelian subgroup of order p^3 so G is as in part (b2) of Lemma 3(b) (the group (b3) of Lemma 3(b) is irregular, by Lemma 3(c)). In that case, however, every metacyclic subgroup of that group has a cyclic subgroup of index p , contrary to the hypothesis.

Thus, G is irregular. In view of Remark 4 and the paragraph following it, one may assume that G is not a 3-group of maximal class. It follows from Lemma 3(c) that G is not of maximal class for all $p > 3$ (indeed, $\Phi(G) < L$ and $\Omega_1(\Phi(G))$ is of exponent p and order $p^{p-1} > p^2 = |\Omega_1(L)|$). As we have noticed, L is absolutely regular. Therefore, by Lemma 3(e), $G = L\Omega_1(G)$, where $\Omega_1(G)$ is of order p^p and exponent p . Since $L \cap \Omega_1(G) = \Omega_1(L)$ is abelian of order p^2 , we get $p = 3$. It follows that $\Omega_1(G) = H = \langle x, \Omega_1(L) \rangle$ is nonabelian of order p^3 and exponent p so G has no elementary abelian subgroup of order p^3 . In that case, G is an *irregular* 3-group of maximal class (since, as we have noticed, any group of part (b2) of Lemma 3(b) has no such a subgroup as L), contrary to the assumption. $\square$

REMARK 5. Here we consider a similar, but more complicated, situation for $p = 2$. Suppose that a metacyclic 2-group L without cyclic subgroups of index 2 is maximal in a 2-group G ; then $\Omega_1(L)$ is a G -invariant four-subgroup (this follows immediately from Lemma 3(h)), and so G is not of maximal class. Let, in addition, $\Omega_1(L) \leq Z(L)$. Suppose that there is an involution

$a \in G - L$ that does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$. Since $\langle x, \Omega_1(L) \rangle \cong D_8$, it follows that G is not metacyclic (otherwise, G is of maximal class, by Lemma 3(h)). By hypothesis, $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$. If $E < G$ is elementary abelian of order 8, then $L \cap E = \Omega_1(L)$ so $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) \geq LE = G$, a contradiction. Let H be a minimal nonmetacyclic subgroup of G ; then $H \not\leq L$. Since H has no subgroup $\cong E_8$, we get $|H| > 8$ and $\exp(H) = 4$ (Lemma 3(f)). If $Z(H) \cong E_4$, then $Z(H)$ is contained in every abelian subgroup of H of order ≥ 8 (Lemma 3(f)) so, since $H \cap L$ contains an abelian subgroup of order 8 (Lemma 3(h)), we get $Z(H) = \Omega_1(L)$ and $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) \geq HL = G$, a contradiction. Thus, $Z(H)$ is cyclic so, by Lemma 3(f), $H \cong D_8 * C_4$ is of order 16. A similar argument shows that if $A < G$ and $A \not\leq L$ is minimal nonabelian, then A has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. Indeed, A is metacyclic (Lemma 3(l)) so, if $|A| > 8$, then $|\Omega_1(A)| \leq 4$ and, if $\Omega_1(A) \cong E_4$, then $\Omega_1(A) \not\leq Z(A) = \Phi(A) (\leq L)$ so $\Phi(A) = \Omega_1(A)$ is cyclic. Now we construct a group $G = \langle a, L \rangle$, where $a \in G - L$ is an involution and L is metacyclic without cyclic subgroups of index 2 and such that $\Omega_1(L) \leq Z(L)$ and $\Omega_1(L) \not\leq Z(G)$. Let $G = Z \wr C$ (wreath product), where Z is cyclic of order $2^n > 2$ and $C = \langle a \rangle$ is of order 2; then $|G| = 2^{2n+1}$ and $Z(G)$ is cyclic of order $|Z| = 2^n$. Let $L = Z \times Z^a$ be the base of the wreath product G . We see that a does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$ and L is abelian of type $(2^n, 2^n)$.

Suppose that an abelian 2-group L of type $(2^n, 2)$, $n > 2$, is maximal in a 2-group $G = \langle a, L \rangle$ and involution a does not centralize $\Omega_1(L)$. Then $H = \langle a, \Omega_1(L) \rangle \cong D_8$. We have $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$ so G has no subgroups $\cong E_8$ (see Remark 5). Let $Z < L$ be cyclic of index 2. We claim that $H \cap Z = Z(H)$. Indeed, $H \cap L = \Omega_1(L)$ is abelian of type $(2, 2)$ so cyclic $H \cap Z < H \cap L$, and our claim follows, since $\Omega_1(Z) \triangleleft G$ (consider the kernel of representation of G by permutations of left cosets of Z and take into account that $|G : Z| = 4$ and $n > 2$). Thus, $G = HZ$, by the product formula. By the modular law, $H * \Omega_2(C_G(H))$ is minimal nonmetacyclic of order 2^4 . Assume that $M < G$ is minimal nonmetacyclic; then M is nonabelian, $2^3 < |M| \leq 2^5$ and $\exp(M) = 4$ (Lemma 3(f)) so $M \cap L$ (of order > 4) is abelian noncyclic (Lemma 3(g)). It follows that $\Omega_1(L) < M \cap L$ so, if $Z(M)$ is noncyclic, we get $Z(M) \not\leq M \cap L$ (otherwise, $\Omega_1(L) = Z(M) \leq Z(G)$, a contradiction). It follows from Lemma 3(f) that $Z(M)$ is cyclic so $M = D_8 * C_4$. As in Remark 5, if $A < G$ is minimal nonabelian, then A has a cyclic subgroup of index 2.

Suppose that a nonmetacyclic subgroup U is maximal in a p -group $G = \langle x, U \rangle$, where $o(x) = p > 2$ and $\Omega_1(U) \cong E_{p^2}$; then $p = 3$ and U is of maximal class and order $> 3^3$ (Lemma 3(b)). Suppose, in addition, that there is an element of order 3 in $G - U$, and all such elements do not centralize $\Omega_1(U)$ (if there are no such elements, then G is of maximal class, by the same Lemma 3(b)). Then $C_G(\Omega_1(U)) = L$ is maximal in G since $\Omega_1(U) \not\leq Z(U)$, and G has no elementary abelian subgroups of order 3^3 . Therefore, L is metacyclic

and G is as in parts (b2) or (b3) of Lemma 3(b). However, a group of part (b2) has no maximal subgroup such as U . Thus, G is a 3-group of maximal class, and L is such as the subgroup G_1 in Remark 4.

REFERENCES

- [AS] M. Aschbacher and S.D. Smith, The classification of quasithin groups. I. Structure of strongly quasithin $\mathcal{K}$ -groups, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 111. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [B1] Y. Berkovich, *On subgroups of finite p -groups*, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 198-240.
- [B2] Y. Berkovich, *Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1. With a foreword by Zvonimir Janko*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 46, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008.
- [B3] Y. Berkovich, *On subgroups and epimorphic images of finite p -groups*, *J. Algebra* **248** (2002), 472-553.
- [B4] Y. Berkovich, *On abelian subgroups of p -groups*, *J. Algebra* **199** (1998), 262-280.
- [B5] Y. Berkovich, *Short proofs of some basic characterization theorems of finite p -group theory*, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **41(61)** (2006), 239-258.
- [BJ] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Structure of finite p -groups with given subgroups*, *Contemp. Math.* **402** (2006), 13-93.
- [Hup] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [I] I. Isaacs, *Algebra. A graduate course*, Brooks/Cole Publishing Co., Pacific Grove, CA, 1994.
- [J] Z. Janko, *Finite 2-groups with exactly four cyclic subgroups of order 2^n* , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **566** (2004), 135-181.
- [MS] U. Meierfrankenfeld and B. Stellmacher, *The generic groups of p -type*, preprint, Michigan State University, 1997.
- [R] L. Redei, *Das "schiefe Produkt" in der Gruppentheorie mit Anwendung auf die endlichen nichtkommutativen Gruppen mit lauter kommutativen echten Untergruppen und die Ordnungszahlen, zu denen nur kommutative Gruppen gehören*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **20** (1947), 225-264.

Y. Berkovich
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Haifa
 Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905
 Israel

Received: 22.11.2008.

Revised: 15.1.2009.